

Street United

M. beller Gefen tokar Aktr B STAL Artifert Water Man.

paratois, Milipes, Philosophy, Politico Hugesphy, Giapoiphy, Arbitelyje atc, Indian Re. 6-32. Foreign lás:

iy bethilin

juder, Brail Libelle Strings, the Quester model, more ted more increase. You destroy like by fearning so many counsels writers I allow all Oriented Jacrack, and about 100

profited, growth and Machine and Anne to the children (Orlean), 1986)
Notice of the ment winered or Guerrely, a while there has absord;
manufactured much under the desired or the children.

Freit, H. G. Betraffer of Pouna.—I regent very much that I delayed to lonnofunctions for year accellent quarterly; if he & journal that low orients and party sets used to be without.

3 and sathists of so unified a periodical offer L. Plank. rough with on enthunessing interest your excellent Quarterly of plack draw require utleyed so much for incenting and thinking.

M. Welderster.—To by 741 of Mighly Interesting and Impurious matter and head to a Mach conducted of subplicability. At pressures to become a given help be all embedded of Salient Statety.

per horse securics to markitate in it may sel then.
First, if delly of florousp-E extending a easy high aphieus of the Quarterly
first delta Mank-It is very interesting todays and various and important

1966 Loude de La Valley Primelta-di le a great pleasure le reid 4 your extended Quarterly

describing at the an inclina applicate and connected a most passpeciable city of the February and connected a most passpeciable city of the February and the fe

The last principality and secondaries on the largests process.

There is everythered. Deliberar the articles positive dates varies some not as an article process.

And the secondaries of the secondaries

THE CALCUTTA ORIENTAL PROSE AND

NOTICE

The Indian Historical Quarterly closes its 19th year with the publication of this issue. Copies of the first issue of in 20th volume of the Quarterly will be sent to our subscriber in due course per V. P. P. unless we are instructed beforehand to act otherwise.

It has been our said experience that some of our subscribers by not acting up to this instruction have caused us loss, for the retural of each V.P. packet means to us a loss of postage of about 1/7/4 besides the copy of the Quarterly which is returned by the Post Office in such a condition that we have to report it altogether. We therefore repeat our request to those who do not wish to continue as subscribes to kindly streng in a rather two details are the subscribers to kindly streng in a rather two details are for the Post Office in 1944.

In this connection, we would like to draw the attention of our subscribers to the Inland Postal rules quoted below regarding the retaining of V-P packets at the Post Office.

The however, you desire that the article may be retained in the Post Office for a further period exceeding three days but not exceeding ten days, you should subnut a written application bearing postage stamps affixed thereto at the rate of two annas per day or part of a day?

Vanager,

INDIAN HISTORICAL QUARTERLY

From Febry 43 Prices raused by 19/19/19

No	4	3	NAMALINGANUSASANAM with the Commandation American American
			Bhatta Kuraswamın (नामलिङ्गानुशासनम् भन्नोर्गासन्योदोत्सास्यक्षेत्रोदोदेनीन
			सहितम् Centrally ed with introduction English Equivalents in the
			margua and various Induces Almost all unverified quotations have been verified By Drs Har Dutt Sharma & N G Sardesat 1941 Demy Pp xv 546
No	6		Pp xv 536 Rs 5- NAMALINGANUSASANAM (बामिलकानुशासनम्) A Sanskrit Dictionary
			in 3 Chapters by Amara Simha. Critically edited with preface, English equivalents for each word in the margin and English Index by Dr N G Sardesai and D G Padhye. Cr Pp ii 195 Rs 1 &
No	7	0	BRAHMASUTRA CATUHSUTRI (बदासूत्र चतु स्त्री) The first four
			Aphonsms of Brahmasutras along with Sankaracarya's Commentary with English trans exhaustive Notes with extracts from the Commentaries such as, Bhamati Sabarabhasya and Index by Dr. Har. Dutt Sharma. Cr. Pp. 1, 176
No	7	I	CANAKYA NITI VYAVAHARA SARASANGRAHA (चास्यक्यनीति
			क्यवहार-मारसञ्ज्ञ Practical Ethical and Political Maxims of everyday use by Canakya with a Marathi transi by G S Sardesai As 4
No	7	2	DRUBHANGAM STRUGT Breaking of Thighs—A Senskirt Drama attri- buted to Bhasa critically ed with Intro Notes and Eng trans by Prof C R Deodhar As 8
No	7.	3	PALI DHATUROPAVALI (पालि-भातुर पापलि) Declension of Pali roots with their Sanskrit English Marathi Hindi and Gujaran meanings by N V Tungar Cr Pp 60
No	7	4	ANCIENT INDIA by Prof Dr S Krishnaswami Aiyangur A thoroughly revised edition brought up-to-date in two volumes each Rs 10
No	7	5	FESTSCHRIFT PROF P V NAME A Volume of Studies in Indology presented to Prof P V Kane MA LLM on his first Burth-day bit of May 1941 edited by Dr. S M Katre and P K Gode Contains 74 research arcules on various branches of Indology by emment Scholar from the East and the West Roy Pp xvi 551 wth Prof Kanes Portrant
No	7	6	HISTORY OF THE GUPTAS by Prof Dr R N Dandekar This is a
	•		complete connected history of the Guptas the Imperial Guptas and the later Guptas of Malwa with an exhaustive Index and a map of India Cr Pp 227 Rs 3
No	7	7	भलाहार्रजाहर सटीफ ed Prof C R Devadhar with Fing Intro R 3
	7		क्षान्दारकोपशिषद Eng Irms of Text and Sankura Bhasya by D C Jh
N	05	79	82 Cuides to Manual of Pali in English Gujrati Hindi each A 12 & M1 ithi A 8

THE POONA ORIENTALIST

A Quarterly Journal of Oriental Studies

Edited by

PROF N A GORE MA S P College Poona
Annual Subscription Rs 6 in India and Sh 10/6 or
\$3 00 outside India

ORIENTAL BOOK AGENCY, 15 Shukrawar Poona 2 (India)

WORKS ON INDIAN HISTORY

PROF. ANIL CHANDRA BANERIEE, M.A.

Premeband Roychand Scholar, Lecturer, Calcutta University

THE FASTERN FRONTIER OF BRITISH INDIA

The annexation of Assam and some portions of Burma as a result of the First Burmese War-a romantic episode in the history of the British expansion in the East-has been narrated in this book for the first time on the basis of contemporary English documents and Burmese-Assamese historical chronicles. The narrative is lively and illustrated with 4 excellent maps ... Price Rs, 8 only

INDIAN HISTORICAL QUARTERLY writes: "The sources utilised are exhaustive Excellent maps help the reader to understand the very difficult topography . A student of the general history of India, who possibly thinks that the North-East is not his concern, will also find in this book facts he does not know about the policy of Non-intervention as pursued by Conrwallis and Shore, the anti-Gallican measures of Wellesley in the East, the mistakes and the fumblings of Amherst, and the views of Sir Thomas Munro relating to British military operations in Burma"

THE STATESMAN writes " copiously authenticated and documented A more distant and unpresudiced judgment could hardly be written"

ANNEXATION OF BURMA

This is a continuation of The Eastern Frontier of British India It continues the story of Anglo-Burmese relations from 1826-1886, and concludes with the overthrow of King Thibaw and the annexation of Upper Burma This book, like its predecessor, is based on unpublished official documents. There is a detailed account of the military and naval operations of the Second Businese War Price Rs 7 only

RAJPUT STUDIES

This book is a collection of several important and interesting papers on Rajput History All the papers are based on original sources and written from a new standpoint. The author has made full use of the unpublished official documents preserved in the Imperial Record Department Price Rs. 7 only

PESHWA MADHAV RAO I

A detailed and critical account of 'the greatest of the Peshwas' Based on contemporary Marathi, Persian, English, and Portuguese sources, it throws fresh light upon a supremely important period of our national history (1761-1772) With ... Price Rs 6 only 4 maps illustrating Maratha campaigns

SIR JADUNATH SARKAR writes. "It is the best book on the subject in the English language and the fruit of much industry and research, it can be specially praised for its lucid and terse style and neat orderly presentation of the subject'

Rao Bahadur G S. SARDESAI writes in Modern Review. "There is no book even in the Marathi language, which can stand even a distant comparison with this performance as a piece of correct history which is at the same time literature".

A. MUKHERJEE & BROS.

2, College Square, Calcutta.

If you want to be in touch with the MIND OF INDIA

READ

THE CALCUTTA REVIEW

An Official Monthly Publication of Calcutta University

YEARLY SUBSCRIPTION Rs. 7-8 ONLY HALF-YEARLY SUBSCRIPTION Rs. 4 ONLY

The Best Minds of India contribute regularly to its pages On all Subjects of general interest.

YEAR BEGINS FROM OCTOBER

Subscription may begin from any month

For free specimen copy write with one anna stamp to The Manager, THE CALCUTTA REVIEW.

The wide circulation of the "REVIEW" ensures a very wide

ADVERTISING

area. Our roll of subscribers is fully representative and one car reach the Indian Intellectual public of all ranks and classes by advertising their articles on these pages.

write to-

The Manager. CALCUTTA REVIEW.

The Calcutta Review Office, Senate House,

CALCUTTA

Sri Krishnaraja Memorial Number of the Quarterly Journal of the Mythic Society

Price Rs. 4-0-0 India: Sh. 9. Fareign: 2, \$. America. Packing and Postage extra. 6 as, India: 9d, foreign. 25 Cts. America.

FEW OPINIONS

It will indeed serve admirably the purpose of commemorating an illustrious and beneficent reign.

Rajadharmapravina Diwan Bahadur

K 8 Chandrasekhara Alyar.

I consider it a worthy Memorial to the late Maharaja. Rt. Hen'ble Sir D. B. Jayatliaka

...the journal contains papers on the history of Mysore, places of archaeological and historical interest, and ancient monuments and inscriptions. The Mail, Madras

There are also a few other informed monographs bearing on different aspects of the State's historical evolution and its greatness. The Hindu, Madras.

The publication maintains a high level of scholarship and thought throughout and constitutes a production of immense value and importance.

Indian Express, Madras

'It is only fitting' as Sachvottama Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar remarked 'that a society like this should commemorate his name and many good deeds.'

Dally Post, Bangalore.

Copies can be had from:

THE CURATOR, MYTHIC SOCIETY

Cenotaph Road, Bangalore City

The Indian Historical Quarterly

Annual Subscription ... Rs 8-12-0 Inland

Do 14s. Foreign (including postage)

Each issue , 2-8-0 or 4s.

Several Back volumes are available prices on application

Please apply to the Manager-

9, Panchanan Ghose Lane,

CALCUTTA Phone: BB. 1918

CONTENTS

			PAG					
	Vangāla-deśa		297					
	By Dr. D. C. Ganguly M.A., PH.D.							
	A pre-historic Tree Cult		318					
•	By Nanimadhab Chaudhuri, M.A.		-					
	Certain Sanskrit Scholars of Medieval Bengal bearing							
	the name 'Rāmabhadra'		330					
	By Sures Chandra Banerji, M.A.							
	Some Tales of Ancient Israel, their Originals and Parallels .		344					
	By Prof. Kalipada Mitra, M.A.							
	The besprinkling Ceremony of the Rājasūya and its consti-							
	tutional Significance		355					
	By Dr. U N Ghoshal M.A., PH.D.							

MIS	cellany:							
	A Note on the alleged metrical defect in the Legend-verse on							
	the Seal of the Pipardūlā Copper-plate Inscription		358					
	By Prof S P Chaturvedi, M.A							
	Vikarmāditya President of a Republic		359					
	By Dr R B Pandey, MA. DLITT.							
	Date of Rasakadambakallolinī a Commentary by Bhagavaddāsa							
	on the Gitagovinda of Jayadeva—between A.D. 1550 and 1600		36o					
	By P. K. Gode M.A.		367					
	A Letter of the Council in Calcutta to Marquis de Busy, 1784							
	By Dr. K K Datta, M.A PH.D.							
	A Study of Meyer's Trilogy of Hindu Vegetation Powers							
	and Festivals		373					
	By Prof. Benoy Kumar Sarkar, M.A.							
Rev	riews:							
	Magadha Architecture and Culture		385					
	Dhammasanganı		386					
	Atthasālinī		386					
	Progress of Greater Indian Research (1917-42)		388					
	By Dr. N. Dutt, M.A., PH.D., D.LITT.		,					
	.,							

Jainism and Kamātaka Culture	***	388
A Grammar of the Prakrit Language	.,	389
By Dr. Manomohan Ghosh, M.A., PH.D.).	
Oudh and the East India Company (1785-18	Bo1)	390
Poona Residency Correspondence, vols. VIII	, IX	. 391
Early History of the Andhra Country		. 392
By A. C. Banerjee, м.A.		
Select Contents of Oriental Journals:	394	
Bibliographical Notes:		. 400
Obituary Notices:		
Vishnu Sitaram Sukthankar		402
Mr. C A E Ph., Danil		402

The Indian Historical Quarterly

Vol. XIX

December, 1943

No. 4

Vangala-desa

The province of Bengal was not known as such in early times. It was split up into a number of geographical divisions viz., Gauda, Rāḍhā, Vaṅga, Samataṭa etc. Sanskrit records from the eleventh century onwards occasionally refer to the country of Vaṅgāla. Originally Vaṅgāla was the name of a comparatively small tract of land. In course of time the neighbouring districts were also known by this name and ultimately the name was applied to the whole province.

It is admitted that Vangāla is identical with Peng-ka-lo, Bangālah, and Bengala mentioned respectively in the Chinese, Moslem and European sources. The information furnished by all these records is given in this paper.¹

1 Some are inclined to think that Vangāla was originally distinct from Varigi Their conclusions are based on the following informations: An inscription (ε 1200 A.D.) from Ablur, in the Dharwar district, states that the Kalacim Bijala 16-16-167 A.D.) defeated the Colas, Lāṭas, Nepālas, Andhras, Gurjaras, Cedis, ground Vanga in a mill, and fought and killed the kings of Bangālis, Čalinga, Magadha, Paṭāśvara, and Mālava (Ē! / V. 457). An inscription from Mysore, dated 1190 A.D. reports that the Hoysala Bittideva "broke the bones of the Mālava, Cera, Kerala, Nolamba, Kadamba, Kalniga, Vanga, Bangāla, Varāļa, Cola, Khasa, Barbbara, Oddaha, and other kings, and brought them into submission to himself" (ĒC., V, pt. I, Cn. 179, p. 202). Hammita-maĥākāvya of Nayacandra Surt (14th century A.D.) relates that "the kings of Anga, Telanga, Magadha, Masur, Kalālīga, Vanga, Bhota Medīpata, Pañcālā, Bangāla, Thomum, Bhilla. Nepāla. Dahala and some Hīmalayan chiefs sen help to Hammira (A.D. 1288-1301) (IA. VIII, 68). Tārikh-Fārozahāki by Sha ms -i Sīraj Āfīf mentions about the slaughter of the people of Bang and Bangāla (Elliot, III. 495)

As Variga and Varigila have been mentioned side by side by the above authorities the former is to be taken to have been divatinc from the latter. But this conclusion is faulty. Above reports from the epigraphic records and Hamminamabilitarys are vague generalisations without much historical value. Kalacuri

Brahmanical and Buddhist sources

The Tirumalai Rock Inscription2 of Rajendracola, dated 1025 A.D., states that the king seized Takkanaladam (Daksina Radha) whose fame reached (all) directions, (and which he occupied) after having forcibly attacked Ranasūra; Vangāla-deša, where the rain wind never stopped, (and from which) Govindacandra fled, having descended (from his) male elephant; elephants of rare strength and treasures of women, (which he seized) after having been pleased to put to flight on a hot battle-field Mahīpāla, decked (as he was) with ear-rings, slippers and bracelets, Uttıraladam (Uttara Radha), as rich in pearls as the ocean; and the Ganga, whose waters dashed against bathing places (tirtha) covered with sand." It follows from the above report that Rajendracola after conquering Daksına-Rādhā invaded Vangāla-deśa, which was under Govindacandra. He next defeated Mahipāla. After this achievement he proceeded to Uttara-Rāḍhā and seized that country Mahīpāla, the king of Gauḍa, or his predecessors are not known to have ever held sway over Rādhā. So it will be reasonable to assume that Rajendracola won victory over Mahīpāla somewhere in Gauda. It is thus certain that about this time Vangāla was distinct from Gauda and Rādhā. The evidence, hitherto available, estab-

Bujala, Hoyasala Bitudeva and the Câhamāna Hammīra were not powerful enough to lead expedituous against East Bengal Major portuous of these statements are the products of the imaginations of the presistrikāras, whose geographical knowledge is not very racely found inaccurate. The Mysore inscription, referred to above, mentions Cera and Kerala side by side But Cera is identical with Kerala. Bharata's Nātyalāsaras mentions Avantika, Vidišā, Mālava, Dašārņa (GOS No. LXVIII). But Vidišā was the capital of Dašārna Two inscriptions from South India, one dated AD 1168, and the other dated AD 1261, state that Rājāhā was in Gauda (JAHRS, IV, 158, Author's Eastern Cālakyas, p 140). But Managoli Inscription (El. V. 29) of the Yādava Jatugi mentions Lāla (Rāḍhā) and Gaula (Gauda) side by side.

Elliot translates the tule of the 'Thard Mukaddama', 'Kum' II, of Tārikbe.

Frozshābā by Afif, as.— "slaughter of one lakh of the people of Bang und Bangāla' (III, ags). But the text adopted in the Būb. Ind ed (p. 114) does not mention Bang, and runs—one lakh and eighty thousand good men of Bangālah. In the foot-note another reading of this portion of the text is given as—a lakh of people of Bank and Bangālah—' Hence no conclusion should be drawn on this viatement of Tārikbe-Frouzhābī. The same work elewhere mentions that Sonārajon (in the Dacca Dust) was in the heart of Bangālah [Bliot, III, p. 305).

- 2 El . IX. 222. -
- 3 Betka Image Inscription of Govindacandra, AN Rep Dac M., 1941-42, p 11.

lishes that Govindacandra was the ruler of the Dacca district, which was situated in Vañga.* So the normal conclusion will be that the Dacca district was situated in the Vañgāla-deśa. Though it cannot be definitely laid down that Vañga was identical with Vañgāla it may, however, be reasonably assumed that at least one was a division of the other.

The Nalanda Inscriptions of the Buddhist teacher Vipulasrimitra was issued in the first half of the twelfth century A.D. Vipulastimitra's preceptor was Aśokaśrīmitra, whose preceptor was Maitriśrīmitra. Maitriśrīmitra's preceptor was Karunaśrimitra. The above inscription relates that in the Somapura-vihāra there was the ascetic Karunāśrīmitra, "who when his house was burning, (being) set on fire by the approaching armies of Vangāla (Vangāla-balasr) attached (himself) to the pair of lotus feet of the Buddha, (and) went to heaven." Somapura is the modern Paharpur in the Rajshahi district Vangala-balasr obviously means the army of the king of Vangala As Vipulaśrimitra flourished in the first half of the twelfth century, Karunāśrīmitra, during whose time the invasion of Varendrī (in which was situated Paharpur) by the Vangāla king took place, may be placed in the third quarter of the eleventh century. Jätavarman of the Varman dynasty flourished in the third quarter of the eleventh century A D. He brought 'to disgrace the strength of the arms of Divya', the king of Varendri, and extended his domination 'over the Angas.'6 No other king either from East or South Bengal is known to have invaded Varendri about this time So the king of Vangala, referred to in the Paharpur Inscription, may reasonably be identified with Jatavarman. The Varmans ruled their kingdom from Vikramapura in the Dacca district. All these also indicate that the Dacca district was about this time situated in the Vangala country.7

⁴ Scholars are unantmous in thinking that Covindacandra succeeded to the throne of Sricandra, who ruled from Vakramapura Daksina-R\u00e4dh was bounded on the north by the Ajai river, which flows to the Ganges to the north of Navadvipa, in the Nadis district R\u00e4jenedia seems to have crossed the Ganges near the Ajai river and advanced through Nadia and Faradpur towards Vikramapura. The suggestion that R\u00e4jendracolas, having crossed the Ganges, attacked the Sundarban regionis without any value. The Cola king certainly did not choose a passage for advance, which is intersected by numerous rivers and creeks

⁵ El., XXI, 97. 6 Ins Bengal, p 22.

⁷ Bhatta-Bhavadeva, munister of Jätavarman's son Harivarman, is said to have been "a very sage Agastya to the sea of the Bauddhas, and clever in the refutation

The Chinese sources

Pan-yong (c. 125 A.D.)* reports that 'if after leaving the kingdom of Kao-fu (Kabul) which belongs to Yue-tiche, one goes south-west, one reaches the western sea, in the east one goes to the kingdom of P'an-ki; all these lands form part of Chin-tou," which is known as the kingdom of T'ien-tichou (India). Ma-Twan-lin* (1319 A.D.) relates that "all the countries which extended from the south-west of the Yuei-chi and the kingdom of Kao-fu (Kabul) to the western sea, and on the east to Pan-khi, belong to Shin-tu (India)." According to these two authorities P'an-ki or P'an-ki was in the eastern border of India. A well-digested Buddhist Cyclopædia* of the Ming dynasty states that Pang-ko-lo is in the east of T'ien-chu and in the south of T'ien-chu is Magadha Dr Hirth equates Pang-ko-lo with Bangala. Pan-ki is identical with Pang-ko-la. Or it may be restored as Vañga.

Chao-ju-kua. 11 who wrote his book Chu-fan-chi sometime between A.D. 1205 and 125 β , mentions that the capital of the country of Peng-ka-lo (Bengala) is called Ch'a-na-chi. "This city is 120 li in circuit. The common people are combative and devoted solely to robbery They

of the judgment of heretic dialecticians" (Ibid., 39) Bhavadeva's father Govardhana became famous for his orational skull, which he displayed in the assemblies of the hercitis (Ibid., 37). It is not unlikely that all these activates of the Brahmanical reformers of this age created an anti-Buddhist feeling in the minds of the people of Vangala. This will explain why the Vangala army did not feel scruple to persecute a Buddhist ascetic, who was the superintendent of the premier Buddhist monastery of Bengal.

The Calcutta Sahitya-Parishat Copper-Plate of Viśvarūpascna (Ins Ben) records that some lands in the Rāmasiddhipātaka (village of this name in the Bakhargani district), in Vanga, was bounded on the south by Bāngālabadā (Bāngālabadā bhāh simā (ishā. 146, l. 43) Cf Pransilla bbāh simā (i 47). It will be wrong to assume that this Bāngālabadā is cfentical with Vangāla-deša.

It has as much connection with Vangāla as Kaušāmbi of the Belava inscription has with Kaušāmbi, near Allahabad

The Gaharwa isscription (El. XI. 142) of the Kalacun Karpa mentions that the king's great-great-grand-father Lakşumanarisı (c. 950 AD) defeated the kings of Vangāla, Pāṇḍya, Lāṇa, Gurjara and Kāśmira. Sarvinanda in his *Tikāzarvasva* (c. 1159 AD) states that the people of Vangāla were fond of dried fish: (Sābitya Parkşar Pārthki, 1326 BS, fio. 102).

8 IHQ., XIV, 502: N. K. Sastri, Foreign Notices of South India, p 10.
9, IA., IX, 15
10 IRAS, 1896, 496 fn. 1.
11 lbid, 1896, pp 62, 495.

use white cowry shells, ground into shape as money. The country produces superior double-edged sword blades, cotton, and other cloth," Dr. Hirth remarks that¹⁸ Ch²-na-chi is in Cantonese Ch²-na-kat. It may correspond to some names like Chanagar, Champanagar, and Sunarganu (Sonargaon). It is known from the Moslem sources that Sonargaon was the capital of Bangālah in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries A.D. Hence Ch²-na-chi may be restored as Sonargaon.

Mahuan,13 an interpreter attached to the suite of Cheng Ho, who was sent to various kingdoms by the Chinese emperor Yung-lo, in 1405 A.D., reached Bengala shortly after that date. He relates that "the kingdom of Pang-kola, Bengala, 15 reached by ship from the kingdom of Su-menta-la (Samalenga in Sumatra), as follows. A course is shaped for the Maoshan, and Tsin-lan Islands; these being reached, the vessel then has to steer north-west, and being favoured with a fair wind for twentyone days, arrives first at Cheh-ti-gan, where she anchors. Small boats are then used to ascend the river, up which, at a distance of 500 li or more, one arrives at a place called Sona-urh-kong (Sonargaon), where one lands, travelling from which place in a south-westerly direction for thirtyfive stages the kingdom of Bengala is reached. It is a kingdom with walled cities, and (in the capital) the king and officials of all ranks have their residences. It is an extensive country." It is known from the same authority that an embassy from Gat-ya-szu-ting, king of Bengala, came to China in the sixth year (1409) A.D.) of Yung-to's reign. Gai-va-szu-ting is identical with Ghyasuddin A'zam Shah, who ruled Bengala from A.D. 1388 to A.D. 1410.14 The great Persian poet Hafiz,18 who died in 791 A.H. = 1388A.D., sent a letter to Bangalah to the king Ghyasuddin, son of Sikandar Shah. Ghyasuddin issued coins from the mint of Firozabad (Pandua), Satgaon, and Muazamabad. Muazamabad is identified with Mazumpur six or seven miles north of Sonargaon. Sikandar Shah issued coins from Sunargaon. Ghyasuddin revolted against his father and attacked him from Sonargaon.16 Dr. N. K. Bhattasalı doubtfully reads the name Chatigaon in one of the coins of Ghyasuddin.17 Ghyasuddin was thus the ruler of East, North and West

¹² IRAS , 1896, p. 495. fn 13 Ibid , 1895, pp 523, 534

¹⁴ N. K. Bhattasali, Coms and Chronology, p 72

¹⁵ Jarret, Ain-i-Akbari, II, 148.

¹⁶ Ghulam Husam Salim, Royazus-Salātin, Eng trans. by Abdus Salam. 107.

¹⁷ Op. dt.

Bengal. It has already been noticed that his kingdom is called Bangālah by both Mahuan and Hafiz. Hence Mahuan does not seem to be correct when he places Chatigaon and Sonargaon out-side the limit of Bengala. This also goes against the evidence of Ibn Batūta and Shams-i-Sirāj Afif... The distance and direction of Bengala from Sonargaon, as given by Mahuan, locate the country in the neighbourhood of Sargaon.

Moslem sources

Tabakāt-Nāṣṇṣ̄¹॰ (c. 1260 A.D.) does not mention Bangālah. It states that Lakṣmaṇasena's descendants were rulers of Bang. Bang evidently means the Dacca, Faridpur, and Bakharganj districts.

Zīāu-d-dīn Barni (c. 1351 A.D.) states that Sultan Balban made Tughril vicerov of 'Lakhnauti and Bangalah' In the fifteenth or sixteenth year of Balban's reign Tughril broke out in rebellion at Lakhnauti. Balban soon occupied Lakhnauti and in a few days arrived at Sunar-ganw "The Rai of that place, by name Danus Rai, met the Sultan, and an agreement was made with him that he should guard against the escape of Tughril by water." Tughril was defeated. Balban remained some days longer in Lakhnauti.20 He placed the country under the charge of his younger son Bughra Khan and made him take on oath that he should take Bangalah into his hand and make his position strong 21 Danui Rai, mentioned above, 15 identical with the Mahārājādhirāja Danujamādhava Daśarathadeva, who issued an inscription from Vikramapura. 22 His capital was, as Barni states Sonargaon. The country of Bangalah, which Bughra Khan was advised to conquer, seems to have been identical with the kingdom of Danujamadhava, Bughrā Khān, who assumed the name Nāsiruddīn Mahmūd, succeeded in annexing Bangalah into his kingdom by defeating Danujamadhava. Ibn Batüta²³ remarks that the sovereignty of Bangālah had belonged to Sultan Nāsiruddīn (Bughrā Khān) After the death of the Sultan it was ruled by

¹⁸ Vide poste 19 Raverty, 558 20 Elliot, III, 112, 116, 120
21 This portion of the translation is given from the text in Bib. Ind. p. 9a
Elliot's translation is—"He called his son to him in private, and made him take
an oath that he would recover and secure the country of Bengal" (III, 120)

In this connection Balban is said to have asked his son to take note that if the governors of Hind, Suid, Malwa, Gujara, Sunär-gänw, Lakhnauti revolted he would be punishing them severely (Ibid). The statement is evidently extravagant as Malwa and Sunär-gänw were not under the sway of Balban.

²² Ths. Beng., 181.

his son Shamsuddin. It will be seen below that Bangalah, mentioned by Ibn Batuta, comprised Dacca and Chittagong districts.

Bughrā Khān's son Ruknu-d-dīn Kaikāūs issued in 690 A.H.=A.D. 1391 coins from the mint of Lakhnauti. There is also the inscription—"from the Kharaj of Banga." Shamsuddin Firoz, younger brother and successor of Kaikāūs, issued coins from the mint of Lakhnauti (A.H., 701-720=A.D. 1301-1330). Sunārgānw (A.H., 705, 710=A.D. 1305, 1310), and Banga (A.H., (70) 5=A.D. 1305). Jalāluddīn Mahmūd, son of Firoz, issued coins in A.H., 709=A.D. 1309 from the mint of Lakhnauti. Here also is found the inscription—"from the Kharaj of Banga." Bangra referred to in these coins, is identical with Bang, mentioned in the Tabukāt-Nāurī, All these indicate that Banga and Bangālah were used as synonymous terms.

Ibn Batüta 38 visited Bangalah in 1346-47 A.D. He relates that "the first city of Bangālah which we entered was called Sadkāwān, a big place on the shore of the great sea. The river Ganges, to which the Hindus go on pilgrimage, and the river Jun unite in that neighbourhood before falling into the sea. The people of Bangalah maintain a number of vessels on the river with which they engage in war against the inhabitants of the country of Lakhnauti. The king of Bangalah was the Sultan Fakruddin, surnamed Fakhrah.' Ibn Batūta then narrates how Fakruddīn secured the throne of Bangālah. When Fakruddīn saw that the royal authority had passed from the family of the Sultan Nasıruddin, whose descendant he was, "he revolted in Sadkawan and in the cities of Bangalah,"26 and declared independence. At this time Alī Shāh was the chief of the Lakhnautī country. Both entered into constant fight. Fakruddin made Shyda, a fakır, viceroy of Sadkāwān. The fakır revolted when Fakruddin was away. Fakruddin "returned forthwith rowards his capital. Shyada and his adherents fled towards the town of Sonarcawan, which was a very inaccessible place." Fakruddīn's soldiers besieged it. Shyada was killed by the inhabitants of Sonarcawan. From Kamru Ibn Batūta came towards the town

²⁴ JASB, 1922, pp. 411, 427 f

²⁵ Yule, Cathay and the Way Thither, II, 458-59.

a6 The passage in the quotation is a translation from the original Arabic text... Kbilafa b. Sudkäuän wa bilad Banjiläu Voyager D'lön Batostab-Text... Kbilafa bi yo De fremevy et le D B R Sangumert, vol IV, p. 214 Yule, Gibb and others wrongly translate this passage as Fakruddin "rassed a revolt in Sadkäwin and Bengal."

of Habnak. "Through it flows a river which descends from the mountain of Kamru," which is called blue river (Lauhitya), by which one can go to 'Bangālah and to the country of Lakhnautī." 27

It follows from Ibn Batüta that Sadcāwān (Chittagong?) and Sonargaon were in Bangālah, the king of which was Fakruddīn. His statement implies that Sonargaon was not the capital of Fakruddīn. This is not correct. Shams-t-Straj Āfīf¹⁸ states that the Sulan Fakruddīn was the king of Sunarganw. His capital was there. Shamsuddīn attacked Sunārgānw, and siew Fakruddīn in that city. According to the same authority Sunārgānw was in the heart of Bangālah. All the coins of Fakruddīn were issued from Sonargaon (A.H. 740749= A.D. 1339-1348). If the identification of Sadkāwān with Chittagong proves to be true it will follow that about this time Chittagong formed a part of Bangālah, which was distinct from the country of Lakhnautī.

Shams-i-Siraj Afīf, a close associate of Firuz Tughluk (AD. 1351-1388), is the author of Tarikh-s Firoz Shābi. 30 The book relates that Shah "reached Bangalah in great strength When he arrived on the banks of the Kosi, after resting for a short time, he found the army of Shamsuddin posted in force on the other side of the river, near its junction with the Ganges." So he went 100 kos up the river and crossed it. Shamsuddin at the approach of Firuz, "abandoned the town of Pandwah and shut himself up in Ikdāla." Firūz besieged Ikdāla. Shamsuddīn was "at length compelled to take shelter in the islands (uzāir) of Ikdāla. The country was overrun by the troops of the Sultan, and all the raos, ranas, and zamindars of Bangalah, who joined the Sultan were favourably received. Many people of the country of Bangālah also came over to him." But Firūz could not in any way weaken the position of Shamsuddin, who confined himself to Ikdāla. So he made a gesture of retreating towards Delhi. At this Shamsuddin "the king of the Bangalis" came up and rushed to the attack." Firuz turned back and gave him battle. Shamsuddin, "the king of Bangālah," fled into the fort of Ikdāla Firūz, though succeeded in occupying the town of Ikdāla, did not annex the country "for Bangālah was a land of swamps, and the nobles of the country passed their lives in their islands

²⁷ Yule, Cathay and the Way Thither, Gibb, Ibn Battüta, pp 267-268; Bhattasali, Coins and Chronology, 137-138.

²⁸ Elliot, III, 303-304. 29 Bhattasali, Coins and Chronology, 9ff. 30 Elliot, III, 269.

(yazāirai). Henceforth at the order of the Sultan, Ikdāla was called Āzādpur and Pandua was called Fırozabad. The Sultan returned to Delbi and Shamsuddin reconquered the city of Ikdāla.

It has been noticed above that Shamsuddin kılled Fakruddin in Sunārgānw and established himself in the territory of the latter. Shamsuddin received an information that Firūz was agam coming to Bengal with an army. He did not think it wise to remain in the islands of Ikdāla.³¹ 'He thought within himself—'Sonārgāon is a capital town which compared with other capital towns in Bangālah, is in the most central place—there I should go.³² Coins of Shamsuddin,³² who was also known as Ilyas Shah, were issued from the mint of Sonārgāon, and their dates range from A.H. 753 to 788.

It follows from Shams-t-Sırāj Afif that İkdāla and the Kosi river were in the country of Bangālah, in the centre of which was Sonārgāon.

Bangālah has been mentioned in the Humāyūn-Nāma of Gul-badan Begam. It It states that Humāyūn marched against Shir Khān (1538 A.D.). Shir Khān made a gesture of submission. "His majesty was considering this, when the king of Gaura-Bangāla came wounded and a fugitive. For this reason he gave no artention (to Shīr Khān), but marched towards Gaura Bangāla." At this Shīr Khān advanced and met his son, who was in Gaur. At the approach of Humāyūn Shīr Khān fled away. "Thence he (Humāyūn) went to Gaura Bangāla and took it. He was nine months in the far away country of Gaur, and named it Jannatābād."

The expression 'Gaura Bangāla' may mean—'Gaur is identical with Bangālah,' or—'Gaur is situated in Bangālah. 'There are expressions like Fathpūr-Sikrī, Pattan-Nahrwāla etc., on the one hand, and Panduā-Bangālah, Rājmahal-Bangāla on the other.'' 'Abbāx Khān,** an officer under Akbar, while narrating Humāyūn's invasion of Bangālah, states that

³¹ Elliot, III, 293, 294, 295, 296, 298

³² This statement in the quotation has been translated from the original text (Bib. Ind., p. 143) Elliot translates the passage st—"He deemed it expedient to remove to Sunär-gänw, which was in the very centre of Bengal and there secure himself against the enemy (III. 305)

³³ Bhattasali, Coins and Chronology, 27.

³⁴ Text and Eng. trans. by A S Beveridge, 133, 134, 138.

³⁵ JASB., 1920, p. 201, 202; Khafi Khān, I, 468 Cf Kalpadrukoša, G. O. S. XLII, p. 106, v. 154, Sriksetram Gaude. Vangalam angureyam tu madhyamam.

³⁶ Elliot, IV, 356.

the emperor "proceeded himself to Gaur, the capital of Bangālah, where he say for three months." Aīn-Alkbarā* reports that "Jannatābād us an ancient city: for a time it was the capital of Bengal and was widely known as Lakhnautī and sor a while as Gaur." Hence Gaur Bangāla, mentioned in Gul-badan, should be taken to mean 'Gaur situated in Bangālah.' In this connection another important evidence may be taken into account.

Bāya zīd Bıyā e^{za} held an office in Akbar's kitchen. He dictated his memairs at Lahore in A.H. 999 (A.D. 1590-91). Bevendge remarks that Bayazīd Biyāt "gives an account of Mun'im Khān's removing his head-quarters from Tānda to Gaur (which Bāyazīd also calls Bangālaḥ)."

A b u I F a z a I in his Ain-i-Akban²⁰ remarks that the "original name of Bangālah, was Bang." Its former rulers raised mounds called Al throughout the province. "From this suffix, the name Bangālah took its rise and
currency." The Subah of Bangālah's "length from Chittagong to Garhi is four hundred kos. Its breadth from the northern range of mountain to the
southern frontier of the Sarkar of Madāran, is two hundred kos, and when
the country of Orissa was added to the Sūbah, the additional length was
forty three kos and the breadth twentythree. It is bounded on the east by
the sea, on the north and south by mountains, and on the west by the Sūbah
of Behar. The tract of the country on the east, called Bhāti, is reckoned
a part of this province. It is rulled by Iss Afghān." "Adjoining it, is an
extensive tract of country inhabited by the Tipperah tribes. The name of
the ruler is Bijay Mānik." "To the vouth-east of Bangālah is a considerable tract called Arakan which possesses the port of Chittagong."

It appears from Abul Fazl's above statements that the whole of modern Bengal came to be known as Bangālah during the reign of Akbar. In some coins of Akbar the mint name is given as Bangālah. Major Vost identifies the mint name Bangālah with Gaur on the ground that Gaur is known to be identical with Bangālah with Gaur on the ground that Gaur is known to be identical with Bangālah from the account of Bāyazīd. Mr. S. H. Hodivala is inclined to identify Bangālah of the coin with the city of Akbarnagar. Bangālah of the coins might be referring to the country of this name. In Akbar's coins there are mint marks Kashmir, Srinagar etc. 44

```
37 Jariet, II. 122. 38 IASB, 1898, p 315
39 Jarret, II. 116, 117, 119, 120.
40 IM.C., III. 35; Numus Suppl XI, 320 41 Num Suppl. XI, 320
42 IASB, 1920, p 209. 43 Ibud, p. 211. Cf above fn. 24
```

European sources

Barbosa visited India in 1516 A.D." He states....."Beyond the Ganges, onward towards the East. is the kingdom of Bengala, wherein there are many places and cities, as well inland as on the sea-coast. Those in the interior are inhabited by Gentiles, who are subject to the king of Bengala, who is a Moor; and the stations on the coast are full of Moors and Gentiles, among whom are many merchants and traders to all pares."

Ralph Fitch,48 an English merchant, visited Bengal in 1586 A.D. He states that "from Patanaw (Patna) I went to Tanda which is in the land of Gauren" (Gaur). "It standeth in the country of Bengala." "I went from Bengala into the country of Couche (Kuch Behar), which lieth 25 days journey northwards from Tanda." "From thence I returned to Hugeli (Hugli), which is the place where the Portugals keep in the country of Bengala which standeth 23 degrees of Northerly latitude, and standeth a league from Satagam (Satgaon): they call it Porto Piqueno." "Satagam is a faire citie for a citie of the Moores and very plentiful of all things. Here in Bengala they have every day in one place or other a great market which they call Chandeau." "From Satagam I trauelled by the countrey of the king of Tippara or Porto Grande." "Chatigan or Porto Grande is often times vnder the King of Recon." "From Chatigan in Bengala, I came to Bacola;" "From Bacola I went to Serrepore which standeth vpon the river of Ganges." "Sinnergan (Sonargaon) is a town sixe leagues from Serrepore."

According to Ralph Fitch, Gaur, Satgaon, and Chatigaon were in the country of Bengala. Sonargaon, Sripur, and Bacola were obviously situated in it.

WIlliam Hawkins visited India in 1608 A.D. and lived there till 1613 A.D. He states that the Moghul empire was divided into five great kingdoms. "The first named Pengab (Punjab), whereof Lahore

⁴⁴ His itinerary "Indie Orientah" was published from Labon. It has been incorporated in Ramussi's "Delle Nasugatoon et Visagg", published from Venice in 1563. Badger, The Travels of Ladousco ds Varibems, London, 1863, Hakluyt Series, Intro CXIV, CXV.

⁴⁵ J. Horton Ryley, Ralph Fisch, London, 1899, pp 110, 111, 113-115, 118, 100: William Foster, Early Travels as Hadis, p. 24 É. Sargaon as now an insignificant village near Magra in the Hughly district.

is the chiefe seate; the second is Bengala, the chiefe seate Sonargham'' (Sonargaon).40

Pierre du Jarric published his book Histoire des choises plus memoribles advenues aux Indes Orientales from Bordeaux sometime between A.D. 1608 and 1614. He states that the country of Bengala comprises about 200 leagues of sea-coast. Chandikan, Sripur, and Bacola were situated in it. The island of Sundiva is very near the shore of Bengala. Sundiva is six leagues from Sripura. Dianga is a city in the port of Chatigam.⁴⁷

Sir Thom as Roe⁴⁰ visited India in 1615 A.D. He remarks that Bengala is "a mightue Kingdom enclosing the Western syd of the Bay on the North and wyndeth southerly. It bordereth on Coromandell. The chief cityes are Ragmethhal (Rajmahal) and Dekaka (Dacca). There are many Hauens, as Port Grande (Chittagong). Port Pequina (Hugh), traded by the Portugals, Piltptan (Piplipatam), Satigam (Satgaon). It conteyneth duters Proutinces, as that of Putup and Patan."

Samuel Purchas** (1625 AD) states that "the kingdome of Bengala is very large, and hath of coast one hundred and twentie leagues, and as much within land. Francis Fernandes measureth it from the confines of the kingdome of Ramu or Porto Grande (Chittagong) to Palmerine, ninety miles beyond Porto Pequene (Hugh), in all six hundred miles long. The river Caberis, (which some call Guenga and think it to be the ancient Ganges), watereth it":

Fray Sebastien Manrique⁵⁰ came from Spain to India in 1628 A.D. and lived there till 1641. He visited Hugli, Dacca, Gaura, Rajmahal and Chittagong. He relates that the Danes sailed from Ceylon, and "the first point of land they identified was in Bengala, being the temple of

⁴⁶ Foster, Early Travels of India, p 100

⁴⁷ IV. Partie. 1610 A D &—Chs. XXIX. XXX. XXXII. XXXIIII, Text. Eng. and Bengalt Trans. APP, "Kedar Rey", by Jogendra Nath Gupta: JASB., 1913, IX, 438 Pierre du Jarrie was born us France in 1565 and died in 1616. He collected materials for his book from the letters written by Bengal Mission to Nicholas Pimenta and from Felixa da Guzman's work, published in 1601.

⁴⁸ William Foster, The Embassy of Sir Thomas Roe, vol II, 538.

⁴⁹ Voyages, vol. V, 508

⁵⁰ C. E. Luard, and H. Hosten, Travels of Frey Sebastien Manngue, Tran. Eng. vol. 1, 53, vol. II, 91, 288, 310. For the identification of some of the provinces, vol. 1, 40-ct.

Jagarnatte in the kingdom of Orissa." "The principalities of Bengala consist twelve provinces, that is to say, Bengala, Augelim, Outrus, Jassor, Chandekan, Midimpur, Catrabo, Bacala, Solimativas, Bulva, Dacca, and Rajamol." In former time "all these provinces" were under the "Emperor of Bengala."

"This monarch of Bengala lived in the city of Gouro, which will be described in due course. Under him he had twelve princes, one in each of these Provinces, and known to the people as the twelve Boiones of Bengal." Chief towns of Bengala are "Daack. Rajamol, Medinimpur, Barduan, Kartabo, Cateca. Its most frequented ports are Ugulim, founded by the Portuguese, Piple in the kingdom of Ourixa. and Balassor in the same Principality."

Authenticity of Manrique's above statement has been called in question. The power of the Twelve Bhuiyas was crushed down by the Mughals before 1613. Reverend Hosten⁸¹ remarks that "the Bhuiyas of Manrique's Bengala must then have been governors, not of a mythical city, but of the district where the King or the Emperor had his capital at the time being." "Bhuiya of Bengala in Manrique's time governed the district of Tanda."

Jean-Albert de Mandels 10° a came to India in 1638 and left the country in 1660. He states that next to Ortxa, winding towards the North, lyes the Kingdome of Bengala" "The Kingdome of Pegu joyns upon Bengala, upon the east-side."

Peter Heylyn⁵³ was born in England in 1599 and died there in 1662. He reports that "Bengala is bounded on the North with Patahaw, on the East, with the Kingdom of Pegu; on the South and West, with the Gulf of Bengala." ⁵⁴

Berniers et al. Bergal in 1665 He places Raje-Mehale and Oguli in the country of Bengala He refers to the islands of Lower Bengala.

Nicolo di Conti (early fifteenth century), sa Caesar Frederick (1563 A.D.), sa V. le Blanc (c. 1570 A.D.), sa Lins-

⁵¹ JASB., 1913, IX, 445

⁵² Voyages Celebres and Remarquables, Faux de Perse Aux Indes Orientales Eng. Tr. by John Davies (1662), quoted in Badger. Travels of Varibems, p 211, fn 53 Peter Heylyn has written Comographe, in Four Bookes The Third

book deals with India It was first published in 1652.

⁵⁴ Cosmographie, (1682), p. 209, 210.

⁵⁵ Travels in the Moghal Empire by F Bernier, ed. A Constable, (1891), 175

⁵⁶ Delle Navigatsom et Viaggs, M. Gio. Battista Ramiusio, Venice, 1563.
57 Purchas His Pilgrimes, X. 58 Yule, Cathay etc., II, 466 fn.

choten (1596 A.D.),** Nicholas Pimenta (1599 A.D.),** Monsieurde Monsart (end of the succenth century).** and many others refer to the country of Bengala.

According to European sources thus the country of Bengala extended from the border of Buhar and Orissa to Chuttagong, and on the south upto the sea-coast.

Ludovico di Varthema, **a a merchant from Bologna, visited India in 1503 A.D., and published his itinerary in Italian language from Rome in 1510 A.D. He states that from Tarnassari "we took the route towards the city of Banghella (Bengala), which is distant from Tarnassari seven hundred miles." "This city was one of the best that I had hutherto seen, and has a very great realm. The sultan of this place is a Moor....", "and he is constantly as war with the king of Narsingha." It was a great emporium for trade. "Fifty ships are laden every year in this place with cotton and silks stuffs" etc. Varthema closes his account with the remark "We left this city (Banghella), which I believe is the best in the world, that is, for living in."

. B a r b o's a (1516) relates that "this sea forms a gulf which bends towards the north, at the head of which is situated a great city inhabited by Moors, which is called Bengala, with a good port." "In the same city there are many foreigners from all parts, including Arabia, Persia and Abyssinia." 42

The book Sommarno de' Regns, etc., incorporated in Ramuso's Delle Navagatsons es. Vuaggs (1563).*1 lays down—"of the seaports of the kingdom (Bengala), the principal is in the city of Bengala, from which the kingdom takes its name. One goes in two days from the mouth of the Ganges to the city, which (mouth of the Ganges) now goes by the name of Sino Gangetico or Gulf of Bengal, and in the best roadsteads the water is three braccia deep. The city contains about 40,000 hearths, and the king has a residence

⁵⁹ A. C. Burnell and Tsele, The Voyages of John Huyghen Van Linschoten, (ed. 1885)

⁶⁰ Perchas His Pilgrimes, X, Eng. & Bengali Tran Pratāpādisya, ed. N. N. Roy. Ann.

⁶¹ Exact and Cumous Survey of all the East Indies, etc., (1615), p 25.

⁶² Travels of Ludovico di Varibema, G P Badger, (1863), Sir Richard Carnac Temple, pp. 19, 79.

⁶³ This quotation continues after that given above, cf. aute fn 44

⁶⁴ Vol I, 333, trans. by Badger, op cit, Intro. cav.

there at all times, which is the only one covered with tiles, and is built with well-made bricks."

The French traveller V . I e B I a n c** visited Bengal about 1570 A.D. He relates that he came "to the kingdom of Bengala, the principal city of which is also called Bengale by the Portuguese and other nations; but the people of that country call it Batacouta." "Ships ascend the Ganges to it, a distance of twenty miles by water" etc.

Thomas Herbert (first half of the seventeenth century) states that Bengala was anciently called Baracoura. 68

Paravino (1597) refers to Gaur Bengala Catigan and Satigan. **
Monsieur de Monsart, a French traveller, visited India at the end of the sixteenth century. He reports that from the city of St. Thomas, founded by the Portuguese "I returned to Conchan, and from Conchan to the kingdome of Bengala, wherein the Portugals also hold the capitall city, and best fortresse, of which the whole kingdome takes their name, which norwithstanding, is very small and of little strength "** is

Here the implication is that the capital city of the Portuguese was called Bengala.

Samuel Purchas (1625) lays down that "Gouro, the seat Royall, and Bengala, are faire cities." "Chatigan is also reckoned amongst these cities."

Jean-Albert de Mandelslo who visited in 1638 reports that Gouro, Ougely, Chaugan, Bengala, Tanda, Dacca, Patana, Banares, Elabas (Allahabad), and Ragmehela are cities in the kingdom of Bengala.**

Methold states that Bengala and Rajmahal are the two principal and beautiful cities in the kingdom of Bengal.⁷⁰

Perer Heylyn (1599-1662) lays down that of the cities of the kingdom of Bengala the principal one is "Bengala, which gave name to the whole kingdom, situate on a branch of the River Ganges, and reckoned for one

- 65 Quoted by Yule, op cis., II, 466, fn. Au Royaume de Bengale, dont la principale ville est aussi appellec Bengale par les Portugais, et par les autres nations, mais ceux du pais l'appellent Batacouta 66 Ibid
- 67 Geog. Unsv tum Vet tum Novae absolutismmum opus,—quoted by Badger, op cit., Intro. lxxx, lxxxi.
 - 672 Exact and Curious Survey of all the East Indies, London, 1615, p. 25.
 - 68 Voyages, V, 508. 69 Cf fn. 52
 - 70 Mandelslo, Voyages, 290; Badger, op at, 211, fn.

of the most beautiful Towns of all the Indies. Exceedingly enriched by trade, but more by Pulgrimages, by reason of the holiness and divine operations ascribed by the Indians to the waters of it: there being few years in which not visited by three or four hundred thousand Pilgrims." Peter Heylyn obviously connects the city of Bengala with Gaṅgāsāgar. He places the cities of Patna, Benares, Sripura (in the Dacca district), Chandican, Satgaon in the kingdom of Patna, and mentions that the cities of Gauro, (the seat Royal of the ancient kings), Catigan, Taxda, Porto Grande and Porto Pequeno were situated in the kingdom of Bengal. It is known from other sources that Catigan and Porto Grande are identical.

Fryer (1672-1681)⁷² states that there was "superintendent over all the factories on the coast of Coronandels as far as the Bay of Bengala, and up Huygly River (which is one of the falls of Ganges) viz., Fort St. George alias Maderas, Pettipolee, Mechlapatan, Gundore, Medapollon, Balisore, Bengala, Huyely, Castle Buzzar, Pattanaw."⁷²

Among the European travellers, referring to the city of Bengala, Ludovico di Varthema, Barbosa, V. le Blanc, and Monsieur de Monsart are definitely known to have visited India or Bengala. Badger doubts whether Mandelslo ever visited the city of Bengala.

Sommario de' Regni, Monsart, and Peter Heylyn state that the kingdom of Bengala was known as such after the name of the city of Bengala. If this proves to be true it will establish that the city of Bengala was in existence before the eleventh century A.D. But the Sanskrit and Moslem sources, hitherto known, do not mention the name of this city V. le Blanc seems to have disclosed the real state of things. He makes it clear that the city, which was known to the people of Bengal as Batacouta, was called by the Portuguese and other foreigners as Bengala. This points out that there was no city of the name of Bengala in Bengal before the advent of the Europeans. Batacouta which is identical with Batacouta, mentioned by Sir Thomas Herbert, cannot be identified. It reminds us of the city of Paṭtikerā, mentioned in the Maināmati copper-plate, ⁷⁴ dated Saka 1141 = A.D. 1210.

⁷¹ Cosmographie, 209, 210, cf. fn 53

⁷² A New Account of East India and Persia (1698), p 38, IASB, 1920, p 204, 73 Ralph Fitch, Sir Thomas Roc, Sebastian Manrique, etc. do not mention the

name of the city of Bengala.

74 **HQ, IX, 289 But Pattikerā was far off from the Ganges, on the bank of

Barbosa places the city of Bengala on the mouth of the Ganges. According to Sommarto de' Regni it was two days' journey from the mouth of the Ganges. V. le Blanc places it on the bank of the Ganges twenty miles off from the sea. It is evident from Peter Heylyn's report that the Ganges, on the bank of which the city of Bengala was situated, was none other than the Bhāgīrathi, the mouth of which is known as Gangāssīgar, a place of pilgrimage to the Hindus from early times.

Ludovico di Varthema and Barbosa closely associate this city with the Moors, and describe it as a great emporium for trade. The only city, in Bengal, which was associated with the Moors about this time, was Satgaon. This is known from Ralph Fitch, who does not mention about the city of Bengala but gives a detailed description of various cities in the country of Bengala. He also speaks of the brisk mercantile activities that were carried in Satgaon. A comparison of Varthema's account of Bengala with the description of Satgaon (on the Ganges) by Ralph Fitch will lead one to the conclusion that the two cities were identical. This will, however, go against the reports of Patavino and Peter Heylyn, who distinguish Satgaon from the city of Bengala But as none of them visited India their statements in this connection may not be taken seriously. Attention has already been drawn to the fact that Peter Heylyn distinguishes Catigan from Porto Grande though they were identical. Varthema never saw a beautiful city like Bengala. Samuel Purchas thinks that Gaura and Bengala were the fairest cities in Bengal, and Peter Heylyn is of the opinion that it was one of the most beautiful towns of all the Indies. It is known from other sources that Satgaon was one of the most beautiful cities in Bengal about this time.

Ralph Fitch and Sir Thomas Roe associate the Portuguese, with Port Pequina (Hugly). So the capital city of the Portuguese, mentioned by Monsart, which seems to be referring to the city of Bengala, may be taken as identical with Port Pequina But Mandelslo and Peter Heylyn distinguish Bengala from Hugly. Thus according to Varthema the city of Bengala seems to have been Satgaon, according to Monsart it was, probably Hugly, and according to V. le Blane it was Batacouta.¹⁸

which Bengala was situated. There was a flourishing village named Betor, on the Ganges, near Satgaon (JASB., 1892, p. 109).

⁷⁵ Bayazid Bıyat identifies the city of Gaur with Bangālah. Cf. fn. 38.

Maps of the 14th-17th centuries

Some Maps of the fourteenth, fifteenth, sixteenth and seventeenth centuries also refer to the city of Bengala.

Yule remarks that "Bengala appears as a city in the curious and half obliterated Portulano Mediceo of the Laurentian Library (1351 A.D.)"76

Carta Catalana (1375 A.D.)³⁷ locates it near the sea approximately on the mouth of the Bhagirathi. The Bhagirathi has not been shown in the Map. At a considerable distance from this place to the east is the river Finis Indiae (Brahmapotra). A little to the north of Bengala is the place Bassia, and to its north-east to the west of the Finis Indiae is Michem. Nearest place mentioned to its south-west is Busilines. Catigaon, Satgaon or other well known cities of Bengal have not been shown

Yule remarks that Fra Mauro's Map (fifteenth century) shows Bengala, Sonargauam, Satgauam, and Scierno.⁷⁸

In Gastalds's Map (1561)⁷⁸ the Ganges flows to the east. Three branches from it flow southward to the sea On the right bank of the western branch, on its mouth, is Satigan. Between the middle and the eastern branches, in the interior, is Bengala To the east of the eastern branch, to the south-east of Bengala, is Cattigan. Regno de Bengala is to the north of the main source of Ganga and to the east of the eastern branch Gaur, Satigan. Bengala, and Cattigan are placed outside the limit of that country.

India Orientalis (1597)80 mentions Gouro, Satigan, Catigan, and Bengala. Bengala is near the mouth of a river (Brahmaputra?).

Map of Asia by Hond us (1612)*1 shows, to the east of the Ganges, five rivers, which, taking their rise from a lake in the region of the Himalaya, flow southward to the sea. Second branch from the west is Cosmin flu. In the delta of the Cosmin flu is Bengala bounded by its two

⁷⁶ Cathay and the Way Thither, II, 465-466, in.

⁷⁷ Attached to Cathay etc., the tile given—'Assi', from sheets II, III, & IV, of the Catalan Map of 1375, reduced and condensed from the facuinles in Notices et Extratis, &c. Tom XIV

⁷⁸ Cathay etc II, 466 fn

⁷⁹ Attached to Travels of Ludovico di Varthema by Badger

⁸⁰ Attached to Patavino's Geog etc op est.

Attached to Purchas His Pilgrimes, Foster, The Embassy of Sir Thomas Roe, 544

branches. To the north-west of Bengala, to the west of Cosmin flu, is Catigam.

Map of the East Indies by Hondius (1612)*2 shows the position of Bengala just as the previous one. Bicanpur (Vikramapura) is shown on the sea coast to the west of Cosmin flu. Catigam has not been mentioned.

W 1411 a m B a ffi n's Indolstans* shows three rivers, without any connection between themselves, flowing to the sea. The western river is canges flu, on the left bank of which, on the mouth, is Satgaon. To the east of the river, in the middle, at a distance, on the sea coast, is Bicanpor. To the east of Bicanpor is Catigam. To the east of Catigam in the delta of the Cosmin flu bounded by its two branches is Bengala. The country of Bengala extends from Berar little to the east of the Cosmin flu.

N. Sauson's Empire du Gr Mogol (1652)** shows Chatigam to the west and Bengala to the east of a river. Bengala is to the south-east of Chatigam. To the west of Chatigam further off is another river to the right bank of which is Dacca.

A Map of the East Indoes by D. Christoph Bathurst M.D. shows five rivers to the east of the Ganges taking their tise from a lake and flowing to the sea Bengala is placed on the sea coast between the first two rivers from the west No other sea port town has been shown.

Philippi Chetwind's Map Assae Descriptso Nova Impensis (1666)³⁴ shows the position of the rivers as above. To the east of the second branch (from the west), named here as Cosmin flu, are Bengala and Chatiga. Bengala is to the south of Chatiga. Bacola is to the east of Satgam on the right bank of the Ganges.

Imper Il-Magns Mogolus³⁷ places Chatigan to the west and Bengala to the east of the Caor flu. To the north-east of Chatigan in the interior is Bengala. To the west of Chatigan near the coast is Dacca. At the mouth of the river Caor flu is the island of Sundwa

- 82 Dacca Museum Collection
- 83 Attached to Foster, Embassy of Sir Thomas Roe
- 84 Attached to the article The Lost City, by H. J. Rainey, in Mookerjee's Magazine, New Series, I, 343
- 85 Oleanus, The Voyages and Travels of the Ambassadors, Trans by J. Davies, (1662).
 - 86 Attached to Peter Heylyn's Cosmographic.
 - 87 Attached to Travels in the Mogul Empire, by F. Bernier, ed. Archibald Constable, 1891, p. 454.

Mons Tauernier Mandeslb's L'India (1683)¹⁴ shows the river Jiume Cosmin o' di Bengala to the east of the river Laquia. To the east of the Jiume Cosmin o' di Bengala is the city of Bengala. To the right bank of this river on its mouth, and to the south of Bengala, is Cangaon.

Pierre Vander. AA-Carte du Golfe du Bengale etc. (1727)⁸⁰
locates Bengala to the north-east of Catigaon. A river flows between these two cities to the sea ⁸⁰

There is thus no agreement between the early maps in regard to the situation of Bengala. Carta Catalana places it approximately in West Bengal. Gastaldi locates it to the north-west of Chittagong. Hondius and some others place it in the delta of Cosmin flu to the south-east of Chittagong. But according to Gastaldi, Imper II-Magni Mogolis and some others it is an inland-city. Philippi Chetwind places both Chatiga and Bengala to the east of Cosmin flu. The same authority places Bengala to the south of Chatigan. Imper II-Magni Mogolis and Carte du Golfe du Bengale locate it to the north-east of Catigan. All these maps except possibly Carta Catalana locate Bengala far to the east of the Bhagirathi. Some of them place it on the bank of the Cosmin flu, which is distinct from the Ganges. But this goes against the reports of V. le Blank, Batbosa and others, who place the city on the bank of the Bhagirathi.

Consideration of all these reports about the location of the city of Bengala has led some scholars to think that there was never a town properly called as such. This name was given by the European travellers to variety of places, particularly the chief ports. The chief supporters of this view are Ovington. (1696). H. Yule, Blochman, Rev. Hosten.

88 Imperial Library, (Calcutta), collection.

89 Attached to Jean-Albert de Mandelslo's—Voyages Celebres and remarquebles, Fartts de Perse Aux Indes Orientales There are two other maps referring to Bengala in this book viz, Pierre Vander AA-Les Indes Orientales (1727), Pierre Vander AA-Royasme dia Grand Mosod. (1727)

go Badger (op cit.) gives a list of about fourteen maps in the collection of British Museum. They refer to Bengala Van-den Broncke's Map (1660 A.D.) does mention any city of the name of Bengala.

91 History of the Portuguese in Bengal, 75-77.

92 Cathay and the Way Thitber, II, 466 "Yule does not put any importance on the maps showing the city of Bengala He remarks "one of the latest atlases containing the city of Bengala is that of Cornelli (Venice 1691), and he adds the judicious comment, 'creduse favoloss'."

Campos. Ovington thinks that the city was to the south of Chittagong, Yule says that it was either Sonargaon or Chittagong. Campos identifies it with Chittagong. It has already been noticed that Satgaon seems to have been called as Bengala by some early travellers. **

Results of all the discussions made above may be summarised in the following way. In the eleventh century Dacca district was in the country of Vañgāla. In the thirteenth century the Chinese sources seem to be suggesting that Sonargaon, in the Dacca district, was situated in it. In the fourteenth century Sonargaon is definitely known to have been the capital of Vaṅgāla, which extended from Dacca to Chittagong. In the first half of the sixteenth century, Lakhnauti or Gaur, which had so long been outside the limit of Vaṅgāla, was included in it. By the end of this century Vaṅgāla comprised the whole country now known as Bengal. There was no city properly called Bengala.

D. C. GANGULY

⁹⁵ J. Campos, History of the Portuguese in Bengal, 76 fn 77 Ct "Bengala" by S. H. Hodivālā, JASB. 1920, p. 199

⁹⁶ Badger, Rennell, B N Basi Tajakur (Bingalis nagari, in Bengali, Dacos Review and Sammilan, Binglis Sam 1321. p 345) and Dr. R C Majumdal think that there was in reality a city named Bengala According to Badger it occupied a position between Hattus and Sundeep relands, situated at the present mouth of the Brahmaputra Rennell suggests that it had been carried away by the river Mr. Basu Thakur does nor make any attempt to identify the city. Dr Majumdar thinks that it was very likely Dianga near Chittageng (Lame Tiremithe's, Account of Bengal, IHQ, XVI, 13) Dr. Majumdar's view that Ibn Batüta refers to the city of Bengala is based on the incorrect French and English translation of the Arabic text Ct. ante fin. 6.

A pre-bistoric Tree Cult

In the present investigation an attempt is made to trace the history of the Fig tree cult which is known to be of old origin and is widely popular in India. Generally four varieties of the Fig tree are known viz., Ficus Carica, Ficus Glomerata (udumbara), Ficus Indica (vața, banyan) and Ficus religiosa (asvattha). Of the four varieties the vata and the asvattha are better known. The vata is known in Sanskrit as nyagrodha, bhandira and is regarded as the abode of Yama (Yamapriya), the Yaksas etc. It is called the lord of trees (urksanātha). The asvattha is the abode of Acyuta, it is the tree of milk (ksira-druma), of knowledge (bodhi-druma). It is commonly called peepula, pspal or pspar. The cult of the Fig tree generally means the cult of the asvattha or pipal though the vata and the pipal are sometimes planted together and worship is offered to both. This cult widely prevails in India at the present time among the Hindus and Hinduised tribes as also the Buddhists and Jains. Evidence in the sacred literature, Hindu and Buddhist, as well as archaeological evidence show that the cult was equally popular in the past among the Hindus and Budchists. There is also evidence, mainly archaeological, showing that the cult of the Fig tree was known in pre-historic Indian religion. It is expected, therefore, that an enquiry into this cult will throw some light on the cultural relations between Indian peoples in different historical ages

The pspal appears among the pre-historic finds in the Indus Vallev and Baluchistan in three forms (1) representation of the pipal leaf (2) representation of the branch of the pipal tree and (3) representation of the whole tree. Among the ceramic objects unearthed in the course of explorations in Sind by the late Mr. N. G. Majumdar are some painted pottery with designs of the pipal leaf (Memoir of the Archaeological Survey of India, No. 48. Chanhu-Daro finds, p 37; Lohunjo-Daro finds of painted porthered Lh. 112, Lh. 116. Lh. 178). Among Chanhu-Daro finds there also appears a seal depicting a whole pipal tree (Pl. XVII, 44) and another seatite seal with the same device (Pl. XVII, 34). The pipal tree appears again on the neck of a vase (Gs 100) among the finds at the mound of Ghazi Shah at Johi and pipal branches appear on two sherds (Gs 13, Gs 113-Pp, 95-103), and on another painted potsherd from the

mound at Pands Wahs (Pw 92, P. 109). In the Annual Report of the A.S.I., 1924-25 Rai Bahadur Dayaram Sahni notices among the finds at Harappa a square seal of white plaster which "shows probably a pipal tree enclosed by a railing" (Pl. XXVIII, Fig. 5 p. 74). In the Memoir no. 37 of the A.S.I. (An Archaeological tour in Waziristan and Northern Baluchistan) Sir Aurel Stein notices fig leaf representations on painted pottery (Pl. Di, Pl. XV, D N. C 8; D W. 1. 1 and Pl. XVI) In Memosr no. 43 (An Archaeological tour in Gedrosia) he reports several finds of fig leaf representations on painted pottery (Pl. XXI, Zik 3; Pl. XXIII, Ku IV. 5. Pl. XXV, Siah 4, branches and leaves), Pl. XXIX, Mehi. ii 8. 3., Pl. XXX, Mehi. 11 4, 5 Pl. XXXIII, Saka 3 etc. Among plant designs on painted pottery the pipal motif, leaves, branches and tree, is the most frequent. The fern, date palm and floral motifs also appear, but less frequently. The seals with representations of the whole pipal tree are important, because they indicate that the pipal had probably other uses than mere decoration of painted pottery.

Sir John Marshall writes "On certain sealings from Harappa (Pl XII-16, 20, 21, 25 and 26) various sacred trees are represented which it is difficult to identify. A point of some interest is that two at least of the trees on these sealings spring from what appears to be an enclosing wall or railing such as commonly encircles the roots of sacred trees on later reliefs of the historic period, and were regarded as almost indispensable symbols of their sanctity 1 Marshall explains these sealings as examples of the worship of the trees in their natural forms. Of the other kind of tree-worship he says, "That the animistic conceptions which have distinguished the worship of trees throughout the historic period were common to the Chalcolithic age is clear from several seals and sealings. One of the most important of these is reproduced in Pl. XII, Fig. 18". Marshall says that the tree-goddess appears between two branches by which the tree is represented 2 On other seals e.g., Pl. XII, 13, 14, 19 and also 15 and 22, the tree appears conventionalised into the form of an arch surrounded by leaves in which the deity is found as if standing in a shrine 3 Marshall's inference from the leaves is that the tree is pipal.4 He says, "Thus we have at both Mohenio-Daro and Harappa two forms of tree worship represented, one in

Marshall, Mobenjo Daro and Indus Valley Crossusation, 1, p 65
 Ibid, p 63
 Ibid, p. 63, note 2.
 Ibid, p. 64.

which the tree itself is worshipped in its natural form, the other in which the tree spirit is personified and endowed with human shape and human attributes."

It is important to note that in both of these two forms of worship the tree selected appears to be the pipal. Thus among pre-historic finds in Sind, Baluchistan, Wazinstan, Harappa and Mohenjo-Daro the pipal appears both as a decorative motif and as an object of worship. The sacred character of the tree is clearly indicated in the Mohenjo-Daro and Harappa representations, but representations of the whole tree in sealings unearthed at other places may also be regarded as cult-objects, because these representations on sealings of the whole tree could not possibly be a decorative device nor could they serve any material purpose and because other trees, which appear as artistic motifs on painted pottery do not, so far as is known, appear in sealings. The strongest reason for identifying them as sacred objects is of course the prevalence of a developed cult, as it seems, of the pipal at Mohen-Daro and Harappa. What ideas were associated with this chalcolithic cult of the Fig tree cannot be known and it is no use guessing them at this stage on the evidence of analogy in historical times.

On coming down to the Vedic literature it is found that "vessels made of the asvattha wood are mentioned in the Rgveda." The word pippala occurs in the Rgveda' referring to the fruit which birds find tasteful. The hard wood of title asvattha formed the upper of the two pieces of wood used for kindling fire." The medicinal properties of the asvattha are referred to once where it is said that herbs sit on it. The nyāgrodha is not mentioned by name in the Rgveda but according to Macdonell and Keith it seems to have been known as has been shown by Pischel that sacrificial bowls were made of its wood. In the Atharus Veda the asvattha and the nyāgrodha sometimes appear together while the asvattha frequently appears alone. The udumbara also appears often. From the asvattha wood are prepared amulest which secure the defeat and destruction of the wearer's enemies. With the branch of the tree one succeeds in banishing and expelling one's enemies. The tree is invoked to rend a hostile army. The asvattha

```
5 Ibid., p. 65 6 Macdonell and Keith, Vedic Index, vol. l, pp 43, 44.
7 Rgwede, I 164 20 8 Vedic Index, vol I, pp 43, 44.
9 Rgwede, X, 97 5 10 Vedic Index, vol I, pp 452
11 Albarus Vedie, III. 6. 1f 12 Ibid. II, 6. 8.
```

¹³ Ibid., VIII, 8. 3

growing on a sami appears in a charm to ensure the birth of a male child.16 It has healing properties.15 The fruit of the asvattha appears in a charm to heal punctured wounds. 16 From the asvattha and nyagrodha springs the plant silacı which mends a broken bone.17 The gods sit under the asvattha in the third heaven.18 It and the nyagrodha are inhabited by the Gandharvas and Apsarases who are prayed to be propitious to a wedding party.19 The amulet of the udumbara tree helps one who longs for wealth. It gives plenty in cattle, corn and children. 20 It is foe-killing. 21 It is the lord of amulets,22 it gives vigour.21 Regarding the origin of the nyagrodha the Astareya Brahmana has the following. "When the gods after the successful performance of their sacrifice went to heaven, they tilted over the Soma cups when the nyagrodha tree grew up They grew first at Kurukşetra, from them all others originated."24 The nyāgrodha is a Kṣatra. 25 The asvattha has sovereignity over the trees.26 Coming to the sutras it is found that the nyagrodha shoot pounded with rice and barley grains appears in the production of intelligence of the child.27 The asyattha is to be avoided on the east side of the house, the nyagrodha on the west side and the udumbara on the north side. The asvattha brings danger from fire, the nyagrodha brings oppression through hostile arms, the udumbara brings diseases of the eye. The asvattha is sacred to the sun, the nyagrodha is the tree that belongs to Varuna and the udumbara to Prajapati.28

Thus the sanctity of the asvattha was recognised in the Rgveda and it also bears evidence to the existence of a tradition regarding its healing properties. In the Asbarus-Veda the asvattha, in addition to its religious sanctity, acquires magical potency along with the udumbara. The destruction of enemies, birth of a male child and healing of wounds are the purposes which the pipal helps to achieve. The udumbara is a giver of plenty. The pipal and the vata become also abodes of spirits, not regarded as evil. In the sütras different varieties of the fig tree become associated with

```
14 | lbul., VI, 11. 1 | 15 | lbul., VII, 7 20 |
16 | lbul., VI, 3 | 17 | lbul., V, 5 2-5 |
18 | lbul., V, 4 3 | 19 | lbul., IV, 37, 4 |
20 | lbul., XIX, 31. 11 | 24 | lbul., XIX, 31. 11 |
24 | lbul., VII, 3 | 21 | lbul., VIII, 3 |
26 | lbul., VIII, 3 | 26 | lbul., VIII, 3 |
27 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
28 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
29 | lbul., VIII, 3 |
20 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
22 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
23 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
24 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
25 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
26 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
27 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
28 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
29 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
29 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
20 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
22 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
23 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
24 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
25 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
26 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
27 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
28 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
29 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
20 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
21 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
22 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
23 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
24 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
25 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
26 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
26 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
27 | lbul., VIII, 7 20 |
28 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
29 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
20 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
21 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
22 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
23 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
24 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
25 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
26 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
27 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
28 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
29 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
20 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
20 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
20 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
21 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
22 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
23 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
24 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
25 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
26 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
27 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
28 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
29 | lbul., XIX, 31. 12 |
20 | lbul., X
```

different deities, but at the same time they acquire an evil aspect so that it becomes necessary to avoid them on some occasions.

The attributes of the fig tree are stressed in the Epics and Puranas. Visnu is identified with the asvattha, nyagrodha and udumbara.20 The asyattha represents that tree of life which is rooted in heaven above. 30 The vata and udumbara retain their evil aspect and are taboo 31 Mahadeva is identified with nyagrodha, (nyagrodharupa). He is identified also with the asvattha.39 Kartikeya is said to live continuously in the vata tree.30 The asvattha is to be worshipped daily by men.34 The Mahābhārata as well as the Ramayana testify to the great popularity of the cult of Caitya-vrksas which were the asvattha. 35 According to the Kalika Purana if utensils used in worship are made of udumbara wood Visnu is particularly pleased. The asvattha and udumbara promote conception and it is related that the mother of Viśvāmitra and the mother of Jāmadagni were asked by Bhrgu to embrace these trees after their menstrual period for obtaining male child.36 According to the Brhannāradīya Purāna the leaf of the vata is the bed of Hari 17 The vata is also inhabited by Brahma-rākṣasa * According to a Priests' Manual the asvattha has to be worshipped every day. It expels enemies, dispels evil omens and dreams, it is identified with Janardana. The tree destroys sin and increases prosperity.39 A childless man who plants an asvattha tree obtains a son.40 The asvattha gives wealth and the udumbara cures diseases.41 There are elaborate ceremonies for planting an asvattha tree with orthodox formula including boma. After planting the tree all the ten samskaras including marriage have to be performed. A plantain tree adorned with cloths, gold ornaments, vermilion and lac dye is planted by the side of the asvattha and married to it with Vedic mantras. In some case four plantain trees are planted round the tree and worshipped as his wives. Sometimes the asvattha is married to a tulass plant. 42

Thus in the Epics and Purāṇas and in orthodox worship the special sanctity of the fig tree continues to be recognised. The asyattha becomes

```
29 Mabābārata, Anušisana Pv ch 126. (Bangavāsi Ed.).
30 Mbb, Bhiṣma Pv ch 34 31 lbūd 32 lbud.
34 lbūd., Anuti. Pv ch. 126 33 lbud., Salya Pv. ch 43
35 lbud. Anutisana Pv ch 162, Rāmāyana Sundarakānda chi 12. 22 etc.
36 Kābhā Purāna ch 82 (Bangavasi Ed.).
37 Bṛbannāradīya Purāṇa ch 4 (Bangavāsi Ed.).
38 lbūd
38 Kriyākāndarably, vol. 1, p. 144. (Basumati Ed.)
```

40 Ibid, vol 11, p 664 41 Ibid 42 Ibid, vol 11, pp 668 f.

particularly sacred to Viṣṇu while the nyāgrodha becomes particularly sacred to Mahādeva. The udumbara and aśvattha preserve their potency in connection with promotion of conception, but it is the aśvattha that is more concerned with the granting of offspring, wealth, etc. The healing properties of the fig tree are not forgotten. The new attribute is that the vata becomes the haunt of ghost or petra

The pipal appears in folk worship In Bengal women and young girls observe viatas in honour of it. . . One of such viatas known as asvatthapātā urata is observed for the whole month of Vaisākh. The devotee, generally a young, unmarried girl rises early, proceeds to a river or a tank for bath, places a new leaf of the pipal on her head and holds it there with one hand while she dips in the water. When she raises her head out of the water she takes off her hand so that the leaf on the head may be carried away by the current or wind. She has to dip her head five times in the water placing a fresh leaf each time on her head and recite mantras which are only doggrel verses The desires the fulfilment of which are sought by means of the performance of the vow or vrata are: longevity of the husband, a golden complexion, a male child wealth, happines etc. One of the most cherished desire of the devotee is that she may be the only girl among eight children, seven others being boys. After returning home she must pour water at the root of the asvattha and bow to it.43 Another collection of these urata-kathās (manuals of vratas) gives the customary story regarding the origin of this worship. A merchant proud of his wealth insults a hungry Brahmin who comes to his house and turns him out. The Brahmin cursed him and was about to depart in anger when the wife of the Brahmin fell at his feet entreating him to return. Mollified by her entreaties he returned and took food at the merchant's house. He told her that the curse uttered by him would surely ruin the merchant but if when the family would be in distress she performed a virata according to instructions given by him the lost wealth would be regained.44

In Rajputana the pipal and the bergad are worshipped by women in Vaisäkh to protect them from widowhood. Vows are made to the pipal for male offspring. Pious women veil their faces when they pass by it. A vessel of water for the comfort of the departing soul is hung from its

⁴³ Vrata Kathā, ed K P Vidyaratna, Calcutta, 1341 BS., pp. 196 f.

⁴⁴ Meyeder Vrata Kathā, ed. Shasibhusan Kavuratna, pp. 1 f.

branches. Beneath the pipal are placed rough stones which serve as the shrine of village gods.⁴⁵ Among the Nagars of Kathiawad and other parts of Gujerat, the bride and the bridegroom are taken on the Vata-Sāvistrī day to a banyan tree which they worship and hang on one of its branches the wedding garland made of betefutus and cocca kernel. When the banyan worship is over the bride is presented with a robe.⁴⁵ In the same part of the country people walk round the pipal on Saturdays when in the hope of getting rich they tear off scraps of the pipal bark. A grown up gul who cannot be matried for natural defect is married to it. Leaves of the tree are used in spirit-scaring rites.⁴⁷

The banyan is worshipped on the full-moon day by married women with the object of lengthening the lives of their husbands and children. 48 The udumbara is worshipped during the Navaratri by people wishing to make money.40 In the eastern part of the U.P. the pipal is regarded as the abode of Vasudeva and women bow and cover their faces as they pass by it.60 In the north Oudh and U.P the Bhot women worship the banyan tree by walking round it and tying a thread round its trunk. They do it to lengthen the life of their husbands 51 In Bihar the pipal and the Bar are regarded as the favourite dwelling places of the gods, and votive offerings of flags etc. are made to them which are either hung or fastened to a bamboo pole which is stuck in the ground close to them. 12 In Mirzapore the Pataris when fever prevails tie a cotton string which has never touched water round the trunk of a pipal tree and hang rags from the branches Crooke explains this custom as an illustration of transference of disease. 84 The writer has seen rag offerings being made to a banyan tree at Majgram in Pabna district in North Bengal by both Hindus and Moslems. This tree bears the curious name of Tena cora Vatagacha, that is the vata which steals rags. Another instance is known of the curious offerings of bundles of straw being made to a pair of pipal and banyan at Kumarbhog in Dacca

⁴⁵ W Crooke, Introduction to the Popular Religion and Folklore of Northern India, 1894, p. 249.

⁴⁶ Bombay Presidency Gazetteer, 1901, vol. IX, part I, p. 47

⁴⁷ Ibid., p. 386. 48 Ibid., p. 388. 49 Ibid. 50 W Crooke, Tribes and Castes of N W Provinces and Oudb, II, p. 10

⁵¹ Ibid., p 63

⁵² S Mitra, 'On Plantlore from Bihar,' JASB, vol. XXX, no 1, 1934.

⁵³ Crooke, Introduction etc., pp. 105 f.

district. In both instances the object appears to be transference of disease. As The practice of worshipping the Akṣaya-vaṭa within the sacred enclosure of the temple of Jagannātha at Puri by barren women for offspinig is well-known. The presiding deity of this tree is Ganesa and he is known as Vaṭa-Ganesa. Thus in folk worship the pipal is worshipped for gramming offspring, mainly a male child, for wealth, long life etc. It is also used as a medium for transference of evil and disease. The banyan and the udumbara are also worshipped for some of these purposes. The basis of the practice of hanging rags on the branches of the pipal and banyan is probably the memory of their old healing virties.

In the Buddhist religion the pipal is venerated as the Bodhi-tree or the tree of wisdom under which Gotama attained his Buddha-hood and as such features prominently in Budddhist works of art. "While in all the oldest accounts of Gotama's attainment of Buddha-hood there is no mention of the tree under which he was sitting at the time, yet already in a suttanta it is incidentally mentioned that this event took place under a pipal tree, and this is often referred to in later books. In the old sculptures the Buddha himself is never represented directly, but always under a symbol." Rhys-Davids explains that such representation of the pipal in the old sculptures is not to be taken as an evidence of tree worship, veneration is paid to it because it is a symbol of the Master or because of its association with him at a turning point in his career. But he proceeds, "Another hypothesis is possible,-that the disciples in all good faith, associated their Master with this particular tree because it already, before him, had been specially sacred above all other trees. .. The tree was certainly held in high esteem even as early as the Vedic poems. Vessels for the mystic Soma cult were made of its wood; and so were the caskets containing the medicinal herbs used in mystic craft of the physician of the day. The upper portion of the firedrill-and the production of fire was held to be a mystery-was of the wood of the pipal tree. And in one passage the tree in heaven under which the souls of the blessed recline is likened to pippala. Whether this would be sufficient reason for the rise of the tradition may be doubtful. But such association would add to its hold on popular imagination, if it had once

⁵⁴ N. M. Chaudhuri, 'A Curious Cult in North Bengal,' Indian Culture, vol. II, No. 3.

⁵⁵ L S S. O'Malley, Puri District Gazetteer, 1906, p. 11.

otherwise arisen."58 In one Bharut sculpture the Bodhi-tree is represented as springing from an altar enclosed by a railing and elephants paying homage. In another sculpture the tree is represented as springing from an altar with garlands hanging from its branches and devotees paying homage, one in the act of hanging a garland. 87 But as has been pointed out by Rhys-Davids the pipal as the Bodhi-tree or the tree of wisdom is not the tree of knowledge of folklore. "The wisdom was the wisdom of the Master, not of the tree or the tree-god, and could not be obtained by eating of its fruit."58 Nevertheless, it must be admitted that when in later times the pipal became, as in the Bharut stupa representations referred to above, a symbol of the Master and worship was offered to it, and garlands were hung from its branches, the reverence shown to it was not distinguishable from the reverence shown to the pipal as the abode of Visnu by Hindus. Fit to be venerated from its sacred association the tree itself became an object of veneration The Buddhist literature gives many legends about the sacred Bodhi-tree which do not concern us here. Hiuen Tsang mentions a great Pipal tree of Peshwar near which Kaniska built a great stupa. "This tree seems to have existed and been reverenced down to the time of Baber."80

The special regard paid to the sacred fig tree in Buddhist legends and sculptures would also appear to be common to Jamism. Some of the carvings in the famous rock caves in the Udayagin and Khandagin hills in Orissa in which Jama influence is well-marked testify to the popularity of the cult of pipal in Eastern India. The Jayavijaya cave contains carvings in the second compartment showing in the centre within a railing a holy pipal tree being worshipped by two males and two females, each of the latter holds a plate of offerings; the left male has folded hands and the right male is tying a garland or strips of cloth to a branch. It has been noted above that this peculiar mode of offering still prevails very widely in popular worship in different parts of this country and elsewhere. Again, the fourth tympanum in the Ananta cave shows a pipal tree within a square railing

⁵⁶ Rhys Davids, Buddhist India, pp 230 f.

⁵⁷ Cunningham, Stupe of Bharnt, Pl XXX: Rhys Davids op cit, p 228 Fig. 43, p. 220, Fig. 44

⁵⁸ Rhys Davids, op cst, p 230.

⁵⁹ J. Fergusson Tree and Serpent Worship, London, 1868, p 44 60 L. S S. O'Malley, Puri District Gazetteer, 1906, p. 257.

worshipped by a male with attendants; a woman is holding up a garland to be placed on a branch of the sacred tree and is followed by a female carrying a jar and a plate of offerings.⁶¹

One aspect of tree worship which features prominently in Buddhist legends and sculptures should be mentioned because it refers to the Fig. Referring to the traces of pre-Buddhistic popular beliefs in the Jātahas Rhys Davids writes that this folklore never attributed divine power to the pipal tree. "It happens always to be some other tree ... The tree detices were called Nāgas and were able at will, like the Nāgas, to assume human form; and in one story (Jātaha no. 493) the spirit of a banyan tree who reduced the merchants to ashes is called a Nāga-tāja, the soldiers he sends forth from . his tree are Nāgas and the tree itself is "the dwelling place of the Nāga." "3 It has been seen above that the pipal and banyan were the abodes of the Gandharvas, Apsarasas, Yaksas and next of the pretas; the Buddhist legends add the Nāgas to the list. In folk religion of the present day the Nāgas or serpents have a special tree, the SiJ (euphorbia), and the Fig tree does not appear to have any thing to do with them.

Thus though the worship of the pipal is popular among the Buddhists their literature and sculptures do not indicate that except the sacred association with the Master the tree had any other idea behind it.

From the foregoing it is possible to distinguish two aspects in the regard paid to the fig tree from the Vedic times down to the present day, e.g., reverence paid to the tree itself and reverence paid to it as an abode or symbol of deity. When the tree itself is worshipped the object is to secure the help of the magical potency of the tree, its branches drive away enemies, its leaves produce intelligence in the child and banish evil spritis, fulfil desires for wealth, male offspring its bark gives wealth. As an abode the tree serves Gods, Gandharvas, Apsarasas, Yaksassa, Nägas and lastly pretas or ghosts " They have to be propitiated for granting desires or for averting their displeasure. These spirits are sometimes embodied in the tree, sometimes they are represented in anthropomorphic forms independently but in close contact with the tree. Such representations abound in Buddhist art. It has been seen that the pipal appears represented both

⁶¹ L S S. O'Malley, op cst, p. 263 62 Rhys Davids, op cst, p. 232. 63 In Macedonia at this day the country folk avoid the plane, the poplar and the fig. for these are the favourite haunts of fairns. Euroyclopsedia of Religion and Ethres, vol. XII, p. 452

independently and in close contact with the in-dwelling spirit in anthropomorphic form among pre-historic finds at Harappa and Mohenjo-Daro. It may be said therefore that the popular cult of the Fig tree is of pre-historic origin and the unbroken continuity of the cult which it is possible to trace, shows the very remarkable vitality of the cult.

Now, did the representatives of the chalcolithic civilisation of the Indus Valley develop the cult amongst themselves or borrow it? Dr. Hutton has made a suggestion regarding the origin of the cult which, if it is accepted, would explain to some extent the amazing vitality of the cult. According to him the sanctity of the Fig tree is a contribution of the Negrito substratum of the Indian population. The cult appears among the Andamanese who are approximately a pure Negrito race, because physically and culturally they have been isolated for some five thousand years at least.44 The cult of the Fig tree is intimately associated with two other cults e.g. (1) fertility cult, and (2) cult of the spirits of the dead. It has been associated with fertility cults and the cult of the dead in South Europe, Africa and Oceania. 85 Hutton explains that "it is probably on account of its milk like sap that the ficus is associated with fertility cults in Africa, Italy, New Guinea as well as in Assam and Southern India."66 How the cult of the fig could have come to be associated with the cult of the dead is not clearly explained, but it appears that Hutton would attribute the association to the life-essence theory (of Austro-Asiatic and Mediterranean origin) which, according to him, combines fertility and soul-matter cults. 67

The belief in the sancity of the fig tree 1s then, according to him. a contribution of the earliest population of India which was also the earliest population of South-eastern Asia, this is proved by the existence of the cult among the Andamanese and by the traces of its existence among peoples whose kinship with the Negritos is established e.g., in the Malay Peninsula, Indian Archipelago etc. ⁶⁸ Whether the fig was associated with fertility cults and ancestor-worship in the early stage is not known, but later this association was established in India and other countries. The original cult must have undergone changes at the hands of races which followed though it cannot be said what new elements were added to it by them.

⁶⁴ J H. Hutton, Census of India, 1931, part I, p. 397.

⁶⁵ Ibid., p. 443. 66 Ibid. p. 397. 67 Ibid. pp. 393. 417 68 According to Wake the regard for the pipal extends through Africa, New Zealand, Australia, Sumatra, Java etc. Wake, Serpens Worship, p. 118.

At the stage it is found in the Indus Valley it had reached a high stage of development, as the works of art which have been unearthed reveal. For this development the Mediterraneans from the Persian Gulf (ultimately from E. Europe) together with brachycephals of the Armenoid branch of the Alpines, the authors of the Indus civilisation, according to Hutton, are responsible. 50 The cult survived the cataclysmic changes following the irruption of the Alpines from Iran and the Pamirs, according to Hutton,70 which swept away the prosperous civilisation of the Indus Valley and was adopted by the Vedic Aryans. It is important to observe what treatment was accorded to this indigenous cult by the new-comers. The cult was not merely tolerated as a belief of the conquered peoples, but it was also adopted in the official religion. The sacredness of the pipal is only incipient in the Rgueda as has been observed by Macdonell'1 but in the Atharva Veda, as we have seen, in the Brahmanas and Sutras the pipal, udumbara and nyagrodha occupy important place. In the Atharva Veda the worship of the fig is dominated by magico-religious ideas and it is possible that these ideas are a survival of the chalcolithic worship. This need not be regarded wholly as a speculation, because we have seen that in the Atharva Veda the pipal is a giver of a male child, the udumbara gives increase in children, cattle etc. and the pipal is also associated with the spirit of the dead; these ideas are associated with it in the Puranas and in more elaborate forms in folk-worship; and lastly Hutton has shown that the fig associated with fertility cults in Africa, S. Europe⁷² etc., and generally connected also with the spirit of the dead,"77 was regarded as sacred by the earliest population of the whole of S.E. Asia If it is undeniable that the pre-historic civilisers of the Indus Valley found the cult pre-existing them in the land it is quite possible that they also found, as the basis of the cult many of the old ideas associated with the tree and probably developed them, this view appears to be confirmed by the subsequent history of the cult.

Nanimadhab Chaudhuri

69 Hutton, op cit., p 460. 70 Ibid 71 Macdonell, Sanskrit Liters . p. 146 72 (1). Around the Medite. . . . antiquity the fig _ a favo.....

(a). The fig tree features prominently 1 the Aegean art.—ERE., XII, p 452 73 Hutton, op est, p. 397.

⁷a (1). Around the Medite...... antiquity the fig _ a favo.....

ERE, vol. IX, p 819

Certain Sanskrit Scholars of Medieval Bengal bearing the name "Ramabhadra"

The name Rāmabhadra appears to have been very popular in the period immediately following the golden epoch of the intellectual history of Bengal when scholars like Raghunandana Bhattācārya, Raghunātha Siromani and others were revolutionising the various branches of learning, viz., Smrti, Nyāya, etc. As a matter of fact we meet with three scholars bearing the name Rāmabhadra with the distinguishing epithets Nyāyālamkāra, Sārvabhauma and Siddhānta-Vāgīša, and many works on Smrti, Nyāyavaisesika, Vyākaraņa, Tantra, and Kāvya are associated with the names of one or other of them. Some works again are ascribed simply to "Ramabhadra" without any epithet whatsoever. It is for us to examine which books were actually written by which of these scholars. Besides this we propose, in this paper, to identify these authors, to determine their approximate dates, and also to give a very brief account of the works composed by them. Most of the MSS, being not available at hand we have necessarily to depend on the Notices and Catalogues of MSS. for certain details of the works, such as the date of copying, description of contents, number of their pages etc.

Mahāmahopādhyāya Rāmabhadra Nyāyālaṃkāra Bhaṭṭācārya

A. Literary Works

- (i) Smrh-tattva-samgraba, variously called Smrh-tattva-vinirnaya, Smṛti-samgraba, Vyavasthā-Vivecana, Vyavasthā-samksepa, or, Vyavasthā-rnava.¹
- (11) Dāya-bhāga-vivrts (dīpikā, -ṭīkā) 2
- (iii) Vidvan-modini.3
- (iv) Suddbi-tattua-karikā or simply Suddbi-kārikā (?)4
- 1 Dacca University MS. No. 661A, Indua Office Catalogue, 111. p 485; No 1567 (640), 1568 (743), 1569 (638a), P. V. Kanc, History of Distribustra, Vol. I, p. 660, H. P. Sastri, Notices (Second Series), I, p. 413 (No. 415).
 - 2 Ed. Bharet Siromani, R. L. Mitra, Notices, VI. No 2106
 - 3 R. L. Mitra, Nonces, VII p 257 (No. 2505).
- 4 H. P. Sastri, Notices, II. (Second Series), p. 197 (219), p. 196 (No 218), Day, Cast of Sans. MSS. in Asiatic Soc. of Bengel, Vol. III, Nos. 5136, 10819, 116.

The Smrti-tattua-samgraha

The Smrti-tativa-samgraba of the Dacca University collections seems to be the same as the Smrti-samgraba of the India Office with slight modifications. Besides a close resemblance in the names of the two works we find certain lines common to both. For instance, the line very वाग दत्तावा ऋषि कन्याकुमारीवद विवाह:occurs in both these works. Again the I.O. MS. reads अप उदबाहनिर्शयः। तस सापिएका निरूपते। whereas the D.U. MS. reads अबोद्वाहर्सचेपः । तह...निरूप्यते । The line प्रायक्षित्तव्यवस्था । ततादौ प्रायक्षित्तवक्ष्यम् etc. occurs in both the MSS. Both quote certain verses from the Sanatkumāra-sambitā at the end of the Suddhi-vyavasthā. The topics dealt with by both the works are the same with one or two variations. For these reasons these two seem to be different versions of the same work although their beginnings and colophons are different. The MS, entitled Smrti-tattva vinirnaya, noticed by H. P. Sastri, has no colophon but its introductory verses ascribe the work to "Ramabhadra." The Dacca University MS. referred to above has no introductory verse at all, nor does it have the same ending as the other one Yet the two books should not be considered different from each other. The line प्रायः सङ्क्यानामेव कर्मखामारम्भकवात बादौ स एव निरूप्यते which follows the introductory verse in Sastri's MS. occurs in folio 47b of the Dacca University MS., and Sastri's description of the contents of the former corresponds with that of the latter. The omission of the introductory verse in the D.U. MS. might have been accidental or its occurrence in the other one might have been due to interpolation by later writers or copyists. From the above discussion it seems that the very same Smrti work of Rāmabhadra passed by different names and in different versions. The copyists perhaps altered the arrangement of the topics of the original work according to their own convenience.

With regard to the Vyavasthārṇava, preserved in the India Office, it is not clear why the I.O. Catalogue calls it a part of the Smṛta-samgraba while the colophon ascribes it to one Rāghava Bhatṭa.

The Smrts-tativa-samgraba, covering over a hundred pages, is a digest containing the usual Smrti topics dealt with by Raghunandana in his twentyeight Tativas. The topics are, to mention only the most important ones, Suddhi (punfication), Śrāddha (Offering oblations to the Manes), Prāyaścitta

3357, 5009 (Senal Nos. 2100, 2101, 2291-93), R. L. Mitra, Notices, Vol. II. p. 313 (No. 920).

(penances), Tithi (particular days sustable to particular religious observances), Udviha (martiage), Mala-māsa (intercalasy month), etc. In this book the views of the Bengal school have been set forth in contrast with those of the Mithilā school, and authorities, both older and contemporary, have been freely quoted and attempted to be reconciled. The author occasionally gives his own independent remarks. The book is written in a simple language, and the writer seldom or never indulges in those recondite discussions of purely academic value with which the average reader of Raghusandana is familiar with.

Besides the traditional writers of Dharmasastra like Manu and Yajifavalkya, ecc., the author cites a host of comparatively recent writers of whom the following deserve special mention:—

Vācaspati Miśra, Smārta (i.e. Raghunandana), Pakṣadhara Miśra, Haridāsa Tarkācārya, Kullūka, Antruddha, Jikana Halāyudha, Mahādeva Bhaṭṭa, Narāyaṇa-upādhyāya, Suromaṇi Bhaṭṭācārya (i.e. Raghunātha Bhaṭṭācārya), Govindānanda, Somamiśra, Bhavadeva Bhaṭṭa, Dhanañjaya, and so on. To the contemporary writers he usually refers by the terms Navyāh, Navīnāh, Adhunikāh, etc.

Of the numerous works cited in this book the following are important:—

Mstāksarā, Kalpataru, Yāṣṇāvulkya-ṭikā of Devavodha, Saṭ-trimianmata, Hāra-latā, Sugats-sopāna, Gangā-mābātmya. Kapila-pāṇca-rātra, Bhagavad-ṣṇā, Śrāddba-vnveka, Srāddba-tatīva, Mala-māsa-tatīva, etc.

Among the Purānas are mentioned the Āds, Brahma, Kūrma, Bhavişya, Kālskā, and the Massya.

The Daya-bhaga-tika

This seems to have been the real name of the work as it is so called in the colophon. The word "Vivrti" or exposition occurring in the introductory verse refers to the natute of the work. It is a commentary on the Dāya-bāga of Jīmālauābana.

The Viduan-modinī

It is a commentary on the Ragbuvamsam of Kālidāsa up to the twelfth canto.

The Suddhs-tattva-karika

It is of doubtful authorship being diversely attributed to Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkāra, Nārāyaṇa Vandyopādhyāya, Harinārāyaṇa, etc. It is curious

that all the MSS., though attributed to different authors, appear from the description of their contents to be copies of the same work. Going by the majority one should suppose that the work was composed by Nārāyana and that the names of other writers were later associated with it by scribes through oversight or for some other reason. Out of the seven MSS., so far known, as many as three are attributed to Nārāyaṇa and two to Harinārāyaṇa. Of the remaining two one is ascribed to Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkara and the other has no name of the author. It may be noted that the last-mentioned MS., which is surmised to be Ramabhadra in the Assatio Society Catalogue, has a beginning different from the rest though its introductory verse is the same, hence it seems to be a different work. But to add to our confusion we find that MS. No. 219 of Sastri's Notices, Vol. II, (and Series) which is clearly attributed to Rāmabhadra, appears to be similar to the rest and unlike the one supposed to be of Rāmabhadra in the Assatsc Society Catalogue. It is perhaps significant that the date of copying the MS., clearly assigned to Ramabhadra, is Saka 1714, while the other dated MSS, of the work range from Saka 1767-1774 Nārāyana, who is believed to have lived in the early part of the eighteenth century,5 is definitely later than Rāmabhaddra Nyāyālamkāra, son of Śrīnātha-ācāryacudamanı Therefore the MS. bearing the earlier date may have been a work of Rāmabhadra. But the close resemblance in the description of the contents of the different MSS, stands in the way of our coming to any definite conclusion on this point. The name Harinarayana, however, found only in two of the MSS, which are almost synchronous with others, may perhaps be regarded as a mistake for Nārāyana.

B. Personal history

According to the India Office Catalogue a MS. of the Smrti-samgraha describes the author Rāmabhadra as Navadvīpa-nivāsin or a resident of Navadvīpa in Bengal, and adds to his name the epithets Mahāmahopādhya.

Nyāyālamkāra and Bhaṭṭācārya. The colophon to the Dacca University MS. of the Smrti-tattio-samgraba, however, adds only Bhaṭṭācārya to the name Rāmabhadra who seems to be the same man as Mahāmahopādhyāya Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkāra Bhaṭṭācārya. It is quite possible that out of

^{&#}x27;5 See H. P. Sastrs-Notices of Sans MSS Vol. I (Second Series)-Preface, p. XIV.

the three long titles the Dacca University MS. retained only one to the exclusion of others which might have been too well-known to need any repetition in the MS.

Besides giving the above epithets of Rāmabhadra the colophon to the Dāyabhāga-jīkā describes the author as Srīnātha-ācārya-cūdāmanitanuja, i.e., the son of Stīnātha-ācārya-cūdāmanı. The epithet Nyāyālamkāra is also found in the doubtful Suddbs-kārikā and all the epithets in the Vidvanmodinī. From the above we may conclude that Mahāmahopādhyāya Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkāra Bhattācārya was a son of Śrīnātha-ācārya-cūdāmani of Navadvipa, the celebrated teacher of the renowned Raghunandana Bhattäcarya, and author of many treatises on Smrti having the usual endings "arnava," "dīpikā," "candrikā" and "vīveka." That this Rāmabhadra had at least six sons, two of whom were named Ramesvara and Raghumani. is proved by the introductory verses of the MSS. of the Tantra-pramoda6 and the Agama sara respectively. From these verses we can gather that Rāmabhadta Nyāyālamkāra Bhattācārya was an erudite scholar of great repute living on the banks of the Ganges (Jabnu-kanyā-pratīra) and that many Brahmuns thronged to him and also that he was very charitably disposed (danair-dainya-daraugha-darana patuh) making large gifts to the poor. Rāmesvara and Raghumani describe themselves as the second and the sixth son respectively of Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkāra.

C. His date

As has been pointed our above this Rāmabhadra was son of Śrīnāthaācārya-cūḍāmaṇi. Śrīnātha is believed to have Irved sometime between 1490 & 1525 A.D.* This is, of course, the upper limit of Rāmabhadta's date. Of the authors and commentators on Navya-smrti and Navya-nÿay this Rāmabhadra mentions, inter alia, the names of Smārta Bhaṭṭācārya (or simply Smārta),* Syomaṇi Bhaṭṭācārya¹⁹ and Gowindānanda.¹¹ The date of Raghunandana, popularly known as Smārta Bhaṭṭācārya, ranges between 1490 & 1570 A.D.¹³ Siromaṇi Bhaṭṭācārya, whose real name was Raghunātha, , was a famous logician of Bengal, and is believed to have lived in the first

⁶ Vide Mitra's Notices, Vol. I p. 139 (No 260).

⁷ lbid, p. 141 (No. 266) 8 M Chakravarti in JASB, 1915, p 351

⁹ D.U. MS. of Smrtu-taitva-samgraba, op est, Fol. 16, 36, 1196, etc. 10 lbid., Fol. 114a. 11 lbid., Fol. 118b.

¹² Kane, Hist. of Dharmalastra, Vol. I, p 419.

quarter of the 16th century A.D.¹² Govindānanda's Interary activity is generally placed between 1500 & 1540 A.D.¹⁴ Thus we may approximately place this Rāmabhadra between the second quarter of the 16th century and the first decade of the 17th.

2. Mahāmahopādhyāya Rāmabhadra Sārvabhauma Bhaṭṭācārya

A. Literary Works

Nyāya-vaiścsika

- (i) Padārtha-khandana-tsppani, variously called Padārtha-viveka-prakāša, Padārtha-tatīva-vivecana-prakāša ex Padārtha-khandana-tikā^{1,5}
- (n) Nyāya-kusumāñjah-vyākhyā (or, -kānkā-vyākhyā) 16
- (m) Guna-rabasya 17
- (tv) Nyäya-rahasya 18
- (v) Didhiti-țikă 19

Tantra

 (vi) Şat-cakra-dipikā (or, -vivarana), variously called Şat cakra-kramadipikā or umply Cakra-dipikā 20

Grammar

(vii) Samāsa-vāda 21

The Padārība-khandana-tikā

Raghunātha Siromani's work, on which the present book is a commentary, "is known under four different names, viz., Padārtba-kbanḍana, Padārtba-tattva, Padārtba-tattva-tvovceana, and Padārtba-nrūpapar' 22 and the commentary is indicated by adding the terms "tīkā," "tippanī," and "prakāša" to any of these names at option. The name Pādārtba-kbanḍana appears to be the name originally assigned to his work by Raghunātha "as

- 13 A Hist of Ind Logic, S C Vidyabhusana, p 463
- 13 A Hist of Ina Logic, 3 C Vitiyabitisana, p 40.
 14 Hist of Dharmasastra, Vol. I, op cit, p 415
- D.U MS No. 2638, Calcutta Sans College Cat., III p 241 (No 399) and p. 268 (N.o. 465), Mitra's Notices, III No 1132
 - 16 Cal Sans Coll Cat , III. No 318, Mitra's Notices, II No. 525
- 17 D.U MS. No 364.
- 18 JASB., 1915, p. 280 and Hust of Ind Logic, op at, p 469
- Ibid.,
 D.U MS, No 1884 and Sastri's Notices, I. (Second Series), p. 386 (No 383)
- 21 Mitra's Notices, VII p 124 (No 2252) and Cal Sans Coll Cat., Vol VIII p. 146 (No. 190).
- 22 Mitra's Notices, III. p. 85 under No. 1132.

A commentary on the Padārsha-khandana it treats of such topics as विकासवीरीभरातिरिक्तनसरकनम्, मनसः सरकनम् ecc.

The Nyaya-kusumanjali-vyakhya

It is a commentary on Udayana's Kusumānalı, "in which he mentions the Prakāša (of Vardhamāna) and the Maksanda (of Rucidatta), written according to his father's interpretations," 24

The Guna-rabasya

According to M. Chakravartu it is a commentary on the Gwna-kinanāueli of Udayanācārya. Some, however, consider it to be an independent work written on the lines of Vasieşuka-darkana-bhāzya, ecc. of Pra'astapadācārya.

The Nyaya-rahasya

-"a commentary on Gotama's Nyāya-sūtra itself, quoted in Jagadīsa Tarkālamkāta's Sabda-šakti-prakāšikā."²⁵

The Didhiti-tikā

-"a sub-commentary of Ragbunātha's commentary, of which tragments only have been found, viz., Vāyu-vāda, (Anumāna), Nāñ-vāda, and Samāsa-vāda (Śabda)."28

The Sat-cakra-dipskā

This seems to have been the real name of the work as it is so called in the colophon to the MS. No. 383 of Sastr's Notices, Vol. I (Second Series). The introductory verse, however, calls it Cokra-dipikā the word "Sat" being omitted probably for metical necessity. Both the Dacca University MS. and the one just referred to begin as "Atha Sat-cakra-vivaranam" after the introductory verse. Hence the word "Sat-cakra-vivaranam" abould perhaps be regarded as a reference to the topic to be dealt with and not as a name of the work.

²³ Mitra's Notices, III. p 85 under No 1132.

²⁴ M. Chakravartı in IASB, 1915, op cit, p. 280. 25 Ibid, 26 Ibid.

The work deals with such matters as सहिक्रम, वेहोत्पत्ति, चतुर्विशातितस्य, गृडतस्य, वेह, पट्चक, परमात्मसाचात्कार, and प्रसय, etc.

The Samasa-vada

According to M. Chakravarti this is a part of the Didbriti-šikā of the same author, but the introductory verses of and the colophons to the extant MSS of the Samās-oida do not substantiate this view. Had it been so, in the introductory verse of the work or in its colophon we would expect at least a mention of the larger treatise of which it is supposed to be a part. Furthermore, it is not the usual practice among writers to begin each chapter of a work with a separate introductory verse.

B. Personal history

In the colophons to his works this Rāmabhadra is often styled Mahāmahopādhyāya and Sārvabhauma. The introducrory verses of some of his works seem to throw some light, though not very clear, on the personal history of the author. These verses are as follows:—

- सपत्नीकं गुरु नत्वा तथेव कुलदेवताम् । रामभइसावैभीमः कुरुते वक्कदोपिकाम् ॥ (Sat-cakra-dipikā)
- वंशीमधुरनिवादैमीहितगोपाझनाचितः। गावद्गोपशिश्चनां मध्ये तृष्यतः हरिर्जयिति ॥
 चुडामणि.....गुणरहस्त्रम् । रामनदतावैभीमभहावाविविधायते ॥
 (Guna-rabaya, D.U. MS. No. 164)
- तातस्य तर्कसरधीरुइकाननेषु चूडामणेदिनसणोधरणौ प्रशम्य ।
 श्रीरामभद्रमुकृती कृतिनां हिताय सीसावशात किमाप कैतुकमातनोति ॥
 (Padärtba-kbandana-tikā)
- 4 भवानीमवनाथास्यां पितृस्यां प्रक्तास्यहम् यतप्रसादादिदं शास्र कदस्वीरोपमं कृतम् ॥ मकान्द्रप्रकारो या व्याख्या मलिमलेऽथवा ।

ततोऽधिको पितुर्व्याख्यामाख्यातुमयमुखमः॥

[Intro-verses 2 and 3 of Kusumāñjals-vyākhyā, Cal. Sans. Coll. Cat , Vol. III. p 200, No. 318]

The first of the above verses does not help us materially as it does not mention the name of the Guru. The mention of Cūdāmani in the second verse is significant, but the portion immediately following the word being completely effaced we are not in a position to ascertain whether Cūdāmani has been mentioned as a teacher of the author or simply as a Pūrvācārya. Srīnātha-ācārya-cūdāmani was well-known as a teacher of Nyāya and it is

very likely that he has been referred to as his Guru by Rāmabhadra in this work on Nyāya. Otherwise the mention of Cūdāmaņi here cannot be explained satisfactorily.

The third verse, however, is highly significant. It appears to admit of two interpretations:—

- (1) वर्षस्पर्यसम्बद्धाननेषु (in the forests of lotuses in the shape of Dialectics) विनमधेः (दर) वातस्य चूटामधोः (of the father Cüdāmanı who is the sun, as it were) बरुषो प्रकास (having saluted the feet), etc.
- (a) तातस्य तकसरसीवृहकाननेषु (in the forests of lotuses in the shape of Dialectics emanating from the father) दिनसकोः (इव) चूटासकोः वरको प्रकार

Cūdāmaņi obviously refers to Srīnātha-ācārya-cūdāmaņi, the celebrated teacher of Raghunandana, the famous Smrti writer of Bengal. The author seems to pay homage to Cüdamanı who enlightened him in the obscure Tarkaśāstra in which his father was an adept. Just as lotuses bloom at the rise of the sun, the dispeller of darkness, so also the mind of the author was enlightened by Cüdāmanı with respect to the abstruse science of Dialectics All difficulty lies in the word "tāta" (father). Is it to be construed with तर्कसरसीहरूकाननेषु, or supposed to be in apposition with Cudamani? The latter meaning is untenable because Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkāra being definitely known to be a son of Śrīnātha it is not at all possible that another of his brothers bore the same name with only a different epithet. The former meaning seems appropriate because it is in the fitness of things that the author should make a reference to his father whose work, the Padarthakhandana, he was commenting upon It may, therefore, be deduced that the present Rāmabhadra was a son of Raghunātha Siromani and a pupil of Śrinātha. Siromani and Cūdāmani having been contemporaneous there can be no possible objection to this conjecture. This view is also shared by Hall, of whose supposition, however, M. Chakravarti finds "no proof."27

From the fourth verse M. Chakravatti concludes that the author was a son of Bhavanātha and Bhavānā. This conjecture is, however, open to criticism. In the last line of the verse the author admittedly praises "his father's teachings as better than those in the Prakāia and Makaranda." This clearly implies that the father of the author was a logician of deep crudition. But the name Bhavanātha is nowhere to be met with in the whole range of the Nyāya literature. In none of the numerous MSS. of

this Rāmabhadra's works except this do we find Bhavanātha and Bhavānī mentioned as parents of the author. Moreover, it is curious and a matter of very rare occurence that the name of the wife should have almost the precise feminine form of the husband's name. The fact seems to be that by these words the writer refers to Siva with his consort, whom he salutes at the beginning of his work. A similar instance of saluting these particular detues together may be found in the words Pārvatī-parameśvarau in the first verse of the Raghuoams'im of Kālidāsa.

C. His date

As pointed out above the date of Stinatha is believed to fall between 1490 & 1525 A D. If Śrīnātha was the teacher of the author then the above date becomes the upper limit of the latter's time. The earliest known MS. of Rāmabhadra Sārvabhauma was copied in Samvat 1670 or 1613 A.D. which date, therefore supplies the lower limit of his date. Jagadīśa Tarkā-Lamkāra, a well-known logician of Bengal, mentions Rāmabhadra Sārvabhauma as his Guru29 or preceptor and associates the Nyava-rabasya with his name. Jagadīśa's date, according to M. Chakravatti, 80 falls roughly between 1590 & 1625 A.D. Therefore this Ramabhadra must have flourished earlier Jayarāma Nyāya-pañcānana Bhattācārya, another Bengali logician of repute mentions "Rāmabhadra" in the introductory verses of one of his books 31 But Jayarāma here gives none of the epithets of Rāmabhadra nor does he expressly mention him as his Guru. It is not clear how from mere mention of the name M Chakravarti concludes that Ramabhadra Sarvabhauma was the preceptor of Jayarama. The practice of saluting a Pürvacarya in the introductory verses of a work is not unknown among the old Sanskrit scholars but that does not necessarily prove that the person so adored was the preceptor of the writer. If Javarama refers to this Ramabhadra then the latter must be assigned to a date anterior to 1600-1625 A.D., the approximate date of Javarama. 38

²⁹ Cp शीसावैनीसस्य गुरो:..... Vide IASB, 1915, op at. p. 282 (footnote) इति पुनर्त्यायरहस्य श्रस्यस्युष्ट्यरणा:—Ibid.

³⁰ JASB., 1915, op at, p 282.

³¹ Cp. मूर्ज्याचाय व राममङ्करखङ्क्यूर्स्वन्बद्धस्यू—IASB., 1915, op cst. p. 282. 32 Ibid., p. 284.

3. Mahāmahopādbyāya Rāmabhadra Siddhāntavāgīśa Bhaṭṭācārya

A. Literary Works

Sabda-šakts-prakāšikā-tikā(-subodbini or, -prabodbini).31

This is the only work of the author known till now. It is a commentary on the Sabda-šakis-prakāšskā of Jagadiša.

B. Personal history

In the colophons to the MSS. of his works the author is often styled Navadvipiya. Siddhāntavāgīša, Mahāmahopādhjāya and Bhaṭṭācārya. S. C. Vidyabhusana³⁴ describes this author as a grandson of Jagadīša Tarkālarpkāra. But in fact he seems to have been a pupil of Jagadīša, because in the introductory verse of the above book he salutes his Guru who is mentioned to be the author of the work he commented upon, in and Jagadīša is well-known as the author of the Sabda-sakts-prakāsīkā. Had Jagadīša been both the grand-father and the Guru of the author it would seem unnatural that Rāmabhadra should have referred to him only as Guru and not at all to his personal relationship.

C. His date

Jagadíša, whether the grand-father or the Guru of Rāmahhadra, was certantly the author of the work on which the latter wrote his commentary. Now as Jagadíša is believed to have lived some time between the last decade of the 16th century and the first quarter of the 17th, Siddhāntavāgiša may be placed roughly in the second quarter of the 17th century.

Works attributed to "Rāmabhadra" without any distinguishing epithet

- I KU SU PA 36
- 2 Sleşa-virodbini 81
- 3 Dāya-bbāga-sıddbānta-kumuda-candrikā.38
- 33 Cal Skt College Cat. III p 265 (No 460) p 326 (No 580) Mitra's Notices, I p 104 (No 194), Sastra's Notices, X p 109 (No 3367).
 - 34 A Hist of Ind. Logic, op at, p 480
 - 35 C.p गिरिमित्र गुरुमिह नत्वा तत्त्कृतराब्द्शक्रिप्रकाशिकास टाट.
 - 36 D.U. MS. No 4248.
 - 37 Sastri's Notices, X p 70 (No 3313).
 - 38 Mitra's Notices, VI. p. 142 (No 2079).

The KU SU PA

In the Dacca University Collections we find a very small MS, which has neither any introductory verse nor any colophon. The name of the work and its authorship are hinted at by the words "Rāmabbadrā KU SU PĀ" noted on the righthand margin of three pages of the MS. Some folia, however, contain on the margin the words "Han KU SU PĀ" instead of those mentioned above KU SU PĀ may be an abbreviation for Kusumā-ñjals-pātrā, the term "pātrā" being commonly used by the Pandits to mean handbooks which are, so to say, abridged editions of larger treatives prepared for ready reference. It may be that this Kusumā-ñjals-vyākbyā of the same author. The work deals with only a portion of the Kusumā-ñjals of Udayana.

The word "Hari" in "Hari KU SU PĀ". though not very clear in a work of Rāmabhadra, may refer to the reputed logician Haridāsa Nyāyālanskāra Bhatṭācārya By Hari KU SU PĀ the authoi may mean the Kusumā-ñjali-pātrā written on the lines of Haridāsa, author of the noted Kusumā-ñjali-vyākbyā. Haridāsa's date falls roughly towards the end of the 15th century A.D. and he is, therefore, much earlier than Rāmabhadra Sārvabhauma. Hari KU SU PĀ may as well mean the Kusumā-ñjali-pātrā of Haridāsa Possibly the "pātrās" both of Haridāsa and of Rāmabhadra were mixed up.

The Slesa virodhini

It is a commentary on the Rāghava-pāṇdavīya of Kavirāja

This work presents a good deal of difficulty with regard to the identification of its author. The introductory verse ascribes the work to "Ramabadra" without any of the usual epithets. The author salutes one Tarkavägiša Bhaṇṭācārya* without mentioning the latter's relationship with himself. In no other works of the above three Rāmabhadras known till now, do we find the author making an honourable mention of Tarkavägiša in the introductory verses. From the respectful mention of his name at the commencement of the work it seems that Tarkavāgiša was either the teacher or the father of the author. Now who this Tarkavāgiša possibly was? In the history of Bengal Logic we find the names of two eminent scholars

with the common epithet Tarkavāgīša Bhaṭṭācārya. They are Kaṇāda and Mathuṭaṇātha. Tradition, preserved among the present-day Paṇḍits of Bengal, generally refers by this short utle of Tarkavāgīša to Mathuṭāṇātha, the author of the Mābuṇī, the well-known commentary on the Tattua-cnnāmaṇi of Gaṇgɛśopādhyāya. From the introductory verses mentioned above we learn that the author wrote such commentaries as Kumāra-stava-vyākbyā and Kumāra-ksumnatā, etc.

It may be that this Ramabhadra, a commentator on various Kavya works, is the same person as the author of the Viduan-modini. Both the names of the Viduan-modini and of the Slesa-virodhini, by the likeness of their forms, seem to have been chosen by one man. Ramabhadra, son of Śrīnātha, is the author of the Vidvan-modini, and none of the other two Rāmabhadras is known to have had Kāvya as one of the subjects of his study. As aforesaid, from the Slesa-virodhini itself we learn that the author was a commentator on various poetical works. Hence it will perhaps not be without any substantial ground to attribute both these commentaries to Srīnātha's son named Rāmabhadra. It may be that the Viduan-modini, which was perhaps written later than the Slesa-virodbini, was not included in the list of the author's commentaries appended to the latter. Or, the emission might have been purely accidental. From the point of view of dates there is no absurdity in Śrīnātha's son having been a pupil of either Kanada or of Mathuranatha, both of them flourishing after Srinatha, or, at least, having been his earlier and later contemporaries respectively. Rāmabhadra Nyāyālamkāra being silent about the name of his Guru in his other works there is no difficulty in supposing Tarkavagisa to have been his teacher from the respectful mention of the latter's name in the Slesa-virodbini.

Th Dāya-bhāga-sıddhānta-kumuda-candrıkā

In the colophon to a M.S. of this work it is ascribed to one Mahāmahopādhyāya Rāmabhadra. But as no other distinguishing epither is added to the name of the author and because from the discription of its contents the work appears to be different from the Dāya bbāga-ṣīkā of Rāmabhadra Nyāyālanṣkāra we are not in a position to identify the author M. Chakrarti, however, holds it to be a work of Acyutānanda Cakravarti but wrongly assigned to Rāmabhadra in the M.S. referred to above.⁴⁰

The results of our above discussions may briefly be stated as follows: --

I. Rāmabhadra Nyāyālaṃkāra Bhaṭtācārya. (Circe 1550-1610). Author of

- (1) Smrts-tattva-samgraba
- (11) Dāya-bbāga-tīkā
- (III) Vsdvan-modeni

- (IV) Slesa-virodbini.
- (v) Suddby-tattva-kārikā.
- 2 Rāmabhadra Sārvabhauma Bhattācārya. (Ctrca, end of the 16th century). Author of
 - (1) Padärtha-khandana-tikà
 - (11) Nyāya-kusumānjais-vyākhyā
 - Kusumā-nyalı-pātrā (111) Guna-rahasya

- (IV) Nyāya-rabasya
- (v) Didhiti-tikā
- (v1) Sat-cakra-dipika (vii) Samāsa-vāda
- 3. Rāmabhadra Siddhāntavāgīša Bhattācārya (Carca 1650). Author of the

Sabda-śaku-prakāśika-tikā

SURES CHANDRA PANERJI

Some Tales of Ancient Israel, their Originals and Parallels*

2. SOLOMON S JUDGMENT

A. The story in the Bible

An instance of Solomon's wisdom is related in the Bible, i Kings, Ch. 3. They dwelt in the same house. One said that she was delivered of a child, and the other was also delivered on the third day, but by overlaying it she killed it and took her son from her side and laid it in her bosom. Both of them claimed the living child. The king ordered: "Drivide the living child into two, and give half to the one and half to the other."

"a6. Then spake the woman whose the living child uses unto the king, for her bowels yearned upon her son, and she said, O my lord, give her the living child, and in no wise slay it. But the other said, let it be neither mine nor thine, but divide it."

"27. Then the king said, Give her the living child, and in no wisc slav it: she is the mother thereof."

B. Mahosadha's Judgment

(extract from the cy. on Mahaummagga-Jataka no. 346)

Story of the son—A certain woman had come to Mahosadha's tank, bathed her son, laid him on her dress and herself entered the tank to bathe A Yakṣṇṇ̄ (female goblin) destring to eat the child, put on the guise of a woman, came to the spot, begged permission of the mother to suckle him, and after playing with him for a while carried him off. The other ran after her crying, "Where are you taking away my boy?" The Yakṣṇṇ̄ said, "Whence did you get your son? he is my son." The dispute came before Mahosadha. He kinew at once by her unwinking and red eyes that she was a goblin but helped the public to find out the truth. He drew a line on the ground, laid the boy in the middle of it, bade the Yakṣṇṇ̄ hold the boy by the hands, and the mother by the legs and said, "The boy belongs to

Continued from p. 233

her who pulls him over." Both began to pull, but the boy thus stretched began to cry in pain; the mother let him go and stood weeping (mātā badayena phalitena viya puttam mocetos rodamānā aṣṭhāsī). To her the child was given. Mahosadha disclosed the identity of the Yakṣiṇī to the assembly and admonished her: "Andbabāle, pubba pa pāpaham hatvā yakhbinī yātāsī, sdāni pana pi pāpam hatvās..."

C. Jain Stories from Nandi Sutra

(1) No. 17. Story of the Putta (son)-A certain merchant had two wives, the one bore a son, the other was stenle. The other had, however, so much affection for the boy that he did not know particularly who was his real mother. The merchant went with his son and two wives to another land where he died. The wives started quarelling. The one said. "The boy is mine, I am therefore the mistress of the house" The other retorted likewise. The mother came to the court. The amātya ordered his officers: "Look here, first divide all articles, and then divide the boy by a saw, and having done that give one part to the one and the other to the other. When the real mother of the boy heard the order she was stunned as if a thousandflamed thunderbolt had struck her head, and the oblique dart of sorrow had pierced the very core of her heart. She said "My lord, the minister, let hers be the son, let her be the mistress also, I have no need of money, I would fain live away in poverty in another's house, still see my son alive; without my son, even now, I feel the world is sinking in darkness." The other woman spoke nothing. The boy was given to the rightful mother.

(11) Story of Tirtbankara Sumatsnätha's Mother (who was a queen).—
The Tirthankara was so called because when he was in the womb of his mother, her intellect sharpened, and she gave the wise verdict noted below. (See Mrs. Stevenson's Heart of Januam p. 52, also Abbidhāna Cintāmani of Hemacandra. Yadvā garbhasthe jananyāh sunsicitā matirabbuditi Sumats)

This incident is also told in (nt) another story of the Nandisūtra viz.

Atthusastha as follows:—An old banker came with two wives and son to the country of Sumatunātha and died there. Each woman claimed the one child as hers. At this time Lord Sumati was in the womb of his mother Mangalādevī. She knew the truth. She summoned the two wives and said, "After a few days my son will be born; when he will grow up, he will sit

under the Aśoka tree and solve your dispute, therefore do you bide the time, eat and drink without care." Then the woman who was not the mother of the boy thought, "Anyhow I have got so much time, I do not know what will happen hereafter" and gladly assented to the proposal. The queen knew she was not the mother of the boy and scolded her, and declared the other to be the real mother. The queen's autpatible buddhs.

D. A Tibetan Tale

This has a close parallel in Tsbetan Tales (pp. 120-21) A householder whose wife bore no child took a concubine who bore a son but who fearing harm from the step-mother made him over to the wife. The wife thought: "As she who has a son ranks as the mistress of the house, I will bring him up." On the death of the householder the wives quarrelled about the possession of the house, each asserting that it belonged to her. The king asked the ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ming asked the ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ming asked the ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ming asked tho ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ming asked the ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ministers to enquire about the ownership of the son. The ministers to end the son the son that we would not decide. When the boy being pulled would cry out for pain, the real mother would let him go knowing that if her child remained alive the would be able to see him again.

Johannes Hertel' writing in 1922 observed: "Let us first consider the problem of the migration of stones. The story books which have been proved to have been imported, directly or indirectly, from India to Europe, and previously to the establishment of British rule in India are the following: the story of Barlaam and Joasaph, the books contained in 'Kalilah and Dimnah'. a Jain recension of Sukasaptati, the romance of Syntipas and the voyage of the Sons of Gulffer." A characteristic story of the Syntipas was discovered in Ratnaccida-kathā narrated in two Svetambara books, one in old Gujrati and the other in Sanskrit, He has no doubt that "some day or other, the other two will be discovered in the literature of the Svetambars of Gujrat."

With regard to the story of the judgment of Solomon he says. "It has indeed been proved that the premises on which the whole story has been constructed are only to be found in India. . . In all the other cases the only way to arrive at sound conclusions is, to compare the whole mass

of all the European variants of a story to the whole mass of the Indian variants of the same story, and to prove, on the basis of the results of such an examination, that a single variant, which of course in most cases will not be the original one, was transferred from India to Europe, or site-versa."

The task is stupendous. I have been putting forth efforts in this direction.

3. SOLOMON'S WISDOM

A. The Root-end of a stack

(1) The Queen of Sheba puts a number of riddles to Solomon One of them is:

19. The Queen of Sheba thereupon ordered that the sawn trunk of a cedar tree be brought, and then she asked Solomon to tell her at which end the root had been and at which end the branches.

Solomon ordered the trunk to be cast into the water, and one end sank, which the other floated on the surface of the water. Then he said unto her 'The part which sank was the root, and that which floated on the surface was the end containing the branches "8"

(11) Jam Nandisütra

A king of a foreign country sent to the Murunda king of Pāṭaliputra three curious things of which one was samā yaṣīf i.e. a level stuck, of uniform roundness and asked him to tell which was the root-end. Ācārya Pāḍalipta who was at his court bade the stick be thrown into water, one end was found to be heavier than the other, therefore that was the root of the stuck. This story is also found in (iii) Jaina Parīšiṣṭaparvan. (iv) One version occurs in Pāli Jat. no. 546 (story no. 8)—"The Pole." A span of acacia pole nicely turned was sent to East Market Town and the people ordered to find which was the top and which the root of the stuck." Mahosadha tied a string round its middle, let it down in water, the root being heavier sank first. (v) Another version is given in the Mūlasarvāstivādavinaya, (Gelgis Mas.) III, 2 p. 64).

(vi) In the preface to Jīvānanda's edition of Mudrārāksasa the following story occurs: Once some kings advised by ministers sent to king Nanda

⁶ Rappoport—Myths and Legends of Ancient Ireal, vol. III, pp 129-30 See also p 127: "The Muhash Harbefez however contains nunction riddles" A similar story to lat. no. 546.

of Magadha a sealed casket containing a cubit length of deodar wood smoothly and evenly turned requesting him to find out the top and root end of the stick (kimasya mālam kā vāsya sikhā). The problem was similatly solved by the Brahman Subuddhi who was made the Chief minister of the king and in time became the celebrated Raksasa,

(vii) A Tibetan Tale (Mahausadha and Visākha)

"On another occasion, a merchant from the south brought a stem of sandal wood, of which no one knew the upper end from the lower. Mahausadha's wife told him to throw the stem into a pool. The root end would then sink downwards." (vm) See also Sukasaptati-38th Night.

B. Various relations between a woman and her son

Said the Oueen of Sheba: "I will ask thee another question. A woman once said unto her son: Thy father is my father, thy grandfather my husband, thou art my son but I am thy sister."

To which Solomon made answer: "It must surely have been Lot's daughter who thus spoke to her son." The origin of the story is to be found in (a) the story of Utpalavarna as given in the Tibetan Tales and (b) a story in Jain Parisista-parvan.

(a) (i) Tibetan Tales, No. X. pp. 286 ff.

As Utpalavarna's mother entered into illicit intercourse with her husband, she flung her new-born daughter to her husband and left the house. She then joined a caravan proceeding to Mathura and married the leader. The latter returned to Taksaśīlā and married Utpalavarnā's daughter. When Utpalavarna discovered it, she left the house again and came to Vaisālı and became a courtesan. There she gave birth to a son and asked her maid to expose him. He was adopted by the eastern warder at Vaisāli. Afterwards she gave birth to a daughter who was adopted by the western warder. Subsequently she had to marry the eastern warder's son to whom was also given the western warder's daughter to wife. Utpalavarnā now bore a son to her new husband. The western warder's daughter was one day sporting with the child when a Brahman came and asked how the boy was related to her. She said: "He is my brother, the son of my brother, my son (i.e., step-son), and my brother-in-law. His father is my father (i.e., step-father), my brother, and now my husband."

- (ii) The Tibetan tale itself has been derived from the Theri-gatha Commentary, pp. 182 ff.
- (b) Story in Jain Parisistaparvan (Introduction, and Canto II. slokas 224-314).

The story of the Courtesan Kuberasenā who married her son Kuberadatta. Kuberasenā gave birth to twins Kuberadatta and Kuberadattā. She put them in a box which floated down the Yamuna and reached Sayaranagara. Two metchants adopted each one of them. They were subsequently married, but before consummation accidentally discovered their true relation. Kuberadatta went to Mathura and was seduced by Kuberasena to marry her. By him she had a son, Kuberadatta, who had become a nun, went to Mathura, took her abode in her brother's house, and addressed the child. "You are my brother, son, brother-in-law, nephew, uncle, and grandson and child. Your father is my brother, father, grandfather, husband, son and father-in-law. Your mother is my mother, grandmother, my brother's wife, daughter-in-law, mother-in-law, and husband's second wife."

Jacobi cites in the footnote the following references-story of Oidipus and the mediaeval legend of Gregorious and the 17th story of Erotica of Parthenius, De Periande Matre.

C. The Queen asked Solomon "Distinguish between the males and females."

In the Tibetan Tale (no. VIII) Mahausadha was asked to distinguish between the male and the female serpent. Visākhā showed him how to do it.

4. KING SOLOMON AND HIS DAUGHTER⁸

King Solomon had a daughter of peerless beauty named Kaziah Once he read in the stars that she would marry a poor and destitute lad of the children of Israel. Greatly grieved at this, Solomon made up his mind to prevent the occurrence of such an event, and in order to do so he sent his daughter away from Jerusalem.

She was placed in a high tower in a distant island out in the sea. Strict secrecy was maintained, the place was thoroughly secluded and secure-

8 Rappoport-Op. cst., vol III, pp. 100-11.

by guarded, and none could penetrate into the tower. The husband of the princess was a poor lad named Reuben from Akko. Journeying on one cold dark night he took shelter in the torn carcass of an ox which was carried by an enormous bird to the top of the tower. The boy and the gul met and later on plighted their troth.

The confinement of the girl in the tower to prevent the marriage has its parallel in the Ghaṭa-Jātaka (100 454) which may be its source. Therein we read that it was told by the soothsayers that a son both of Devagabbhā would destroy the line of her brother Kaṃsa. (Tassā pātadrusas nomittikabrābmanā "etissā kucchryam nibbattaputto Kamsabbogam Kaṃsauaṃsam nāsissatītu vyākariṃsu). So she was kept confined in a single round tower (ekatāḥṃakam pātādam), where she was watched by Nandagopā and her husband Andhakavenhu Upasāgara, her destined husband. however, contrived to meet her there. Cf. also PVA II 6 (p. qa) and II q (p. 111).

Another story is told in the Dhammapada-atthakashā (Dhpd XXVI. 33) where the daughter of a banker is guarded in a seven-storied palace (sattabhāmakapāsāda). Now a Vidyādhara flying through the air alighted on the palace and lived with her and as a consequence she conceived. (Sā tena saddbim samvāsam anvāya na ciriass'eva gabbham paṭilabhi Atha nam sā dāsī duvā "Amma kim idan" it vostvā botu mā kassacs ācikkhi"... When after ten months she was delivered of a son he put hum in a new essel, covered it over by means of flower garlands, and asked the maid-servant to consign it to the Ganges. The boy was saved. This incident is the fore-tunner of the story how Moses was exposed in an ark of bulrushes on the water of the Nile* and was saved by Thermutis, the daughter of the Pharaph.

The incident of Reuben being carried by an enormous bird to the roof of the tower where Kaziah was confined has its parallel in the story of Udena (which has many versions). It is related in the Dhammapada applanthathā that "a monster bird with a bill as big as an elephant's trunk came soaring through the air. Seeing the queen (of Parantapa and Udena's mother) and mistaking her for a piece of meat, he spread his wings and swooped down. The bird pounced upon her, caught her up in his talons and soared away with her into the air........

(This is probably the origin of the stories of roc bird in the Arabian Nights' Entertainments).

q Rappoport-Op at, vol II, ch. XVII.

There are other incidents in the Solomon stories which can be traced to Indian sources (e.g., K.S.S., Suka-saptats etc.).

5. THE DETHRONED KING

With regard to the Dethroned King legend of Solomon which spread widely in Europe, Rappoport remarks:—"Now the legends told respectively in the Gesta Romanorum and in the above-mentioned mediaeval poems are based upon the tale of Solomon as told in the Talimudic legend and particularly upon the version given in Ierusbalini Talimudi. It has been pointed out that the Jews themselves had been influenced by the literature of India. "Legends told of Viktamāditya" wrote Benfey, "have been applied to Solomon....." This theory of Benfey has been adopted by Varnhagen. According to this author, India was the cradle of all the imaginative tales, of myths and legends which delighted the mediaeval ages and have been handed down to modern times." 18

6. DAVID AND ISHBI BENOB

The story of David and Ishbi Benob resembles one in the Rāmāyaṇa. Satan took the form of a deer and enticed away David (while hunting) into the land of the enemy. Ishbi Benob (brother of the giant Goliath whom David had killed) seized and imprisoned him. Abishai, a servant of David, while washing his head, saw drops of blood in the water and heard the moaning plaint of a dove (the symbol of the people of Ivael) and concluded that these portents indicated that the king was in danger. He went to rescue the king. The earth flew under him (a miracle) and he artived quickly in front of Ishbi's house. Here he met Ishbi's mother, Orpah, who fluig a spindle at him with intent to kill him. Abishai fluig back the spindle which killed Orpah. Ishbi builed up David in the air, and held his lance up with intent to transfix him as he would fall through the air. Abishai quickly uttered the Ineffable name of God, which had the effect of arresting David in his fall and keeping him suspended between Heaven and Earth.

(Cf. the famous story of Trisanku and the suspension in the air of sacrificial victims in Janamejaya's sarpa-yayña—undoubted source of the Israel story).

to Rappoport-Op cit, vol III, p. 148.

Rappoport cites the story of demon Mārīca assuming the disguise of a golden deer whom Rama pursued at Sita's request. The situation is different but there is the common element of the deer enticing the hunter and leading him into danger. "Are we entitled to conclude that the Talmudical legend is of Indian origin and the Rabbis of the Talmud had received the story from Persian sources?"11 asks he.

Commenting on the motif of the water changing into blood as a sign of danger, Rappoport observes: "This motive is found not only in Egyptian legend of the 14th century B.C., but also in a Serbian tale, in the famous mediaeval French novel Histoire d'Olivier de Castille et d' Artus d'Algarbe where the water as a sign of danger turns black; in a Russian popular tale, and in many other European folk-tales."12

In the Campeyya-sātaka (no. 506) Sumanā asks the Nāga King to tell her how she should know (yena mimittena janeyyama) if any danger befalls him. Then he brings her to the edge of a mangala-pokkbaranī (tank) and says. "Sace mam bhadde kocı paharıtua kılamessatı ımıssa pokkharınıya udakam avılam bhavıssatı sace ahıguntıko ganhıssatı udakam lohitavannam (the water will turn blood-red) bhavissatii' ti . Such motifs are plentiful in the folklore of India, ancient, or mediaeval.

7. THE STORY OF THE FOX AND THE WEASIL¹³

The angel of death was commanded by the Lord of the Universe to throw into the sea a pair, male and female, from every species of creatures The fox showing the angel his reflection on the sea cheated him and escaped. His friend the Weasel followed his example of cunning. Now Leviathan, the king of all creatures in the sea, not finding them there asked the fishes to entice the fox into the water and fetch him before him. The flattering message of the fishes that the dying king Leviathan, hearing of his cunning, wanted to crown the fox and leave him his kingdom, put him off his guard and he sat upon the back of the fishes, and was in mid-sea. Then suspecting foul play the fox asked them to tell the truth. The fishes said, "King Leviathan has said 'I will cut open his body and swallow his heart, and be as wise and cunning as the fox'."

Then the fox said unto the fishes: "Why did you not tell me the truth at once? I would then have taken my heart with me and offered it as a

¹¹ Rappoport---Op cst, p 32 43 lbid., vol. I, pp 160 ff.

gift to the king... Such is the custom among us foxes. We leave our hearts behind us in a safe place, and we walk about without any heart. When once we need it, we go home and fetch it....... "He prevailed upon the fishes to carry him back, reached the sex+shore and danced for joy."

This story has undoubtedly been taken from the Sumsumāra-jātaka (no. 208) where the monkey deceived the dolphin and said that he was a fool to have believed that "ambākam badayām ekaumim udumbare olamban-tāti". The story has many versions, e.g. Mabātastu (Senart, vol. II, 246). Markata-jātaka and Chinese version in Beal's Romanise Legend of Sakya Buddba from Chinese-Sanskrit. Vānara-jāt. (342). Pañcatanira iv, 1, Suka-saptati No. 67, Katbātant-sāgasa (Tawney, vol. II, 84), also further notes given in Andersen's Pāti Reader, Pt. I.

Rappoport says "The story of the fox and the weasel. which is taken from the Alphabetam Stracids: finds a parallel in Yalkut I, \$18a, and in Berechya Ha-Nakdan's Fox-fables no. 105. An exactly similar story is found in Benfey's Pantshatantra, and in the Syr. translation of Bickell where it is related of the turtle "11" This will show how widely the story has spread.

8 PIETY OF MOSES

(a) Moses was faithfully tending the flocks of his father-in-law Jethro in the desert of Midain. An angel appeared to him in the disguise of a white wolf, and asked him to give him a lamb to appease his hunger. Moses marvelled that the beast was endowed with human speech. The wolf replied "Dost thou wonder that an animal speaketh? One day the Law will be given through thee, and thou wilt thyself relate the story of the golden calf which will open its mouth and speak and the story of the speaking ass of Balaam."

Moses refused to give him a lamb as it belonged to Jethro nor would he leave his post to ask his permission which, however, he ultimately procured.16

In Jain stories we have come across the incident of a speaking animal. Jinaprabha Süri caused a buffalo to speak in human voice and take part in dialectics.

¹⁴ Rappoport-Op cst, vol I, Intro pp. XI

¹⁵ Ibid., vol. II, pp 260-2

(b) Rappoport says, "A similar story.... is told in the Tuti-Nameb (translation by G. Rosen, 11, pp. 32-33, also Bin Gorion). Here it is: One day a dove suddenly came flying to Moses imploring his protection from a pursuing hawk, which argued that Moses had no right to deprive him of his rightful prey.

Moses said: "Is it food in general, any food, thou seekest, or just this dove? If it is food thou art after, then I will do my best to give thee satisfaction but if it is this dove thou wishet to devour, then I will not let the bird go." In the end Moses cut from his holy limbs a piece of flesh, equivalent in weight to that of the dove. The hawk said, "I am no hawk at all, but the angel Michael, and the dove thou hast lovingly taken care of is the angel Gabriel."16

This is the famous story told in the Sibi-jataka (no. 400) and its versions in Aryasura's Jātākamālā; to be found also in the Mbh The scene is represented on Bhathut Stupa (See Cunningham Plate XLVIII 2), also on Ajanta frescoes. The story has reappeared in folk-tales of many provinces of India.

q. STAYING THE SUN'S COURSE

In the Dhammapada-atthakathā (Sukhasāmaneravatthu, commy. on Dhp. X. II) we come across a passage where Sakka commands the sun and the moon, "Stop the movement of your cars and stand still" (Candimasuriya attano vimānāni ganhitvā tittathā ti ānāpesi, te pi tathā karimsu). A similar command is found in the Mbb In the Bible the sun was asked to stop his course.

The real nature of the sun and moon was not appreciated and an anthropo-morphic view of them was held. The sun and the moon weep at the death of Moses 17

KALIPADA MITRA

¹⁶ Rappoport— Op cst, vol II, pp. 262-63. 17 lbid, pp 359-60.

The besprinkling Ceremony of the Rajasuya and its constitutional Significance*

The central ceremony of the Rājasāya, viz. the besprinkling of the sacrificer with the consectation water, takes place immediately after his figuratively mounting the quarters. In this ceremony the sacrificer is sprinkled with holy water by four distinct persons, as the authorities add with priestly pedantry, from as many different kinds of wooden vessels. In the White Yajus tritial (S.B., V. 3, 5, 11-14 and 4-2-2.) these persons are —

Adbuaryss (or purobita), sua (king's kinsman or brother), mitrya-rājanya (friendly rājanya) and vasīya.

In the Black Yajus ritual the persons mentioned are:-

Adhvaryu, Rajanya, Vassya and Janya (T.B. I. 7.8.7).

Adhuaryu, Brahman (or Kṣatriya), Vasiya and Janya mstra (Āp S.S. XVIII. 16. 1-5).

Adbuaryu, Brahman (or Ksatriya), Vasiya and Janya metra (Hir. quoted in Caland, Ap. S.S. tr., p. 145).

Brahman, Vasiya, Bhrătroya and Janya mitra (Man. S.S. quoted Caland loc. cst).

Brahman, Vaisya, Bhratruya and Janya mstra (M.S. IV. 4 2).

What is the constitutional significance of the above ceremony? According to Dr. K. P. Jayaswal (Hindue Polsty, Pt. II, p. 25) "the Abbitecasity is two-fold, the first part is the sprinkling of the waters by what may be described as different estates of the realm and the second is the theological anonung on the head by the priest just before the king-elect ascends the throne (asandi)." We are not concerned here with this 'second part except

ABBREVIATIONS

TS = Tautriya Sanhtzi
MS. = Mattriyani Sanhtzi
SB = Sanpatha Brihmana
TB. = Taittriya Brihmana
Taittriya Brihmana
Thi. = Taittriya Brihmana
Hir. = Hinayakeli Sauta Sütra
Hir. = Hinayakeli Sauta Sütra
Li SS T. = Libscantziira dei Aina

Ap. S.S. Tr = DasSrautasütra des Apastamba, aus dem Sanskrit übersetzt von W. Caland. Books xvi-xxxi. simply to point out that in the White Yajus ritual (V.S., X. 25; S.B., V. 4. 4. 27) the ceremony consists in the priest's drawing down the sacrificer's two arms to the dish of curds placed on a tiger-skin with the following formula:—

'I draw you down, the arms of Indra the deer of mighty deeds.'

In the Black Yajus ritual (Cf. TS., I 8.15) the ceremony consists in the sacrificer's putting his hands in the clotted curds for the All-Gods with the formula:—

'By the precept of Mitta and Varuna, the directors, I yoke thee with the yoking of the sacrifice.'

There is then no question of the priest's anointing the sacrificer on the head in connection with the above ceremoy

As regards 'the first part' which alone corresponds to the bespitishing ceremony we have described above, Jayaswal first remarks that in the White Sights is absent and the kinsman seems to be a tautology." He then observes that Janya of the TB list stands for the Sūdra "in the sense of a man of the hostile tribe as in Ast Br. VII. 36 and as originally he was." But in the passage last quoted janyām is equated not with the bostile tribes, but with the king's rivals who we with and hate him (janyām sapatnā out duiqunto bbrātīvyā in the original). Some of these rivals at any rate presumably were of Kṣatīrya caste. In the next place Caland (op cit., p. 145) has shown by a comparison with the parallel texts of MS. and Man \$S.5. that janya mista is the complete form of janya of the TB and that it means a friend from a foreign country ('ein Freunde aus der Fremde'). By the same comparison Caland has proved the correct reading of the \$Ap. \$S. text to be janyo mistram [in place of janyamistam and other variants given in Garbe's edition (Bib. Ind. ed. p. 66)].

It thus appears that the relevant texts do not support the case for the Sūdra's participation in the bespinkling ceremony. As regards the part played by the persons actually mentioned, we may first refer to the dogmatic exposition of the texts themselves. To begin with the White Yajus ritual. SB., V. 3,5,11-14, explaining the result (or the cause) of the bespinkling by the Brahman, one of the King's own and the friendly rājanya, states that the sacrificer is thereby sprinkled (endowed) successively with priestly dignity, with sustenance and with support. As for the Black Yajus ritual MS., IV. 4.2 declares the consequence of the ceremony to be that the sacrificer is endôwed by the Brahman with priestly dignity, he acquires strength from

the people, he wins vigour as well as food and the like from the rival, and he gains through the janya a friend. According to T.B., (I. 7.8.7) the Brahman endows him with priestly dignity, the Rajanya with vigour and food, the Vaisya with abundance and the Janya is the means of gaining him friends. Equating the King's 'own man' and the friendly Rajanya of the SB. with the Rajanya-Katriya-Bhratruya and with the Janya mitra of other texts respectively and allowing for the occurrence of the Adbuarys (or Brahman) priest in all lists, we may estimate the significance of the besprinkling ceremony in the following way. In so far as the Adhuarys and the Rajanya (or their equivalents) as well as the Vaisya are concerned, they involve the participation of representatives of the three higher castes in the central ceremony of the Vedic coronation. This marks the closest approach to the principle of representation of Estates that the Vedic State ever attained. On the other hand the participation of the janya mitra ('a friend from a foreign country') probably indicates the importance of the foreign ally for the Vedic State, thus anticipating the subst of the stock list of seven limbs (saptānga) of the Arthasastra-smrti polity of later times. We may sum up by saying that the besprinkling ceremony of the Rājasūya represents, not as Javaswal thinks, the single principle of representation of estates but rather the combination of this principle with that of political alliances of the Vedic State.

U N. GHOSHAL

MISCELLANY

A Note on the alleged metrical defect in the Legend-verse on the seal of the Pipardiilä Copper-plate Inscription

In the Indian Historical Quarterly (XIX, p. 142). Messrs. D. C. Siteat and L. P. Pandeya make the following remark with reference to the legend on the seal of the Pipardilla copper-plate inscription of king Narendra of Sarabhapura. "The versifier was morever not skilled enough; he allowed a Lagbusquibu in the foot of the verse and this he could have easily avoided by writing—pita-ksiteb instead of—pitabbusqui." Further on p. 145 (Ibid.) in a foot-note on the text of the legend of the seal, they say "to rectify the metre, one may suggest the emendation—ksiteb." The verse originally reads thus:

सङ्गभाराजितभुवः शरभात्माप्तजन्मनः । नृपतेरश्रीनरेन्द्रस्य शासनं रिपुशासिनः ॥

We propose to show here that the remark about the metrical defect and the suggested emendation—both are uncalled for. Firstly, it should be noted that the Laghu-sasiba in the first foot of the verse does not warrant the conclusion that the author was not skilled enough. The restriction of the Gunu-sasiba for the standard Anustrubh-quarter holds good only in the case of even (Sama) quarters. As regards the odd (Visama) quarters, the restriction of the Gunu-sasiba is not strictly enforced and numerous verses can be quoted from standard writers to show that this restriction is not generally observed, e.g.,

Kālidāsa . धनाकृष्टस्य विश्ववैः...... [रघुवंश 1-23] Bhāravi : बुदुख्डिन्य कम्बद्ग [किराताञ्जैनीय XI-15] Māgha : अन तावन्यत्विदस्.... [शिह्यात्ववस्य II-12] Bhavabhūti : शोक्खोमे व हृदयम् [कस्तवित्त III-20]

Sitear and Pandeya are not therefore justified in finding fault with the versification of the first foot of the legend-verse. Besides, it should not be forgotten that the verse occurs as a legend on the royal seal, which should have been used in all important royal charters. An unsuccessful handling of the metre of the very legend of royal seal is therefore not likely to be tolerated, if the restriction of the Guita-sastha were generally observed.

Secondly, the emendation suggested by Sircar and Pandeya is entirely hopeless and reminds one of a remedy worse than the disease. The

suggested reading of the verse "Kbadga-dbānājia-kṣiteb" can never fit in the verse as the first foot, for as I have shown elsewhere, if the fifth and sixth syllables are short and long respectively, the seventh syllable must be long to allow the odd quarter to become a permissible variety. The suggested reading of the legend is therefore totally wrong, for the seventh syllable "ksr" does not conform to the above rule. For further details and comprehensive treatment of the Anaștiubb metre—its history and varieties, my two articles published in the Nagpar University Journal, No. II (1936) and VI (1940) on this subject may be consulted.

S. P. CHATURVEDI

Vikramaditya: President of a Republic

A welcome light has been thrown on the historicity and status of Vikramādītya by the following two extracts from a manuscript of the Abbiŋñāna-Ṣākwntala of Kālidāsi (dated the 5th day of the bright half of Agrahāyaṇa, 1699 Vikrama Samvat, and now in the possession of Pt. Keshava Prasad Mishra, Head of the Department of Hindi, Benares Hindu University):—

- (१) श्रार्वे रसभाविरोषदीकृष्णिः विक्रमादित्यसाद्वसाद्वस्याभिरसभूपेण्डेयं परिषत् । श्रस्याथ क्रान्तिदासप्रदुक्तेनाभिक्षानशाकुन्तन्तनाश्रानवेन वाटकेनोपस्थातम्यसस्याभिः (गान्यन्ते)
 - (u) भवतु तव विद्योजाः प्राज्यवृष्टिः प्रजाद्ध त्समृपि विततपक्को वित्रव्यं भावयेषाः । गणशतपरिवर्ततेश्वसन्योन्यकृत्ये-र्मियतसम्बन्धोकालप्रक्रकापनीयैः ॥ VII. 24

The first extract proves that the patron of Kālidāsa was a ruler, whose proper name was Vikramāditya and Sāhasānka was his rute. The second extract shows that he was connected with a gana (a republican state) and probably he was at the head of a confederation of a number of republican states (the number Sata = 100 appears to be a round and exaggerated one).

The tradition of the country associates Vikramāditya with Ujjayinī (the capital of Avanti = western Malwa), with the defeat of the Sakas and with the foundation of an era in B.C. 57. The era started by Vikramāditya is

1 Nagpur University Journal, Vol. VI (1940), p. 56.

essentially a Mālava era. The earliest local era known in Malwa is Mālavagaṇa-sthui-kāla known from the Mandasor stone inscription. The existence of the Mālava republic in the first century B.C. in Malwa has been proved by certain coins bearing the legend Mālavagansyam jayah (victory of the Mālava republic).

The Mālavas were originally living in the Punjab at the time of the Indian campaign of Alexander. Weakened by their defeat at the hands of Alexander, supressed by the imperialist Mauryas and further pressed by the Bactrian invasions of India, they, together with some other republican tribes of the Punjab, migrating via eastern Rajputani finally settled in Ākara-Avanti and gave their name to this part of the country later on.

In the first century B.C. the Sakas occupied Seixan and perhaps some part of Sindh. From here they wanted to capture ancient Avanti-răștam (Malwa including Surāsțra). In this attempt they were hurled back by the combined afforts of the republican states in Central India led by the Mālavagaṇa under the leadership of Vikramāditya. This signal success was celebrated by the foundation of an era, in the beginning known as the Mālavagaṇa-whiti and later on as the Vikrama Samvat.

R B. PANDEY

Date of Rasakadambakailolini, a Commentary by Bhagavaddāsa on the Gitagovinda of Jayadeva— Between A.D. 1550 and 1600

Jayadeva, the author of the celebrated Gitagovinda flourished "between 1200 and 1300 A.C." He is said to have been a protégé of Laksmana Sena, whose Gayā inscription is dated A.D. 1116. Aufrecht' records MSS. of numerous commentaries on the Gitagovinda I have not studied the chronology of all these commentaries and hence cannot say anything about them in this paper, which is devoted to the chronology of one of these commentaries viz. the Rasakadambakallolimi of Bhagavaddāsa.

- * Flect's Gupta Inscriptions, No 18
- 1 P. V Kane, Sāhityadarpana, ed 1923 Intro p exextii
- 2 Buhler, Kashmir Report, p. 64
- 3 Vide CC, I, 153; CC, II, 31, 197, CC, III, 33. Vide also IO Cata., VII, Nos 3860-66, 3868-73, A. B. Keith (IO. Cata.) Nos 7043-6, 8125 etc.

This commentary is represented by some MSS. recorded by Aufrecht⁴ in his Catalogus Catalogorum. These MSS. are as follows:—

CC., I, 154-"Bh. 25."

CC., II, 31-"Rgb. 345" (= No. 345 of 1884-87-B.O.R. Institute).

CC., III, 33-"IO 3054, Lz. 435. Peters 5, 343, 344".

Besides the MSS. of Bhagavaddāsa's commentary recorded by Aufrecht, I find a MS. of it recorded by Dr H. Poleman in his Census of India MSS. in US.A. and Canada.\(^1\) In my Catalogue of Kävya MSS.\(^2\) at the B.O.R. Institute (Govt. MSS. Library) I have described all MSS. of the Giagounda and its commentaries available at this Institute. The MSS of Bhagavaddāsa's Rasakadambakallolmi described by me are: —

(1) No 345 of 1884-87, (2) No 77 of A 1879-80 dated Sam. 1684 (= A.D. 1628), (3) No. 45 of 1871-72; (4) No. 343 of 1892-95.

In the Catalogue of Adyar MSS.10 numerous MSS. of the text of the

4 CC, I, p 154 and CC, III, 33 A MS of this commentary has been described by Dr Eggeling (see India Office Cata, part VII, No 3871) who observes.—

"Besides its verbal explanations, principally founded on the *Amerakola* and the Visiospinkla* the commentary lays particular stars on the art of composition as developed in the peem, illustrating it by the rules laid down in rhetorical works and by specimens of poetical composition chosen from the *Dhāgousta* and other Parinara as well as from the *Smēgoustake*, the *Kṛnakenrāmata* and similar works*

- 5 Vide p 1456 of Ind Office Cata, VII, 1904-MS No 3871, folios 170
- 6 Vide p. 130 of Aufrecht's Cata of Leipzig MSS, 1901, MS. No 435.
- 7 These MSS are identical with Nos 343 and 344 of 1892-95 in the Govt MSS Library at the BOR Institute, Poona.
- 8 American Omental Series, vol 12, New Haven, 1938, p. 75, MS No 1647—Glagounde with Bhagavadd-us', commentary, Rashédambohdidous folios 154 H 1579 (Harvard University Library, Cambridge, Massachussers), MSS No 1637 to 1654 recorded here are of Gitagounda and its commentaries by (1) Bhagavaddata (2) Vanamalin Bhatta, (3) Sankamnine (Sam 1615-4 D 1759) (4) Kimbhakamsambendra (Sam 1619-4 D, 1953), (5) Vistibaleivars and (6) a Hindi commentary (5am 1656-1830 AD).

g Vol. XIII, part I, (BOR Institute, Poona, 1940) pp 208-257—MS. No. 344 of 1892-95 is of Nārāyanabbaṭṭā's commentary on the Gitagounda (pp. 233-34) At the end of it a misleading endorsement is made in a small hand as follows—

"श्रीमद्दृन्दावनेश्वरी ...इद्येनश्रीसमञ्जगवद्दासेन ...विरचितेयं रसकदंवकक्कोलिनी"

to Sanskrst MSS (Adyar), part II, 1928, page 17—commentaries recorded here are (1) श्रुतिरश्चिनी by लक्ष्मीयरस्रि (2) श्रुतिरश्चिनी by लक्ष्मीयरस्रि (2) श्रुतिरश्चिनी by लक्ष्मयस्रि, and (3) केरलब्याख्या on 40 flokas of 1st sarga.

Gitagovinda and some commentaries¹¹ thereon are recorded but no MS. of Bhagavaddāsa's commentary is found in this catalogue. The spread of layadeva's Gitagovinda to the Deccan is attested by its influence on Bhāskara-Bhaṭ Borikar, a Mahānubhāva author who flourished between 1275 and 1320 A.D. Prof. V. B. Kolte¹³ of Amraoti has already pointed out parallel passages from the Gitagovinda and Bhāskarabhaṭra's Stiapālavadha composed about Saka 1230 (A.D. 1308). The spread of the Gitagovinda in Gujarat has recently been pointed out by Dr. M. R. Majmudar¹³ of Baroda. This influence is vouched by the stone inscription of Sārīgadeva dated Samvat 1348 (A.D. 1291) in which the invocation verse is taken from the last verse of the 1st canto of the Gitagovinda.

References in the Rasakadambakallolini to earlier works and authors are as follows as found by me in MS No. 345 of 1884-87 in the Govt. MSS. Library at the B.O.R. Institute:—

(1) कर्णांस्ते, 2. (2) भागवते, 3, 18, 35, 36, 37, 63, 132, 150, (3) भरतः
4, 23, 36, 53, 54, 90, 131, (4) क्रमरः, 18, 10, 12, 16, 17, 22, 24, 29, 30, 34, 38, रार
(5) विकार, 8, 9, 11, 15, 16, 18, 19, 28, 32, 34, 39, 48, (6) देशे, 9, 59, 95, 110,
114, 119, 131, 147, 172, (7) परिलुः, 13, 103, 107, 116, 130, 143, 144, 150[8) बुतिः, 18, (9) भगवदीता, 18, 180, (10) दशस्पके, 26, 77, (11) पारो, 35(12) हारासकी, 39, 52, 67, 70, 76, 129, 146, 155, (13) श्रेथारतिकके, 41, 45, 52,
66, 65, 74, 80, 100, 143, ctc (14) संगीतरकाको, 51, 53, (15) दिलस्पके, 234—Thr work
was composed by उग्रोतिरोक्षर कविशेषर), (18) वाल्यायनीये, 56, (19) क्रमाहितायाम्
62, (20) स्लाध्वेषयुष्टके, 57, 64, 66, 73, 143, 161, (21) क्रमाहितायाम्

11 Jayadeva's Gitagounda has influenced Canarese literature as well My friend Prof. K G Kundangar of the Rajaram College informs me in a private communication dated 6-11-1040 as follows —

"Aprameya Sästrı of about A D 1750 has written a commentary, in Kannada on Jayadeva's Gitagovunda by name Smgārappakāiske He hasls from Malur (Mysore State). The colopbon at its end says;

"इति श्रीसङ्क्ष्मित्रभैनवित्रानस्थितारनिद्वक्षित्राप्तेरप्रमेवस्थामनः पादारनिन्दार्वकेन सन्दर्भक्ष्मित्रारक्ष्यकेषाप्रमेक्श्मित्रणा विरचितर्गात्वार्थिकरोत्तार्था श्रृणारप्रकाशिकात्रास्य स्थः"

Cikka-devarija (A.D. 1672-1704) the ruling prince of Mysore has written a port or called Gisa-geografic on the lines of the Gisageounds. . From the internal evidence it is suggested that this poem was written by his minister Tirmanle/qiya; I

- 12 Vide p. 103 of memoria and the by V B. Kolte, Amraoti, 1935
- 13 Jour. of the Bombay University, (Sept. 1943) vol. XII, (N.S.) pert 2, p 43.

(22) शाखरा 70. (23) रजन्मेच, ⁸², ¹⁵⁵, (24) रसायुत्तसिंबी, ¹²³, (25) विश्वप्रकाशः, ¹⁵⁰, (26) वाराहसंहितायास. ¹⁶³, (27) खासिवरणों:, ¹⁷⁹,

(४८) सनोरसाकार, ५६-"ततुक्तं बाल्यावनीये पुरुवासितप्रकरहे खजवनमेव दोलावसानं सपैत आसपेदिति अंखोलितिमिठि तत सपैतराब्दो सनोरमाकारेख व्याख्यातः सप्यासप्यविधासमाथे दक्षियोलकमाने चेति"

(29) रसरक्रदोपिका — [ol. 41 "भावयन्ति विशेषेख ये रसान् वै मनोहरान् । ते विभावास्त्र रूयन्ते नाव्यशास्त्रविशारहैः॥"

All the foregoing references are found in the other MSS. of this commentary at the B.O.R. Institute viz. No 77 of A 1879-80 which is dated A.D. 1628. The following extract containing a reference to Rāṇā Kumbhakarṇa of Mewar (A.D. 1433-1469)¹³ is found in MS. No. 77 of A 1879-80 but is not found in MS. No. 345 of 1884-87, which appears to be a late copy though it is undated:—

Folso 504—"इति श्रीगोत्तगोन्यदे सप्तदरात्रबंधः॥ श्लोकः कुंभकरणे¹⁷ तकः —

> सदानन्दसंदोहकंदं मुकुंदं नमस्कृत्य पद्माकविकुपयोदं । त्रपः कंभकार्णो विश्वते विचित्रं वरं गीतयोव्यंदधात विचित्रं ॥१॥

श्रीकृष्ण तवास्मि । सरतनाय तवास्मि । तवेदमिति

14 In MS No 77 of A 1879-80 of the Rasakadambakallolini this extract reads as follows —

''तदुक्तं बाल्यायनाये ॥ पुरुपायितप्रकरखे । खजवनमेव दोलायमानं सर्वेत आमयेदिति । प्रॅबोलितं तु विक्रेयं रसिकैः सर्वेदा जनैरिति तल सर्वेशब्दो सनोरवाकारैस्य व्याख्यातः । सम्यात्सर्वेपिक्समाये दक्तिसामे चेति"

This MS in which the reference to सनोरसाहार is found is dated Samvat 1684=
AD 1628 It was copied by सेचजो, son of बाहुदेव वा सहापुर while सहाराजाधि-राज राहक शोददयकर्षा was ruling (vide also folio 53 of MS No 343 of 1892-95 and folio 68 of MS No 45 of 1871-72 where the above reference to सनोरसाहार will be found)

15 G S Gahlot, History of Rasputana, vol 1, p 207, Jodhpur, 1937

16 Vide folio 133a of MS No 345 of 1884-87 where the extract ought to have been between "पीत्रयावशोकिमस्त्रयें।" and "त्ववेदमिति" (in line 6 from the top of the folio).

17 Perhaps the correct reading ought to have been "क्षंत्रकारोंन (क्षंत्रकारोंन)" as Rāṇā Kumbhakarna wrote a commentary on the Gitagoamda Vade p. 75 of H Poleman's Indic MSS in USA, where MS No. 1152 of this comm called

If the above extract found in a MS, of A.D. 1628 is a genuine part of the Rasakadambakallolini of Bhagavaddāsa we may safely infer that this commentary was composed beween A.D. 1460 and A.D. 1628.

In the list of references recorded above there is a reference to a work called रमायुर्विच्यु 18 (vide folio 123 of MS. No. 345 of 1884-87 and folio 18 of MS. No. 77 of A 1879-80.) This work appears to be identical with the अगयद्विच्यायुर्विच्यु composed by Sanātana Gosvāmī in A.D. 1542. If this identification is correct the date of Bhagavaddāva is narrowed down between A.D. 1542 and 1628. These limits may be further narrowed down between say A.D. 1575 and 1620 or 30 if we can identify the क्योरमाच्या mentioned by our commentator with Bhattoji Dikseta. The author of श्रीक्याचीरमा a commentary on the Prakryāprakāša of his guru Sesakṣṣṇa. If Bhagavaddāva's reference to क्योरमाच्या in a MS of A.D. 1628 turns out to be a genuine reference to Bhattoji Dikset the author of श्रीक्याचीरमा, it supports my limits for Bhattoji's date viz. A.D. 1560-1620. In my paper on Bhattoji's date referred to above I have noted that A.D. 1633 is the earliest date of a MS. of Bhattoji's Sabdakaustubha (R A S Bengal). Since this paper was published I have traced a MS. of an abridge-

रिसक्तिया dated AD 1563 (Sam., 1619) is recorded Another reference to Kumbhakarna is found on folio 3a of MS No 77 A 1870-80—

"त्वयैवायं प्रहिणोमान स्थात् इति सस्यागूढं परिसित्तमिति कुंभक्रलोक्केः (Vide tobe 7 of MS No. 45 of 1871-72).

18 Vide Aufrecht, CC . 1

19 Ibid, CC, I

20 Vide my paper on "A New Approach to the Date of Bhattoji Dîksita" in Annals (Turupati), vol 1, part 2, pp 117-127, where I have tried to prove that Bhattoji flourished between c 1560 and 1520 AD.

21 This is a commentary on the Prakryspeptakia of Sesa Krisna, a guru of Bhattop, lagamaitha Panditarijia did not like Bhattopi' criticism of his guru's work in the बानीरमा and hence he wrote a refutation of मनीरमा in a work called मनीरमाक्ष्यविद्यों.

- 22 Vide H Poleman Indic MSS in USA. (1938), p. 130—MS No. 2635 of Lagbusiddbāntaksumudi Sy Varadaršja, a pupil of Bhattoji Diksta, dated Swe must necessarily presume some intervals of time between—
 - (1) the composition of Siddbantakaumudi by Bhattoii
 - (2) its abridgement by Varadarāja in the form of the Laghu° Kaumudī
- and (3) the copying of this abridgement in AD 1624 I may also note here the references to सनीरशहर .—
 - (1) A.D. 1628-MS of रसकदम्बक्क्कोलिनी refers to स्नोरमाकार

ment of Bhattoji's Suddhānsahammudī dated A.D. 1624. Now we find मनोरमाङार (= Bhattoji) referred to in a MS. of A.D. 1628. The cumulative effect of all these dates viz, A.D. 1624, 1628, and 1633 strengthens my inference that Bhattoji's literary career ended by A.D. 1620.

Leaving aside the reference to मनोरमाकार made by Bhagavaddasa in his Rasakadambakallolini let us examine some other references which may enable us to fix the earlier limit to his date. Our commentator mentions several times a work on alamkāra called the Rasārņavasudhākara (vide No. 20 in the list of references given above). This work was composed about A.D. 1330 or A.D. 1350 according to scholars23 by Singabhūpāla. This reference enables us to fix about A D. 1400 as one sure limit to the date of the Rasakadambakallolini This limit is further strengthened by another reference made by this commentary to a work on erotics called the Pañcasāyaka^{a4} which was composed by Jyotirisvara about A.D. 1324 and which is referred to by our author several times (vide No 17 in the list of references) Other references to earlier works like the संगीत्रकास्त of the 13th century need not be considered as they don't help us to improve our chronology of this author. It would thus be seen that the date of Bhagavaddasa surely lies between A.D. 1400 and 1600 and if the references to the रसाम्बर्धानम and the मनोरमा turn out to be genuine references to the भगवद्ध किरसामृतसिन्ध composed in A.D. 1542 and to the श्रीडमनोरमा composed before A.D. 1600 or so by Bhattoji Dîksita we shall be in a position to infer that Bhagavaddasa composed the Rasakadambakallolini say between A.D. 1600 and 1628, the date of the B.O.R I. MS. of this commentary.

As regards the personal details of our commentator no information except the name of the author and the title of the commentary has been

(2) Bhattoji's son भारतिवृधिकृत refers to सनोरमा on p 14 of his commentary on क्रमरकोर (N S Press, 1905)—

"कारप्रहवाल इति मनोरमायाम् उखादौ दीचिताः"

(3) AD 1652-BORI, MS of प्रीडमनोरमा (No. 331 of 1895-1902)

23 Vide pp 242-43 of History of Sanskrit Poetics, vol 1, (1923) by Dr. S. K. De Prof P V Kane assigns it to about AD 1350 (vide p claxiii of his Intro to Sābityadarpana, 1923)

24 Vide p. 650 of History of Classical Sanskrit Laterature by Krishnamachariar,

1937.

recorded by him as will be seen from the following extract at the end of the commentary:--

"श्कृक्षसगवकानं रसकदस्वकक्केकिनो श्कृक्षयतु मोहने मजनतानसंवर्धिनो । समस्यपद्भवातुरीवस्तितवोत्तगोध्यंदतः ^{8 5} प्रशस्त्रसद्भिपका सञ्चरप्जसंबृषिका ॥२॥

गोतगोभ्यंत व ⁹ हित षष्टयंतं परं । सार्वेषिमक्षिकसात् इस्तिभागात् ॥ ॥ श्रीद्रापनेभर प्रियक्तवरणपंकेतदमकरंदास्तादनयदुत्तंवरोकर्तभाषपात्रणानंदादोकनवपस्त्रहृदयेन श्रीमद्भागवर्त्तासेन भगववनपरितोषाः विदालेवेतं रसक्दम्यक्क्कोस्तिनी श्रीमदत्तवेदिमानंपस्तियोः स्नानंदर्दाहे-पंदेषिपरात्रोज्यस्तावगाहितमनता सर्विगितवारणीया ॥ ६ ॥ इति श्रीगीतयोज्यंदस्य रसक्टमक्ककोस्तिनी दोवेतं समाप्ता ॥" ⁹ 7

Aufrecht records only one namesake²⁰ of our commentator and his works, which are commentaries in Hindi on Sanskrit works. I have not examined the question of the identity or otherwise of the author of the Rasakadambakallolini with this Hindi commentator.

P. K. GODE

25 MS No 345 of 1884-87 reads "विपत गीतगोविंदतः"

26 Ibid , गोविंदतः for गोन्यंदतः

27 I have copied this extract from MS No 77 of A 1879-80

28 Vide CC, II, 89-" अगवद्वास In Rgb 652 he is called a pupil of Damodara

(I) श्रष्टादशरहस्यटीका (2) ईश्वरतस्वनिरूपण्डीका

CC, II. 7-"प्रशादशरहरूवानि by Ramanuja Comm by अगवहास Rgb 651"

CC. II, 11— "कृषरतत्त्वनिरूपण् (रामानुजमत) by बरदनायकत्त्रि" Rgb 652— Comm. by अगनवृक्षस Rgb. 652".

MS Rgb 652 and 651 are identical with MSS No 652 of 1884-87 and No 651 of 1884-87 in the Govt MSS Labrary at the BOR Institute, MS No 651 is dated Samyuat 1757=AD 1701 In the colophons of both the MSS the author is called a pupil of \$737. The colophon of MS No 651 reads as follows:—

"श्रीप्रतिवादिसर्कराचार्यके विद्यारची श्रीखामोकुवाजोके पोताशिष्य श्रीखामो हामीबरजीके शिष्य गुसाई भगवानहास ॥ ए ब्रह्मदरहस्य नामप्रंथ उपरि । इजको भाषामाही । रहस्य प्रकाशनाम प्रंय कीयो है ॥६॥ संबत् १०४० वर्षे श्रासाह श्रुटि गुक्वासर्^क ^{ecc}

Vide p 23 of Report, Hindi MSS., 1914—where we find mentioned one भागवतदास author of भागवतवारिक (over 10,000 slokas) No date of this author is known.

A Letter of the Council in Calcutta to Marquis de Bussy, 1784

Since the forties of the 18th century, the political destiny of India came to be considerably influenced by the international complications among the European powers. Voltaire aptly observed: "the first cannon shot fired in our lands was to set the match to all the batteries in America and in Ausa". The War of Austrian Succession, the Seven Years' War, the War of America Independence, and the Revolutionary and Napoleonic Wars, had their repercusions on contemporary !ndian politics. As a matter of fact, India, then internally bankrupt in all respects and coveted as respective spheres of influence by the rival European nations like the English, the French and the Dutch, became one of the theatres of their hostilities.

For some time I have been engaged in the preparation of a thesis on Anglo-Dutch relations in India during the 18th century, for which I have been able to secure plenty of valuable materials from certain records of the Imperial Record Department, New Delhi, including the letter which has been studied in this paper.

In the autumn of 1780 the United Netherlands joined the league against England in the course of the American War of Independence? This led England to declare war against Holland and to capture her settlements, even in India. The Durch sextlements of Chinsura, Baranagore, Cassimbazar, Kalkapur and Patna in Bengal and Bihar, and Madras, Pulicat and Negapatam in Southern India, were seized by the English by the end of the year 1781. Trincomali (Trincomalai) and Fort Ostenburgh (? or Olenburg), belonging to them on the island of Ceylon, were captured by the British fleet under the command of Admiral Sir Edward Hughes on the 6th January, 1782, "together with a very large property in military stores

- Read at the Hyderabad Session of the Indian History Congress
 C Grant Robertson, England under the Hanovenam, p 279.
- 2 Proceedings, Secret Department, Fort William, 7th March, 1782
- 2 Proceedings, Secret Department, Fort William, 3rd to 21st July, 1781
- 4 Fullarton, A View of the English Interests in India, p. 20, Thornton, History of the Brisish Empire in India, vol II, pp 263-64 Mill. History of British India, vol. IV, pp 221-25.
- 5 We read in the works of Iuliarton and Thornton that the Dutch possessions in Ceylon were captured by the English by the end of 1781 But Mill writes that these were "taken by storm" on the 11th January, 1782 (69 cm; vol. IV, p 225)

and goods, also 150,000 dollars in specie and two India men, teady for Europe. ****

But the English were not destined to retain Trincomal long in their hands. England had been engaged in war with France also since March 1778. A French force having joined Hyder Ali, the English lost Cuddalore and Permacoil in April 1782. Sie Edward Hughes was encountered by a French fleet under Mons. Suffrein, "one of the best naval commanders whom France had ever produced," and Trincomali surrendered to the latter on the last day of August 1782. Further efforts of Sir Edward Hughes to get back that place proved to be of no avail. 11

But in the next year, the treaty of Versailles closed the war which the European powers had been fighting. A treaty of peace and friendship between England and France was signed at Versailles on the 3rd September, 1783, which was soon followed by a peace between England and Holland These provided for the mutual restitution of conquests by the English, the French and the Dutch.

It is clear, however, from certain records that the mutual restoration of conquered territories in India was not effected intimediately after the news of the pacification in Europe had reached here. The Dutch governments at Colombo and Batavia complained to the Council in Calcutta against this delay, caused particularly by differences of opinion between the Madras Government, and the representatives of the French in India about the cession of Trincomali to the Dutch. Mr. Hastings observed in his Minute, dated the 16th November, 1764:

".. That, as by the scrupulous Adherence of the President and Select Committee of Fort St. George to the Letter of the Troaties concluded by Great Britain with France and Holland, both the Letter and spirit of those Treaties have

It is clear from a reference in Proceedings, Secret Department, Fort William, 38h.
February, 1782, that these were actually captured on the 6th January, 1782 Mr.
Alexi. Rea (Monumental Remains of the Dutch East India Company in the Presidency of Madras. p. 30) writes that Trincomali was captured by the English in 1782, but the wrongly asserts that it was "restored to the Dutch the following year.

- 6 Proceedings, Secret Department, Fort William, 28th February, 1782
- 7 Proceedings, Secret Dept., Fort William, 13th July, 1778.
- 8 Thornton, op cst., vol II, p 267; Mill, op cst., vol IV, pp 246-47.
 q. Mill, op cst., vol IV, p. 242 10 Ibid., p. 253
- 11 Letter of Sir Edward Hugbes to Lord Macariney, dated 8th September, 1782; Proceedings, Secret Department, Fort William, 23rd September, 1782.

been defeated and have been suffered by the President and Select Commuttee to remain unaccomplished to a long and indefinite period. As our Nation acquires no Advantage whatsoever by the Delay; but the French retain possession of every conquest made by them from us, together with the real and substantial possession of Pondicherry and the absolute possession of Truncomale. As the Dutch complain, and have a just plea to complain, that the suspension, which is in effect a direct violation of the Treaty concluded with their nation, is imputable solely to our Perseverence in demanding what the Marquis de Bussy, the Representative of the French Crown, has no authority to yield and is commanded not to yield As the point on which the President and Select Committee of Fort St George have with much vehemence and firmness of Perseverence insisted, is only to be put in possession of the fort and territory of Trincomale, previously to its cession to the Dutch, and for the purpose of enabling them to make the cession. As the only reason for insisting on this point is founded on the Right of the Dutch to actual possession, which if left to the discretion of the Government of France, might be frustrated As the Dutch have themselves waived their claim to this attention on our part to their Rights and desire to receive possession immediately from the French agents. As the Treaties themselves stipulate that all the cessions shall be made in the same epoch, which expression whether it be construed period or Point of time, equally entitles Dutch Company to immediate possession.

As the adherence of the Representatives of our Nation to the claim of being put in possession of Trincomale, no Treaty in term requiring it, may furnish, if not a suspicion, at least a Pretext to alledge it, of an Intention on our part to keep possession after it shall be obtained, and may thereby furnish a just Agreement for the French to withhold it entirely As it can not fail to impress the Natives of India with a false opinion of the Decline of the British Power, to see the French, its great rival, after the close up of a despirate war, retain all the conquests made on our Nation, with all but former possession, which in effect is none, of those, which had been made by us on them And finally, as the Farth and Honour of our Nation, which have been rendered liable to imputation by this unprofitable contention, have been now made to depend for their Preservation on this Government by the References made to it The Governor General recommends in the spirit of the propositions referred to him that a letter be immediately written to the Marquis de Bussy, both requiring him in terms of his instructions to deliver up the Fort of Trincomale and its dependencies to the Representatives of the Dutch Government of Colombo, and empowering him to constitute such Agents as he may think proper to perform so much of this process as may be construed to apperThe Governor General's Minute received the approval of the other members of the Council in Calcutta, and on the 23rd November, 1784, the following letter was written to Marquis de Bussy, Commander-in-Chief of the French Forces in India by Sea and Land:—

"We receive with satisfaction the kind testimony which your Excellency has afforded of the Treatment received by the French Prisoners from our Government Our concern indeed for the comfort and happiness of the French within these provinces has not ceased with the conclusion of the War. It yet continues, and we have the pleasure to assure ourselves from the sentiments which your Excellency has expressed, and the Humanity with which your Excellency's character is so honorably marked, that your attention to the Prisoners of our Nation would not have been less deserving of our applianse had the situation of the two Governments been reversed

Having done outselves the Honor to reply to your Excellency's Letter of the roth Septr our next wish is to draw your attention to a subject which has been brought recently, and in a particular manner before us by appeals from the Dutch Governments of Batavia and Colombo, and is of interesting importance to your Nation and to our own as well as to the States of Holland

We have perused and given due consideration to the correspondence that have passed between your Excellency and the Right Hon'ble Lord Macartney and the Select Committee of Fort St. George as low down as the 9th of last July (to which period only it has been yet transmitted to us) concerning the execution of the stipulations agreed upon in the late definitive Treaty between His Britainnic and Most Christian Majesty, as far as the same respects the Restitutions to be respectively made by the Representatives of the two Crowns in India, and we have observed that, in consequence of some differences relative to the cession of Trincomale and orders received by your Excellency on this subject, an end was put to the Negociacions of the Commissioners appointed on the part of your Excellency, and on the part of Fort St George, and the question referred to Europe for a final decision on it

We are persuaded that had your Excellency known that the powers possessed by this Government gave at a control over the Acts & Proceedings of the other

12 Foreign Dept, Proceedings, 23rd November, 1784. (Impérial Record Department).

Presidencies of the East India Company your Excellency would have represented to us any subjects of Dufference that unpeeded the execution of the Definite Treaty instead of referring the same to Europe, Possessing those Powers we wish even at this Time to meet the Desire which we are sure your Excellency possesses to carry into effect the supulations of the Treaty if the same can be done under the orders which you have received from France, and without waiting for the Replies to your last Dispatches

To remove therefore, all difficulties and to obviate all Misconceptions with respect to the intentions of the two Crowns in as far as the same respect the Definitive Treaty of Peace and Friendship between His Britanine Majesty and the Most Christian King signed at Versailles on the 3rd September, 1783 and the Peace subsequently concluded between His Britanine Majesty and the States General of the United Provinces, we have the Honor to make the following Propositions to your Excellency for immediate Effect.

15t We propose that in conformity to the Instructions which you received by the French Frigate Precence from the Marsechal de Castries & which you have been pleased to state to the Presidency of Fort St George, you do immediately deliver up the Fort of Trincomale and its Dependencies to the Representatives of the Dutch Government of Columbo

andly. We propose that whatever Commissaires or Agents you may be pleased to appoint to deliver over Trincomals to the Dutch may be likewise considered as executing that Office on the Part of the British Nation, and we hereby declare them to be fully authorized for that purpose

ardly That you deliver over the Fort, Town and Districts of Cuddalore to such Agents as the Right Honble, the President and Select Committee at Fort St. George shall depute to receive the same, and that you receive from them their formal cession of Pondischerry

If these Propositions should be acceptable, to your Excellency, and you should agree to carry them into Effect, we request that you will send the necessary information thereof to the Right Hon'ble the President and Select Committee at Fort St George who are informed of them and directed in conformity to them, to depute Agents from that Presidency to receive the Fort, Town, and Districts of Cuddalore, and to make a formal cession of Pondicherry and the other Places and Districts which are by Treaty to be put into the possession of your Nation, and which are to be assigned over by the Nabob Walau Jah and the Raph of Tanjore.

We have directed the President and Select Committee of Bombay to carry the Definitive Treaty into effect on the Western Coast on receiving advices from Fort St. George that it has been accomplished on that of Coromandel, and we have the Honor to assure you that we on receiving similar advices shall not allow the least delay in making Restitutions that are to be marke in these Provinces; Commissaries will of course be appointed on the part of the French Nation to receive them.

We have addressed the Governor General and Council of Batavia and the Governor and Council of Columbo with information of these propositions, and do outselves the Honor to enclose copies of our letters for your Excellency's Perusal

The Propositions are so plain that we trust no question can arise in respect to their intent, since the only object is to remove by an abbreviated process the difficulties which have hitherto precluded the execution of the Treaties by dispensing with the unnecessary Form of your delivery of Trincomale to the Representatives of our Nation, for the sole purpose of enabling the latter to make the like cession, which ought to be done at the same instant of time, to the Representatives of the Dutch, a specks of accuracy not only useless in itself, but obstructive of every substantial purpose of the Treaties concluded for the three National Establishments in India; but if any questions of doubt should occur, upon this subject we request that your Excellency's correspondence on such subjects may be immediately with this government.

Your Excellency will pardon us for observing that after so explicit a Declaration of our sentiments, and after so incontestible a demonstration of our readines to carry into immediate execution, on the part of our Sovereign and Nation, as well as the English East India Company, the stipulations of the late Treaties of Peace, no Blame can be henceforward attributed to the English for any delay in completing the wishes and solemn arrangements of our respective sovereigns and Nations. We wish to believe, indeed we are persuaded, that as you alone possess the means of carrying into instant effect this great and salutary work, no unnecessary delay will attend its complete accomplishment and we hope that you will be further pleased to transmit accounts of the final and happy accommodation of all embarrassments on this important subject by the earliest conveyance to Europe."

A Study of Meyer's Trilogy of Hindu Vegetation Powers and Festivals

An extensive anthropological work of substantial importance bearing on Indian morals, manners and sentiments has been delivered by J. J. Meyer the indologist, whose translation of and studies in Kaujalya and researches into the Hindu law-books and other topics are well known in the world of comparative politics and jurisprudence. The present work is made up of three large monographs separately paged, but stitched together as one volume with a post-script and two indices, one for topics and persons and the other for Sanskrit words. The book comprises some 850 pages of Royal Octavo size.

The common title of this work is Trilogie altindischer Machte und Feste der Vegetation (Trilogy of Hindu Vegetation Powers and Festivals). In the sub-title the volume 15 described as a contribution to comparative religion and cuture-history as well as to the comparative study of festivals and folk-life.

Part I. is entitled Kāma and is given over to the old Indian god of love as the spirit of vegetation and his festival. The following topics are dealt with in this section. Dying or killed and reappearing or resurrected spirits of vegetation, The nature of Kāma; The festival of Kāma in Ratnāvalī, The holy powder Bespattering with the syringe, The women's festival of Kāma in Ratnāvalī and Kāma as asoka tree, Kāma as Artemisia plant (known in ancient Greece and Rome as women's friend), Kāma and mango blossoms, The holi lewdness and women; Lewdness in fertility festivals and on diverse occasions in other lands, The activities of the night of fasts in Europe. The origins of the fast night orgies, The efficacy of obscenaties in the festivals of Kāma, The power of Phallus and obscene pictures and Christian Phallus-saints, Kama, Spirit of tree, and Tree as giver of fertility and children, The holi tree as the spirit of vegetation, The magical power of ashes, coal and dust, Abuses and banter in festivals, especially those of fertility, Holi, the day of the death of vegetation deity; The death festival of Kāma among the Buddhists of Ceylon; Holikā, the witch killed and burnt in the bols festival, The legend of Holika in the Bhaussyottara Purāna, The festivals of Kāma and hols distinct from each other; The great swing-festival of the god of love, The chariot festival of the spring Sun; The festival of Bhūtamātar or Udakasevikā in Bhavisyottara Purāna; The Bhutamatar in Skanda Purāna, The items of spring festival (fire movements, fights, festivals, tree-clambing); Priprity of women in fertility festival; Lamentations and complaints about Kāma in his festival; Holi and the souls of the dead; The boli is Anyan; Was the burnt up spirit of vegetation a male or a female and why it is a wild-growing plant? The spirit of vegetation rightly a tree; The cause of the blessing conferred by the bell.

The subject matter of Part II. is Bali. It deals with God Saturn's festival and that of all souls in India. The first source is Bhavisyottara Purana. Among the texts may be mentioned the Karttskamabatmya sections of Skanda Purana and Padma Purana. The contents are as follows: The origin of the festivals of Bals, The different stems of the festivals; Mustard oil bath in order to avoid Naraka and purification with the brandishing of a bundle of plants over the head. Offerings to Yama, king of the dead, Light for Naraka, The festival of lamps on the evening of the fourteenth day of Kārttika, The festivals of Siva in Kārttika and the bull-offering; Laksmi as the goddess of corn, The territory of Bali; Purification of men on the evening of the new moon day, 'The King's midnight stroll through the city to see the festival, The expulsion of bad luck by women; The sport of luck at the light festival, The rôle of the prostitutes on the morning after the full-moon, Massaging the body with flour, Gifts from the king to the different classes of the subjects on the morning after the new moon, Animal fights, theatrical performances, dances, musical and song competition in the piesence of the king, The erection of the margapali on the high tree or a tree-like post and its blessings on the cattle and the people; The festival of the bulls, The tug of war in the pulling of a rope; The worship of Bali by the king; The worship of Bali by the ordinary people; Effects of this worship, Etymological explanation of the word Kaumudi, The time of the festival, Blessings conferred by the festival on the king and the country, The light for Yama and the second of Yama; Bhīṣma as the spirit of the dead and his five days, The worship of the Papa-purusa (Incarnation of Sin), The season of the light festival, King Bali; Bali and God Saturn.

The festival of Indra is the subject matter of Part III. Indra is described as the old Indian god of the spring Sun and fertility. There is an appendix devoted to Varuna as god of growth. The following topics are discussed; Indra in classical Sanskiri and today, The tree of Indra in the Mabābbārata, Viņudbarmottars Purāna, Brbatsambitā, Devī Purāna,

Bhavisyottara Purāna, and Bhāratīya Nātyašāstra; A Jaina description; The journey to the forest, The origin of the festival; The materials for the Indra tree; The magical meaning of the bees; The worship of the tree and the spirits of the tree, The felling of the tree; The decoration of the Indra tree, The erection of the Indra tree, The double position of the god as Indra tree and Indra, The worship of the Indra tree and the joys of the festival; The height of the Indra tree, The daughters and mother of the Indra tree, The night festivals and the duties of the king and his councillors, The bringing down of the tree and its immersion, The date of the festival, Pongal, the South Indian festival of the Sun and India. The festival of Indra in Harwamsa, Pongal of the cattle connected with the festival of the Indra tree, Other festivals of the banner, The Indra tree as a Sun and vegetation tree, Indra as god of the Sun, especially the spring Sun in the Rgueda, Indra is not the god of storm and rain in Vedic literature, Indra as god of vegetation and fertility.

Investigations in social anthropology are as a rule based on a first hand study of the folk-life in villages, forests, mountains and river-valleys. This is the method of fieldwork. Mever did not go out of his Swiss home into the nooks and corners of India or of any of the countries described in this book. His authorities are all printed texts. The fundamental basis is the Sanskrit encyclopædias, the Purānas, in so far as most of these researches are concerned. Rg-Veda comes in for the third monograph. Travellers' books about India or ethno-anthropological works dealing with the Indian festivals and customs in the field-method way of composition have been requisitioned by Meyer either to illustrate his Sanskrit originals or to supplement them wherever necessary. He has made it a point to furnish as full translations as possible of the chapters in the Sanskrit authorities dealing with the topic in question.

In the present writer's Folk Element in Hindu Culture A Socioreligious Study in Hindu Folk-Institutions (London 1917) the method adopted was just the reverse of Meyer's. There the field-study in connection with the April festivals (Gambhīrā) of Siva in the villages of Malda, North Bengal furnished the foundation. This was supplemented by illustrations from and historical references to Bengali and Sanskrit texts. The result, however, is the same. We are led to the conclusion that the so-called culture-lore of India is over-whelmingly dominated by her folk-lore, nay, very often but a euphemism for her folk-lore. The folk-elements, again; are profoundly materialistic and secular (as contrasted with metaphysical and other-worldly). Last but not least, the sex-elements constitute a most preponderant feature of the folk-mores and folk-institutions.

Meyer's work takes us farther. It brings us into contact with the folk-mores and folk-institutions of Asia, Europe, Africa and America. He may be said to have contributed another volume to Thurnwildt's Ethnosoziologie The agricultural and sexual aspects of the folk-gods and folkfestivals have been proved by him to be too hemispheroidal, too elemental, too human to be described in terms of geographical regions or ethnographic races. Neither the climatic nor the geographical nor the racial "interpretation" of history or culture can call Meyer its own. The parallelisms. identities, analogies between East and West constitute some of the most substantial contributions of Meyer in this voluminous treatise. The result is a piece of research which is well calculated to cry halt to the pruderies and chauvinistic idiosyncracies of Eur-American scholars, who while dealing with Indian themes generally manage to forget, ignore or overlook the mass of superstitions, sex-motifs and phallic institutions governing their daily life. On the other hand, Meyer's work is of exceptional value to such Indian scholars, as owing to absence of intimate familiarity with the folk-life of Christian and pre-Christian Occident fail to find in Eur-America the duplicates of certain conventionally objectionable manners and customs of the Indian people and are easily tempted to discover something extraordinarily transcendental, esoteric and divine even in the most unspeakably earthly and muddy crudities of India For, Meyer discovers the "human, all-too human" here and there and everywhere. This Trilogie has turned out to be a study in the superstitions of all mankind organically connected as they are with Mother Earth, vegetation and fertility.

Tamuz and Ishtar of Babylonia, Isis and Osiris of Egypt, Adonis and Astarte (or (Aphrodite) of Syria, western Asia and Greece, Attis and Kybele of Phrygia are the male and female divinities respectively of the ancient world embodying as they do the human hunger for growth. ertility, procreation, rebirth and rejuvenation. In Indra Siva, Varuna, Skanda, Kumāra, Bali and Kāma are to be seen but the Indian counterparts of the extra-Indian gods from Tamuz to Attis (Part I, pp. 2-4).

So far as the author 1s concerned, this book is declared by himself to be as-small thanksgiving to Nature, the plant-world, the cultivators, and the Mother Earth who constitutes the central topic of the study. He believes also that in the atmosphere of these investigations he can feel somewhat the spirit of the origins of agriculture and the soul of the people associated with it (III, p. 272).

The reasons are not far to seek. Meyer was farmer for many years of his life, and during this period a very large part was dominated by the ideas of the eleven Upanisads which he first studied at that time. The actual life of the peasant as lived by himself as well as intimate contact with the literature that had grown in that milien, although in the East, combined to produce in him a sense of profound unison with the plantworld, with forests, with cultivated fields, with rows of maize and with the lights of flowers (II. 271).

This trilogy is for Meyer not therefore a merely anthropological or antiquarian study into the origins of folk-manners and folk-festivals. It is for him virtually a searching analysis of his deepest convictions, the examination, so to say, of his own religious sentiments in the widest sense. The data relate indeed to the three or rather four Hindu gods, Kāma, Bali, Indra and Varuna as well as their festivals And they are collected chiefly from the medieval and rather recent Sanskrit literature. The chapters on Indra are based, however, mainly on the Rg-Veda. But this indological material has led him away from India into far-off nooks and corners of the world And he is convinced that not only his own religion, but that every man's and every woman's religion are in the final and honest analysis profoundly rooted in the natural and agricultural rites and ceremonies-the peasant's hopes, fears, ambitions and anxieties, etc. The farmer-complex. which lies in the pre-history or at the bottom of all culture-systems of antiquity and modern times of East and West, has furnished the Leitmotif, so he believes, of many of the folk-elements in the religious thoughts and practices of nations.

Meyer's Trilogie has dealt at length with the analogies or identities between India and Eur-America, old and new, a topic on which considerable attention was bestowed in several chapters of the present writer's Futurism of Young Asia (Berlin 1922). The Indian student of Western superstitions will find Meyer exceedingly helpful.

In India the cat is sacred to Mother Sasthi, the goddess of children. In Teutonic Germany the goddesses of fertility and children such as Freya, Frouwa, Berchta etc have the cat as their pet animal (I. 7).

While going out on a journey the Hindu considers meeting a public woman as a lucky thing. This folk-belief is prevalent in ancient Greece and Rome, as well as in Germany, England, Sweden and France. On the other hand, in India as in Europe an ascetic or a nun portends bad luck. The priest or the monk stands for the annihilation of fertility and is therefore shunned. says Meyer (I. 8-a).

The spring-festivals generally known in India as bols are associated with lewd manners. Parallels are to be found in the obscenities in the women's songs and dances of Egypt in connection with the worship of Isis, Immoralities of the ancient Roman festivals can also be referred to (I. 67). Whether the story is of India or of Africa, America and Europe Meyer objects to the use of the word, "obscene", in the conventional sense in the description of these manners and customs. In his judgment all these alleged obscenities are organic features of a religion which considers fertility, child-production and sex-act as the most sacred things of Nature and Man (I. 67-68).

In his analysis of analogies and parallelisms between East and West in regard to the alleged obscentites he differs from Hopkins who says that "no Western carnival at its worst is as frankly sensual as the spring festival of India". He accepts Wilson's statement as valid according to which the Christian Easter festivals are marked by "an indecency of which even the bols players are never guilty" (I 70). Meyer considers the nakednesses of women in Eve's costume on the occasion of the Christian festivals of Johannia and the shameless behaviour of the Greeks and Romans as much too unspeakable (I, 70-71).

The harvest festivals of Europe, especially of Germany, have been decribed by Meyer in illustration of his thesis that obscentites and phallic orgies belong to religion in the life of peasants used to the worship of agricultural divinities or saints. The use of pictures and figures of the male organ is referred to as a common phenomenon in Europe. Some of the obscene performances are associated with Churchyards, and persons dressed as priests are said to participate therein. The court of Pope Alexander VI is infamous for sex-acts on masse. Thirty ladies and their lovers are seen painted in sex-act on masse under the eyes of the holy king Henry III. Meyer observes that in Classical Greece and Rome as in India or old Germany and Christian Europe most of the festivals and ceremonies in which the "conventional" morality is violated are directly or indirectly reli-

gious in nature and origin. But in many of the medieval and modern obscenities of European social life there is hardly any excuse from the religious side (I. 74).

There is a sixteenth century work in Latin, Regnum Papisticum, by Thomas Naogeorgus. It describes among other things the superstitions and activities of the Catholics in connection with the festivals of the year. The orgies of the carnival have demanded the author's special attention. Some of the participants run about in the streets naked (ein-Teil von ihnen läuft nackt umber), their faces alone being covered with masks. The men are dressed like women, and the women, specially young girls, like men. Many of them ramble about clothed as monks, some like kings, and others as quadrupeds, as bears, wolves, lions storks, monkeys and what not. They carry manure, both animal and human (frischen Menschen Koht) into market place, attended by somebody who drives the flies away with a flybrush Lascivious songs and dances belong to this and other festivities. Wagons of ordure and night soil accompany these processions (I. 77-78)

The male organ was made into a saint For instance, in a carnival procession in the kingdom of Naples a wooden statue used to be carried which was prominent because of this item. It was called Santo Membro (the holy limb or organ). Dulaire's Divinités génératices furnishes numerous examples of the phallic figures in Catholic life, Saints Kosmas and Damianus in Osternia, the Child Jesus between Mons and Brussels, St. Foutin etc. are known for their phallic representations Meyer finds the erotic representations in some of the temples of Hindu India as but spiritually linked up with the sexual representations and symbolisms of Christian art (1 93-95).

The Hindu custom of offering beans and pulses to ancestors on the occasion of the śrāddha ceremony is calculated to pacify the hungry manes. Meyer observes that India is not sus generis in this regard. The Greeks, Romans and Iranians as well as the Germans are used to the same custom. In the German communities of the Valsugana Valley in North Italy cooked beans on wooden places were offered to the graves of the relatives or friends on the day of All Souls. They were kept there for several hours and then distributed among the poor (II, 42)

Cow-dung and dirt play no insignificant part in Indian folk-religion. From the European side Meyer quotes popular beliefs from English farmers about the efficacy of such blissful dirt (II. 50).

The visit of Laksmi, the goddess of luck, to the families about midnight on the new moon day in September-October belongs to one of the folk-beliefs of the Hindus. According to Meyer we read of such vivits in the legends of countries from India to North Germany (Mucukunda, Nerthus, spring sojourn of Freyer, Emperor Charles etc.). In many places of Hungary a lamp is kept lighted the whole night on Christmas eve in order that Mother Mary can come and bring luck (II, 87). The driving away of evil by women while winnowing the corn has a place in the folk religions of many countries old and new, in East and West (II. 140-141).

In India cultivators are forbidden to plough the ground on the 15th of Aisma and the 15th of Kaituka (about the 30th of September and October) as these days are consecrated to the ancestors. In Germany, says Meyer, on the day of All Souls corn is not to be sown (II, 236) The harvest festivals and the festivals of the manes are thus intimately muxed up in India as in Germany. The German dates are September 29 (Michaelis Day) and November 11 (Martin Day). On the evening of St. Martin's Day, thousands of little lamps are lighted on the mountains and high lands on both banks of the Rhine between Cologne and Coblene. The Siebengebirge becomesespecially prominent on account of the numerous lights and fire-festivals St. Martin is the protector of cattle, shepherds, corn fruits, wine etc.

An important item iii the festival of Indra is the procession or march into the forest in order to select and fell the tree. In this connection Meyer draws our attention to the several sun and growth festivals of Europe. The tree that is used on these occasions is generally known as the May pole. The carrying away of the May tree from the woods is an exciting ceremony or incident with the young people. We are told that young girls who join the crowds on such May festivities in Europe go out as virgins but do not come back as such (III, 62).

Among the many trees forbidden for the purpose of the Indra festival is the one on which bees have sat or made their hives. The magical evils associates with bees, for instance, in the Brhat Sambitā (43, 63, 59, 3, 79, 3, 95, 58) have their analogies in European and specially German mentality. The Handworterbuch des deutseben Aberglaubens (Dictionary of German Superstition) is quoted by Meyer to show that according to German folkbelief a beehive hanging on a tree belonging to one's own lands is an evil omen, on a garden-tree brings death in the family, and on a house is likely to cause'an outbreak of fire. The appearance of bees in soldiers' camps por-

tends defeat as known from their association with Drusus in ancient Rome and Count Leopold of Austria. To dream of bees is to court death or disaster

The association of bees with death and funeral ceremonies is one of the folk-elements in primitive Indo-German culture (III, 78) Another aspect of bees is their association with fertility, pregnancy and so forth. In ancient Greece the bee is the symbol of Artemis, the spirit of fertility. The priests of Artemis as well as those of Demeter, the spirit of corn, are called bees. The bees are protected in Greek mythology by Pan and Priapus, the spirits of fertility. In German folk-belief, if a woman eats a bee, she will become pregnant.

According to Meyer the rôle of bees as ancestors is not so prominent in the folk-elements of Indian culture as in those of German But in India the conception of bees as portents is very powerful (III, 82). The friendly aspects of bees were unknown in India, Greece and Rome because in all these countries the bees remained always wild There was no "bee-culture" as in Germany. The bees as animals of forests were feared and their approach to human habitations dreaded as omens. The trees on which they sat were therefore declared unfit for use in regard to the construction not only of the Indra tree but also of statues for gods, stools, bed-steads, temples, and houses

In Germany the felling of the tree on similar occasions is attended with awe and respect Pardon is asked for In certain cantons of Switzerland a cross is engraved on the tree previous to the laying of the wood-cutter's axe (III. 8a)

Trees similar to that of Indra are known in East and West, especially in Germanic countries including England. Nowhere are those trees assocrated with rain. The most universal feature is that of the sun and fertility spirits. Like its cognates in other countries the "Indra banner" represents the spirit of vegetation. It is phallic (III, 134, 154, 163-166, 186-190). Meyer is strong on the point that Indra is not originally a rain and thunder god in the Rg-Veda but a fertility god. Indra's rôle as rain-god appeared in subsequent developments.

One of the longest chapters in this work is the one on Indra as phallic god, the god of vegetation and fertility Some forty pages are given over to the words, phrases and the of the Rg-Veda to illustrate the phallic elements in the Indra complex. The following equations are established: (1) Indra = male organ, (2) soma = kāmasalda, (3) pressing of soma juice = sex-act (III, 180, 187-188). Some of the references are as follows. Rg-Veda. VI, 46, 3; I. 129, 3; IX, 74, 5; II, 15, 7; IV, 30, 16; 19, 9; X, 10, 7, I. 104, 8; VIII, 40, 11; X, 162; VIII, 80 (91); I. 136, 3; X, 85, 40; X, 101, 12; X, 94, 5; 101, 3, I, 28, 3.

Incidentally, Meyer brings out that neither the Vedic poets nor the compilers of their poetry had much interest in the "folk" and "folk-elements." For instance, agriculture as a profession is very much neglected in Vedic poetry, although its importance (X, 117, 7) is not unknown. The Vedic poetry, although its importance (X, 117, 7) is not unknown. The vedic poets are interested more in the cartle-wealth, in horses. It is not the gifts of land that they care for like the Brähmans of later times. The milieu of Vedic thought is not that of the entire people but of a class. It is the class of "cattle-magnates" that furnishes the inspiration of the Vedic poets. The poetry of the Vedic is a class-poetry, the poetry of the Vedic poets. The poetry of the resultines. The Vedic gods are likewise class-gods. They are partial in the distribution of their favours. Just as Homer does not furnish a real picture of the folk-religion of the Greeks, so also does the Rg-Veda fail to indicate the real folk-religion of the India of those days Vedic religion is the religion of a class, although no doubt developed out of the folk-religion (III, 189-190).

The appendix of Part III is devoted to Varuna. This, the grandest and the most splendid God of the Vedas, is described as being originally a phallic god (III, 201). The association of the tortoise with Varuna (III, 226-230) furnishes some hints in this regard. The association of the horse (III, 236-250) with Varuna is another strong indication. The story of the horse usi-dust the queen in the horse-sacrifice (Satepaths Brābmana XIII. 4, 1, 8) establishes the phallic character of Varuna in an equally powerful manner. According to Meyer the horse-sacrifice is a fertility festival (III, 246).

Spiritual life is essentially the life of anxiety and fear and the life of sexual urge, says Meyer. In the depths of conduct as exhibited by primitive man are to be found these two "drives", to use an expression from the Italian sociologist, Pareto. The Bible is quoted by Meyer to indicate that man becomes enslaved for life on account of fear and that this fear of primitive man is at bottom mostly the fear from death that follows him in the manner of evil spirits or magical powers. Then there is another fear that overpowers the peasants. They are perpetually liable to the suspicion that the Earth and the other vegetation powers may fail or may not wish to

furnish them with the desired gifts of the soil. The power that serves to remove the anxieties or fears of the primitive people is the mighty sex-urge, the "wonder of their body". In the view of the primitives every "becoming" in the growth of culture is not only similar to but identical with the sex-act of human beings. Man, therefore, has but to consecrate himself to this act in definite seasons. The powers of Nature are strengthened on account of his sex-act and contribute what he wishes from her. In primitive mentality, then, orgies and religion are very often one and the same Through lascivious dances, wild drinking, sex-enjoyments etc. people even of higher culture-systems often believe that they rise up to divinity. So far as the wild races are concerned, orgies and intoxications, lewd merrymakings and so forth belong as a rule to all their festivals, especially to those bearing on vegetation (III, 273).

Primitive man fears that Nature may become so weak that there may not be a reawakening, that once the plants die there may not be rebirth. The powers of Nature must not die or must not become weak, the growth of plants must go on for ever,-this is the wish or prayer that is at the bottom of all sorrows regarding dying or dead gods as well as all joys bearing on their revival, reappearance and rebitth. The harvest festivals of the most diverse races of mankind embody those fears and hopes, griefs and happinesses of the human soul (I, 1-2).

There are no monistic obsessions, be it observed finally, in Meyer's interpretations He harps naturally on agriculture and sex, and sex and agriculture from beginning to end But he has left room for other forces and drives. Frazer's Golden Bough is certainly one of his favourite authors. And he has dedicated his work to the German scholar, Mannhardt, whom he describes as the pioneer Mannhardt is the author of Baumkultus der Germanen und shrer Nachbarvolker (The Tree Cult of the Germans and their Neighbouring Peoples). But he is careful enough to point out that the attempt to interpret all the incidents in the myths, festivals and customs according to a single formula, standpoint or origin is defective. For instance, he is prepared to see solar rites and solar magic in some of the growth and fire festivals (I, 5). In other words, even as an agricultural interpreter he is not a determinist of the Marxian school. Nor in his sexual interpretations can he be classed with the Freudians.

The different chapters of this bulky Trilogie have proven incidentally that one may depend exclusively on Sanskrit books in order to get an in-

384 A Study of Meyer's Trilogy of Vegetation Powers and Festivals

tensive and detailed idea of even the most commonplace, popular and vulgar manners, customs, rites, ceremonies, festivals, sports and merrymakings of the diverse classes of the people. Treatises in Sanskrit language appear to us therefore in a new guise. Many of them are essentially earthly, secular, materialistic and positive. There is hardly any item of folk life and worldly human interests, individual or collective, that has not been sedulously described by the Hindu authors in the "language of their gods," Sanskrit. And in this regard Sanskrit is not less profane than the spoken languages of the peoples, the so-called vernaculars. Those scholars who had been used to look upon Sanskrit as essentially the medium of metaphysics, philosophy, religion, theology, belies lettres etc. would be agreeably surprised to find that from the Rgoeda to the latest Upa-Purāṇa of the eighteenth century it is at the same time anthropology, anthropology all the way. Like the other works of Meyer's this one also will serve to establish Hindu culture in its institutions and ideals on the positive basis.

BENOY KUMAR SARKAR

REVIEWS

MAGADHA ARCHITECTURE AND CULTURE by Stis Chandra Chatterjee. Published by the University of Calctta, pp xxv+112 and 30 plates. Calcutta, 1942.

The real value of this monograph will not be appreciated by one who will expect to find in it marshalling of facts gleaned from old texts, as the object of the author is not 'research' as we understand it but to reconstruct out of the available remnants what could possibly be the ideal of the Magadhan architects, the ideal of life and culture of the people of pre-Christian days, and the aesthetic ideal of the Magadhan artists. Enough researches have been made to collect the historical and geographical details of Gayā or Rājagṛha or Pātaliputra, but these do not help us to visualize the splendour of the ancient cities. Mr. Chatterji has rendered a distinct service to Indian scholarship by conjuring up before our eyes the splendour of ancient Rājagrha of Jarāsandha, Bimbisāra and Ajātasatru, Pāṭaliputra of Aśoka and Chandragupta, and Nālandā of the Pālas. It may be that at times, he has been carried away by his enthusiasm but that does not lessen the ment of the book from the point of view of an artist and architect. He has gone into details about the outer ramparts of a city, its gateways, and its possible divisions into different quarters for the residence of different classes of people. Some of the findings of the author may not however be accepted by the assute researchers, in whom there is no scope for such imagination.

The underlying object of the author is to show that in India, the line of development of thought, art, and architecture has a continuous instory from the time of the India Valley civilization up to the present day, though there have been occasional deviations through foreign influence. It cannot be denied that Indian art was temporarily influenced by Greek but only to revert to its former trend. He adds that Indian art on the other hand influenced the Fastern Asian Art to a large extent, and the monuments of China and Java still bear that testimony. He would not distinguish any period of Indian thought, art, and architecture as Vedic, Buddhist, or Brāhmanic. Throughout the long history of Indian art and architecture is perceptible, says he, the contemplative vision and study of the grace and beauty of Nature's forms. The Vedic physiolators loved Nature, and had a fancy for the forests, and this led to Buddha's message of art and peace. The evolution of Indian civilization and architectural arts was undoubtedly in-

fluenced by Buddha, but it was ultimately modified by Brāhmanic thought and religion revived during the Gupta period. This period, he says, witnessed also the cultimation of artistic and cultural development of India. In the patronage of art, the Pāla rulers were no less enthusiastic, and it was through their patronage that the Buddhist seats of learning flourished so gloriously. We wish that the author had included in his monograph the details of the construction of Stūpas and monasteries given in the Vinaya texts and the Ceylonese chronicles.

The author states that art and architecture embody devotion and sacrifice and are the principal media of worship of God and Nature. These cannot grow in commercialism and industrialism, which destroy the inner spirit of industrialism, in which the inner spirit of industrialism, in which the inner spirit of India has no place. He is struggling his level best to resuscitate this inner spirit by infusing into the minds of our hopefuls the love for ancient forms of architecture, and the practicability of their application to the present-day buildings and monuments. His continual hammering on this has, in fact, roused the imagination of a cultured few, but as yet he has not been able to form a band of scholarly artists and architects to take up his mission to revive once more the spirit of India in her are and architecture. We hope this monograph will have its desired effect and will enthuse our post-war-planners to give some attention to Mr. Chatterji's scheme, which is a well thoughtout one, and deserves careful consideration

N. Dutt

DHAMMA-SANGANI by Dr. P. V Bapat, M.A., Ph.D. and R. D Vadekar, M.A., Fergusson College, Poona 1940, Pp. xv1+360.

AȚIHASĂLINI by Dr. P V Bapat, M A, PH D and R D Vadckar, M A, Fergusson College, Poona, 1942 pp xl+404

Students of Pālı literature have reasons to be grateful to Profs Bapat and Vadekar for the excellent edition of the recondite Abbudhamma text—the Dhamma-Sangaṇa, and its equally abstruse commentary,—the Atthaislini It is an extremely arduous task, and the editors, we may say, have well acquitted themselves of their self-imposed self-sacrificing responsibility. As early as 1885 and 1897, these two works were published by the Pāli Text Society in Roman characters. In those days, very little progress was made in researches regarding Pāli Buddhism, and hardly any clear idea was formed of the fundamental principles of Buddhism, not to speak of the psychological

387

analyses embedded in the Abhidharma texts, and hence, the editions could not be brought up to the mark. Shwe Zan Aung, Maung Tin, and Mrs. Rhys Davids broke the ground of Pali Abhidhamma Studies, while Rosenberg. Stcherbatsky, La Vallée Poussin, Rahula Sankrtyayana and Bhikkhu Govinda developed the same by their studies of the Abhidharmakośa and its Vyākhyā, which traverse almost the same field as that of the Pali texts. The Pali students could have made much more contribution to Abhidhamma studies if the P.T.S. edition had been more thorough containing proper indications of divisions and paras, and "peyyalas" The present editors had the advantage of having before them the results of researches for the last three or four decades and utilised them fully in their editions. The ancient Buddhist writers were fond of numerical divisions, use of a number of synonyms to express an idea, and harping on a particular theme repeatedly. They adopted a uniform method of giving an exposition of a particular dhamma. The task of a student becomes much easier if he can have before his eyes the divisions well marked out typographically with spaces, bold and light letters besides correct punctuations and divisions of paragraphs. In this respect, the present edition far excels that of the PTS., and has really lightened the task of a student who can now give more attention to the subject-matter.

The Atthasālinī appears more to be an independent work than a commentary on the Dhammasangani, and actually it does contain many valuable discourses which are not found in the Dhammasangani. The present editors by pointing out how far it comments on the original text and what are its new contributions have brought out the real value of the work.

There is a learned Introduction in English to both the works. In the Introduction to the Dhammasangam, the general scheme of classification is explained while in the Introduction to the Arthasalini, not only the scheme of division but also the subject-matter of each division, and its style and method of comment are fully explained. About the authorship of Arthasalini the editors have pointed our many interesting facts, directed towards counteracting the belief that the famous Buddhaghosa was the author of the commentary. The works are priced cheap and are within the easy reach of our students, and we hope this self-sacrificing effort of the editors will have the desired effect of propagating Abhidhamma studies among the students.

PROGRESS OF GREATER INDIAN RESEARCH (1917-42) by Dr. U. N. Ghoshal, M A., Ph.D. Published by the Greater India Society, Calcutta 1943. pp. viii + 114 + viii.

The object of the work under review is evidently to create interest among our students in the study of Indian culture in countries outside India. The author, who is also the Secretary of the Greater India Society-the only society of its kind existing in India-has admirably acquitted himself of a stupendous task viz., to present within a small compass the progress of researches made during recent years in the field of the propagation of Indian culture in Afghanistan, Central Asia, Tibet, Mongolia, Manchuria, Burma, Siam, Cambodia, Champa, Java, Bali, Celebes, Sumatra, Malay and Ceylon. The list of names of countries is awe-inspiring and besides the extensiveness of the scope, one has to take into consideration the fact that the results of researches are mostly written in French, Dutch and German, which have all been laid under contribution by our author. The light focussed on any particular country though limited in scope is illuminating and does serve all its purpose of attracting attention of earnest students to this field of studies. It does really rouse the curiosity of one to know more about the subject and one feels disappointed that the author has not given more details. He has furnished us with a very interesting story as to how the several European nations took interest in the studies and vied with one another in the collection of finds. Mr. P. K. Mukherji of Santiniketan acquainted us with the Indian literature abroad whereas Dr. Ghoshal has brought before our eyes not only Indian literature, but also Indian art and sculpture abroad. The Additions Appendices and Index are very useful and we hope full advantage will be taken of the same by the future researchers in this field.

N. Dutt

MAINISM AND KARNATAKA CULTURE by S R Sharma, M.A., Dharwar, 1940 pp Demy 8 vo. xix + 213.

The volume under review is first in the series started to commemorate the Silver Jubilee of the Karmatak Historical Research Society, Dharwar. No better subject than the history of Karnātaka culture in its relation to alimem which had a very profound influence on it, could be selected for this first work. But not only the theme but its treatment also has been eminently

389

suitable to the beginning of this commemorating series. The historical survey which constitutes the first section of the work attempts to assess on the basis of epigraphic and other similar materials the magnitude of influence Janusim exerted over rulers and people of Karnāzika, and in this connection the author utilized data not hitherto used by other scholars. In the second section the author has made an attempt to determine the exact amount of influence Janusim had on such important aspects of the culture of Karnāzika as her literature, art and architecture. In the third section, has been treated the very interesting history of the transformation of Janusim—a faith of northern origin, in its southern career in Karnāzika. Students of religious listory will find here that like all other human institutions everything connected with religious sects also is subject to deasy or development and Janusim has been no exception to the rule

We have read this volume with great interest and profit. The author's presentation of facts is lucid and conclusions mostly dependable. Though it may be possible to differ with minor details it seems he has treated his subject with considerable success. We may hope that he will further enrich our knowledge of the culture of Karnātaka by writing on the relation of Varnavism and similar other religious sects with the same

Маномонан Сноѕн

A GRAMMAR OF THE PRAKRIT LANGUAGE based mainly on Vararuci's Prākriaprakāsa by Dines Chandra Silcar, M.A., Ph.D published by the University of Calcutta. 1943. Pp. 120.

The present work is primarily meant for the beginners of epigraphic Prakrit which sometimes vary from, the Plet. of Interary records. With this end in view the author gives here the stitutes of Vararuci (as read by Cowell in his excellent edition) together with an English translation and notes at the end of each chapter as well as examples wherever procurable from Pali and epigraphic records. Another feature of his notes is that in them he has given additional views of a later grammarian like Hemacandra whenever he differed from Vararuci in his description of Plet, dialects. In his translation the author has followed Bhāmaha the commentator of Vararuci as well as Kāryāyana, the author of the Prākramañjarī which is supposed to have been based on Vararuci's stitras. Besides this in the appendix to the work the author has given stitutes of Purusyottamadeva on certaun features of different

Pkt. dialects such as Saurasenī, Māgadhī etc. not noticed by Vararuci. On various Apabhramsas, views of both Hemacandra and Purusottama have been included. All this makes the present work a very suitable handbook for those tudents of epigraphic Pkt. who will study the language in the traditional Indian method. Quotations of examples in this work from Pkt inscriptions to show to what extent it varies from the Pkt. of the gramma rans, will be of great interest to the scientific students of Middle Indo-Aryan They will greatly help to clarify our views on the geographical affinity of various Pkt dialects and may be of some assistance for the study of modern Indo-Aryan dialects too. The author is to be congratulated for the production of this useful work.

MANOMOHAN GHOSH

OUDH AND THE EAST INDIA COMPANY (1785-1801), by Purnendu Basii, M.A., Ph.D., Maxwell Company Lucknow 1943

The hystory of Oudh constitutes one of the most important chapters of Indian history in the 16th century. It became a practically autonomous state after the death of Aurangzib, and the first three Nawabs of this subab played a decisive part in the gradual decline of the Mughal empire. The Maratha empire-builders found a strong and crafts enemy in Shujā-ud-daulā After the acquisition of Bengal and Bihar the East India Company began to take serious interest in Oudh 'first as a strong buffer between its dominions and the Mahrattas, and later as a fruitful source of income at a time when the financial position of the Company was far from comfortable.' This interest' proved a bane for the smiling province, administrative dislocation, economic misery, and moral degeneration culminated in the extinction of the Nawabi in the days of Dalhousie

In recent years some competent scholars have devoted themselves to the reconstruction of the history of modern Oudh Dr. A. L. Strivastava's The First Two Nawabs of Oudh and Shuja-uddaula cover the pre-Bruish period Dr. C. Collin Davies of Oxford has dealt with the early portion of the British period in his Warren Hastings and Oudh. The work under review picks up the thread where Dr. Davies leaves it and carries the narrative down to 1810, when practically half of Oudh was ceded to the Company by Sa'adat Ali almost at the point of the bayonet.

Dr. Basu has given us a thoroughly competent survey of an important period of Indian history. His chapters on "Asafudduals and his Durbar," "Degeneration of the Army," "Bankruptery of Oudh," "Commercial Relations between Oudh and the Company," and "General Administration" reveal his clear grasp of the internal problems which were partly due to the anomalous position of Oudh, and partly created by the Nawabs. But Dr Basu has not forgotten to locate Oudh in the general scheme of Indian history. His chapters on "Oudh and its neighbours" and "Sa'adat Ali and Wellesley" explain the background of British policy towards Oudh: the desire of the British rulers of Bengal to utilise Oudh as a barrier against the Marathas. His work is a commendable combination of local history with general history.

Dr. Basu claims that he has made use of "all material sources, both published and unpublished "Flis work is primarily based on the India Office and British Museum records, but he has utilised some contemporary Persian chronicles as well. The library of the Royal Assatic Society of Bengal contains some Persian manuscripts which throw a flood of light on Welledey's policy towards Oudh. We may refer to Imādus Sa'adat and Madan-us-Sa'adat. Dr. Basu does not seem to have consulted these books. They may not give us new information, but they may present the known facts from a new angle of vision. Dr. Basu's Bibliography is a mere catalogue of names, he night have added some critical notes regarding the Persian chronicles used by him.

A. C BANERJEE

POONA RESIDENCY CORRESPONDENCE, Vol. VIII. Daulat hao Sindha and North Indish. Affairs, 1794 1799 Edited by Sir Jadunath Sarkar. Bombay Government Central Press, 1943.

POONA RESIDENCY CORRESPONDENCE, Vol. IX. Daulat

Kao Sindhus and North Indust Affaus, 1800-1803 Edited by Dr.

Raghubur Sinh, M.A., D.Litt Bombay Government Central Press,

1043-

All serious students of modern Indian history must remain grateful to Sir Jadunath Sarkar and Rao Bahadur G S. Sardesai for the publication of the Poona Residency records, an invaluable mine of historical information relating to the period c. 1785-1828. Volumes VIII-IX published a few months ago, deal with Daulat Rao Sindhia and North Indian affairs, 1704-

1803. In his illuminating Introduction to Vol. VIII Sir Jadunath brings out clearly the difficult problems which arose in Northern India after Mahadji Sindhia's death, and explains the reactions of events in Southern India upon the fortunes of the Marathas in Hindustan. His justification of the policy of Non-intervention pursued by Sir John Shore in the rivalry between the Marathas and the Nizam will be read with great interest. Dr. Raghubir Sinh's Introduction to vol. IX is exhaustive and useful. His exposition of the weaknesses of Sindhia's power provides a key to many political and diplomatic puzzles of the period. Sir Jadunath advises the reader of these two volumes to "have at his elbow the Poona despatches of Mallet, Palmer and Close (vols. II, VI and VII) if he is to understand the inner spring of many an action even as regards Hindustan." It is expected that some competent scholar will now come forward, to write a complete and authentic history of the Marathas during the period of their decline on the hasis of the Poona Residency Correspondence and the unpublished records preserved in the Imperial Record Department.

A. C. BANERDEL

/ EARLY HISTORY OF THE ANDHRA COUNTRY, by Dr K. Gopalachan, M.A., Ph.D., University of Madras, 1941. Pp. 226.

Dr. Gopalachari has made a successful attempt to present a connected history of the Andhras and the Andhra country from the earliest times to the advent of the Eastern Calukyas. Chapters II-IV deal with the political history of the Satavahanas, and traverse a field covered long ago by eminent scholars like Sir R. G. Bhandarkar, Pandit Bhagwanlal Indraji. and Prof Rapson. The author has, however, made an independent study of inscriptions and monuments in situs, as a result of which he has found himself compelled to challenge some accepted conclusions on the history of the Satavahanas. For instance, he rejects Sir R. G. Bhandarkar's theory (recently revived by Dr. D R. Bhandarkar) of the conjoint rule of Gautamiputra Sātakarņi and Puļumāvi, and shows good grounds for questioning Rapson's identification of Pulumavi with the son-in-law of Rudradaman. He argues that the Satavahanas were Andhras but began their political career in Western Deccan, and criticises Dr. Sukthankar's theory that the Bellary region was the original home of the family. He also challenges Dr. H. C. Ray Chaudhun's view that the name Andhra "probably came to be

applied to the (Sātavāhana) Kings in later times when they lost their northern and western possessions and became purely an Andhra power governing the territory at the mouth of the river Krishna." In his opinion Sātavāhana rule over the Āndhra country lasted for three quarters of a century: the Sātavāhanas became a purely eastern power only a few decades before their fall. These conclusions may not be acceptable to all scholars interested in South Indian history, but the author is to be congratulated on the skill with which he has sifted the evidence and enticised the views which have so long received general recognition. His chapters dealing with the administrative system of the Sātavāhanas (Chap. V) and the social, economic, and religious conditions in the Sātavāhana Kingdom (Chap. VI) throw fresh light on an obscure but interesting subject.

In recent times Dr. D. C. Streat made the first systematic attempt to dual with the genealogy and chronology of the minor dynasties which ruled in Eastern Deccan after the decline and fall of the Sātavāhanas. Dr. Gopalatian tells us in his Prelace that his book was being written when Dr. Streat's monograph was published. He recognises his debt to Dr. Streat for the Vinsukundin genealogy, but adds that he has modified Dr. Streat's conclusions with the help of palaeography. Five chapters (VII-XI) are devoted to the minor dynasties the Ikevākus, the rulets of the Brhaphalāyana gotra, the Vangeyakas, the Kandatas and the Vinsukundins. The available data on these dynasties are so unsartsactory that it is hardly possible for any scholar to claim finality for conclusions based on them, but we must be grateful to pioneers like Dr. Streat and Dr. Gopalachari who venture into the litherto untrodden field.

The book contains an excellent map of the Sātavāhana dominions and some beautifully executed plates.

A C BANERIFE

Select Contents of Orientali Journals

Annals of Oriental Research, vol. VI, part 2

- C. Kunhan Raja.—Poesse Beauty. The purpose of the paper is to show that according to the prominent Sanskrit writers, att constitutes the essential elements in poetry, and that love of beauty manifests itself in every phase of Hindu civilisation.
- V. RAGHAVAN.—Udālis's Commentary on the Rāmāyaṇa. Citations from Udāli has been quoted in a work of the 13th century, he cannot be is now sutmissed that Udāli is the family designation of Varadarāja, miss. of whose contimentary on the Rāmāyaṇa are still available. As Udāli had been quoted in a work of the 13th century, he cannot be posterior to that date. He is, therefore, the earliest known commentator of the Rāmāyaṇa

Brahmavidya (Adyar Library Bulletin), vol. VII, pt. 4 (December, 1943)

C. Kunhan Raja.—The Sarvānukramanī-padyavuvītis The paper contains an account of a metrical version of Kātyāyana's Sarvānukramanī, claborated at places by the unknown author of the version

Serial Publications:

विग्रस्पृतिविवृद्धिः वैजयन्ती of Nanda Pandita.

Nyāyakasumānjals-English Translation.

जीवानन्दनम् (Drama) of Anandarāyamakhın.

Trspādvsbhūtsmahānārāyanopanssad-English Translation

सदीकं डोराशासम् of Varāhamihira

भार्यशालिस्तम्बस्त्रम् of Rajanāthaḍinḍima.

- K. MADHAVA KRISHNA SAMMA —Padartharatnamañjūrā of Krsnadeva The ms. of the work described here consists of 317 verses dealing with the Vaisesika categories.
- H. G. Narahari A Rare Commentary on the Raghuvania This is a brief account of the Raghuvania-fika of Sriniatha who explains in his commentary certain texts of the Raghuvania not found in its well-known editions.

Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, vol. XI, pt. 1

H. N. RANDLE — An Indo-Aryan Language of South India. Saurāṣtrabbāṣā. The language described here is known as Pantūlī and is spoken by a large number of people engaged in the textile industry of Madras. These people are said to lave migrated from Läta or Suñastra. The language which they brought, perhaps in the 5th century, from their original home seems to have come successively under the influence "of Rajasthan forms of speech, and then of Marathi, Telugu and Tamil."

- T. Burrow.—Dravidian Studies III: Two Developments of Indian K—in
 Dravidian
- L. D. Burnett.—A Note on an Early Indian Cosn. A coin discovered at Lauria Nandangarh in the district of Champaran bears a legend of Brähmi script of about the 1st century B.C. aya ritasa. This Arya (Honourable) Rta is conjectured to have been 'a minor king or tribal chieftain of Videha', bearing a name that probably came from a Puranic dynasty of Videha.

Journal of the Bihar Research Society, vol. XX1X, pt. III, (September, 1943)

P C MANUK .- The Patna School of Painting (19th century).

Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol XIX. 1943

- H D. VEIANKAR—Chandonuissana of Hemacandra The last four and a half chapters of Hemacandra's Chandonuissana dealing with Präkrta metres have been critically edited with Tippana (commentary).
- P. V KANI Uddyota on Vyavabāra Nitravadyavidyoddyota (Uddyora of spotless learning) mentioned in the Dāyabbāga of Jimūtavāhana is perhaps identical with Uddyotana quoted in the Vyavabāraninaya The respectful manner of referring to his name makes it probable that Uddyota was a great writer on Vyavahāra.

Journal of the Ganganatha Jha Research Institute, vol. I, pt. 1 (November, 1943).

- R. SHAMA SASTRY Kalpa or World-Cycle. The conclusion reached in the paper is that 'Kalpa in its origin meant an eclipse-cycle of nearly 19 years and not a period of 1,000 divine yugas of 4,320,000 years, as believed by Skandasvāmin (commentator of the Rgveda) and the authors of the ' astronomical Stadbāmtas'
- S. K. BELVALKAR A fake (?) "Bhagavadgītā" Ms. Though a statement in the Gītāpraśasts of the Mahābbārata makes the Bhagavadgītā a poem

- of 745 stanzas, the number of stanzas found in the current editions of the work is only 700. Pandit Kalidas Sastin of Gondal has recently published a "Bbosapatri" Gitā 'from an old ms' answening to the recorded description of its contents, viz 745 stanzas. Arguments have been put forward in this paper to show that the 'old ms.' cannot be genuine.
- S. N. Sen.—Two Sansknt Memoranda of 1787. When Warren Hastings was being impeached in England for his alleged insidemeanours in India, many of his Indian admirers came forward with their textimonials about the good works of the ex-Governor-General. Two such testimonials issued from Benares were written in Sanskrit, one with 178 signatories belonging to the provinces of Gujarat and Mahārāstra, and the other signed by 112 persons belonging mainly to Bengal. These memorands show that Sanskrit served as a sort of lingua franca for the Indians even in the 18th century.
- M. Hibianna —Bhāshara s Vieu. of Error Bhāskara flouishing in the 9th century commented upon the Vedāritaūlīta as an exponent of the Bhedābhedavāda. which holds that the relation between Brahman and Ifua or the physical world is one of 'identity in difference'. Fina's bondage is caused by ignorance about his own true character. Fina's error does not lie in his 'sense of relationship with adjuncts like the body and the internal organ' which is conceived by Bhāskara as actual. Ifua however errs by taking that relationship as 'essential (wābhāvika) while it is only adventitious (aupādhika)' Jīva's perception therefore cannot be called altogether unreal. His delusion consists in his taking 'what is provisional for what is permanent'. Bhāskara admits error, but 'still maintains that it invariably points to a real object, though that object may be false when viewed from a particulai vandpoint'.
- S K DF—The Campū The Campū is a type of Sanskert composition in mixed verse and prose. The peculiar character of this variety of narrative literature is discussed in the paper and the general contents of the available works of the variety are described.
- D. BHAYTACHARYA The Location of Uddiyāna Uddiyāna, the traditional home of Tantire Buddhism has been identified in the paper with the village of Vajrayoginī near Sabhar (Tibetan Zāhore) in the district of Dacca in East Bengal.
- S. M. ZAMIN ALI.—Urdu Marsiya (from earliest time up to 1840 A.D.).

- K. A: NILAKANTHA SASTRI.—Aíokan Notes

 (i) The import of a sentence m the first Minor Rock Edict containing the word ovomba is discussed.

 (ii) The belief that Aíoka was a monk and monarch at the same time is contradicted. Aíoka might have however turned monk after he had renounced the throne towards the close of his life (iii) It is argued that the story relating to Kunāla and his step-mother Tisyarakṣtiā has no historical foundation (iv) It is pointed out that the Second Rock Edict contains a reference to religious missions sent by Aíoka to foreign lands up to Tambapani (Ceylon). The story of the introduction of Buddhivm in Ceylon by Aíoka's son and daughter, as given in Ceylonse
 - chronicles, should not therefore be distrusted.

 S. M. KATKE—Dharmopanuad in Mahābbārata

 The expression dharmopan

 muad contained in the Critical Edition of the Mahābhārata points to
 the application of secret knowledge (upanisad) for the performance of
 duties in time of difficulty (šinaddharma).
 - K. RAMA PISHAROFI -- Vibiamorvašija-A Study.

Journal of Indian History, vol XXII, pts 2 & 3 (August and Docember, 1943)

A C. PERUMALII. – The alposiles of halyana (Borsbay). St. Bartholomew the Alposile and St. Pantaenuse. Bartholomew came to the Kalyana court of India about 55 AC. for preaching Christianity and was mittyred a few years after by the order of king Aristakarman of Patthan, whose brother Pulumai had become a convert to Christianity Ahour the year 190 AC. Pantaenus was sent to Kalyana where he worked for the henefit of the converted Christians and strengthened their faith in the adopted belief.

DASARATHA SARMA -The Imperial Pratibaras -- A Revised Study

- P. SRINIVASACHARI Tulura Usurpation.
- P. N. BHALLA—The Mother of the Company This is an account of the life and activities of Munni Begain, the favourite consort of Nawab Mir Jafar Khan of Murshidabad.

Journal of Sri Venkatesvara Oriental Institute, vol IV, no. i (January-June, 1943)

WILHELM PRINTZ — Bhāsas Prakrit The original paper in German on the characteristics of the Prakrit dialects used in the dramas attributed to Bhāsa has been translated into English by P. V. Ramanujaswami.

- K. C. VARADACHARI.—Eros and Mystico-religious Consciousness. The South Indian Alvars have written on divine experience through certain definite sentiments which are believed to have the power of making the relationship with God much intimate. In the galaxy of Alvars, Tirumañgai was a dynamic figure of the 8th century, who recorded his erotic or feminine approach and ardent wooing in two Tamil compositions called Madal.
- N. AIYASWAMI SASTRI Gleanings from Nilakeis. The Nilakeis is a polemic treatise in Tamil written in Kävya style in defence of Jainism against the attack of a work called Kunādalakeis It criticises the tenets of other schools of thought such as the Buddhists, Ajīvakas, Sāñkhvas, Vaišesikas, Vedāntas and Lokāvatas.
- P. K. GODE.—Some new Evidence regarding the Date of Jagaddharabetween A.D. \$275 and 1450
- K. V. NILAMFGHACHARYA धीमद्भागवर्गोवापाबरावयोवेदाव्ये विश्वसाग्राम्, The object of this paper in Sanskrit is to prove that the Näräyaniya section is not an interpolation in the Mabābhārata. It agrees with the tenets and supports the authority of the Pāficarātra system and has no inconsistency with the teaching of the Bhagauadgītā which is essentially in agreement with the doctrines of the Pāficarātras.
- D. T TAIACHARYA— शाकी वाडमेव: . The reading cukurādibbīb found in the editions of Sabarasvāmin's gloss on the Pratyaks acction of the Mimāmsāsūtra has been corrected into kiudādibbīb in the light of a statement made in Santaraksita's Tattuasamgraba and Kamalašila's commentary thereon

Journal of the University of Bombay, vol. XII, pt 2 (September, 1943)

- N. J Shinde.—The Authorship of the Rāmāyana The predominance of the Bhrgvangiras family in the Rāmāyana is pointed out in the paper with the suggestion that the Bhrgvangirases as champions of the cause of Brāhmanism have transformed the original Bhārata into the Mahābhārata and the original Rāmāyana (Books II.—VI) into the present epic of seven Books by infusing Brāhmanic elements.
- R. SADASIVA AIYAR -The Ramayana in the Light of Aristotle's Poetics.
- A. N. UPADHYE The Soncarita The Prakrit poem Soncarita (Sk. Sauricantra) of Stikantha is described.

New Indian Antiquary, vol. V1, no. 7, (October, 1943)

SADASHIVA L. KATRE.—Dusstrājodaya. A Forgotten Dbarma Nibandha The Dusstrājodaya deals with Kāla or proper times for religious observances. Its author Dhireśvara Miśra is identical with Dhireśa Miśra, a teacher of Nilakantha, the famous commentator of the Mabābārata

Prabuddha Bharata, November, 1943

JATINDRA BIMAL CHAUDHURI — Sanskni Poet Rāmacandra Bhaṭṭa of Ayodbyā Ayodhyaka Rāmacandra Bhaṭṭa whose compositions are found in varionis works of anthology has been identified here with the author of the Kṛṭṇakuiābala-kāuya and the Rasskarañama A younger brother of Vallabha Ācaīrya, the founder of the Suddhādvaita school of Vedānta. Rāmacandra was patronised by Vītasimha of Ayodhyā in the first half of the 16th century

AKSHAYA KUMAR BANERJEA—The Strue-sakts Cult of Yogiquru Gorukçanātha The whole universe with the diverse orders of individual bodies within it, is, according to Goraksinārlia, non-different from Sakti, the unnate spiritual Power of Siva, the Absolute Spirit The manifested forms of Siva's dynamic nature are the result of the gradual manifestation of His infinite Sakti

Bibliographical Notes

- Report on the Working of the State Museum Pudukkottai for Fash 1351
- Important Inscriptions from the Baroda State, vol 1 edited by A S Gadre Baroda 1943.
- Tribes in Ancient Inaia by Bimala Churn Law. Poona 1943.
- Life in the Gupta Age by Rajaram Narayan Salatore. Bombay 1943.
 - भन्पसिंहगुरावतारः of Vitthala Krsna edited by C. Kunhan Raja. Anup Sanskrit Library. Bikaner 1942
 - Oudh and the East India Company 1785-1801 by Purnendu Basu Lucknow 1942.
- History of Bengal, vol. I. edited by R C Majumdar. Dacca 1943.
 - Daulat Rao Sinilbia's Affairs 1804-1809 edited by N. B. Roy. Poona Residency Correspondence. vol. II. Bombay 1943.
 - Chandragupta Maurya and his Times by Ridha Kumud Mookerji Madriss University 1943.
 - Eastern Frontser of British India by Anil Chandra Banerji. Calcutta 1943.
 - Pali Literature and Language (authorised English translation of Wilhelm Geiger's Pâli Literature and Sprache) by Batakrishna Ghosh University of Calcutta. 1943
 - Āgamaiāstra of Gaudapāda editud, translated and annotated by Vidhushekhara Bhattacharya. University of Calcutta 1943
 - Visuddhimagga, Part II Visuddhimagga-gaiha by Dharmananda Kosambi Mahabodhi Society Sarnath 1943.
- Cosmology Old and New a modern commentary on the 5th chapter of the Tattvārthādbīgamasūtra by G R Jain Lucknow 1943.
 - Asina Literature in Tamil by A Chakravarti. Arrah
 - Trioapannatts, vol I edited by A. N Upadhye and Hitalal Jain Sholapur 1943.
 - इंशायास्योपनिषद्भाष्यम्-अभिनेह्दशायकृतम् edited and translated into English with notes by K. C. Vatadachari and D. T. Tatacharya Sri Venkatesvara Oriental Press, Tirupati 1942.
 - Philosophy of Visistādvasta by P. N. Stinivāsachari Adyar Library, 1943. बादाबढी of Jayatīrtha edited with English translation by P. Nagaraja Rao. Adyar 1943.

- महाभारतम् Sabhāpatvan (i) edited by Edgerton. Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute. Poona 1943.
- चतुर्दशलक्या of Gadādhara edited by Santanam Aiyar. Adyar Library 1942. तकेतावहबस् of Vyāsatirtha. University of Mysore Oriental Library Publications. Mysore 1943.
- Sri Rāmanuja's Theory of Knowledge by K. C. Varadachari, Sri Venkareśvara Oriental Institute Studies Tirupati 1943.
- 'Kalwariyas' or Prohibitions in the Kali Age by Batuknath Bhattacharya.

 University of Calcutta 1943.
- समूर्ताचेनचन्त्रिका of Atrı edited by P. Raghunatha Chakravartı and M. Rama Krishna Kavı Venkateśvara Oriental Series, Tirupati 1943.
- पश्चरासम् of Bhasa edited and translated into English. Bangalore.
- श्रीवेश्वटेशकाव्यक्तापः edited by D. T. Tatacharya Srī Venkateśvara Oriental Series. Tirupati 1943
- ऋजुलच्यो: मालतीमाध्यक्या of Pürṇasarasvatī edited by N A Gore Poona 1943.
- उद्यागिक्द' (Prakrit Poem) by Rāmapānivāda edited by S. Subrahmanya Sastri and C. Kunhan Raja Adyar Library 1943.
- इस्टनीतिः of Kesava Pandita edited by V. S Bendrey. Poona 1943.
- ड्यवद्वारनिर्योदाः of Varadarāja edited by K V Rangaswami Aiyangar and A. N Krishna Aiyanger. Adyat Library 1942.
 - सन्नोतरमान्तर: of Sāmgadeva with commentaries (vol 1 ch 1) edited by S Subrahmanya Sastri Adyar Library 1943.
 - Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskris Manuscripts, vol. III. pts 1 & 2 Tantro Mss. edited by Chintaharan Chakravarti. Asiatic Society of Bengal. Calcutta 1939

OBITUARY NOTICES

Vishnu Sitaram Sukthankar

(May 4, 1887-January 21, 1943)

The sudden and premature death of Dr. V. S. Sukthankar, which is widely deplored among friends and orientalists, removes one of the most distinguished and commanding figures from the field of oriental scholarship in India. When the melancholy incident occurred, after a sudden stroke and a few hours' illness, on the 21st January, 1943, no one could have foreseen it, for Sukthankar was still, at the age of 56, in the maturity of his powers of body and mind, and favourably situated for a long continuation of the highly congenial work to which he had devoted himself, wholeheartedly and with a vigorous competence, for the last seventeen years. The news, therefore, came as a shock to his numerous friends and admirers, not only as a grave personal bereavement but also as an irreparable loss to scholarship. Only a little over a fortnight before the incident, there was the celebration of the Silver Jubilee of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute, in which Sukthankar took an enthusiastic part, and in which the 12th fascicule of his last great work, the completed edition of the Aranyakaparvan, was formally presented. The silver medal which was awarded to him on this occasion was primarily a tribute to his scholarship, but it also expressed appreciation of the unwearied care he had given, for many years, to the affairs of the Institute in various capacities. But, for the last two decades, he had made the Critical Edition of the Mahābhārata the prime end of his life, and only a few hours before his death he was revising, to the last moment of his conscious life, the typescript of his lectures on the Mahābhārata, which he was to have delivered on the following day before the University of Bombay, and which would have embodied some of the mature results of his long and intensive study of the Mahābhārata problem

A complete edition of Sukthankar's works, with a literary biography and bibliography, has been promised to us by the V. S. Sukthankar Memorial Edition Committee, the first volume of white has been already printed and presented at the Anniversary Memorial Meeting held at Poona on the 21st January of this year. It is, therefore, not necessary here to go into the details of his scholarly career, nor give a survey of his many contributions to diverse branches of Indology. Born on the 4th May, 1887, Sukthankar

received his early education at the Maratha High School and St. Xavier's College, Bombay, whence he proceeded, after his Intermediate Examination, to Cambridge, entered St. John's College and took the Mathematical Tripos. As yet he showed no special aptitude for Indological studies. Like that of Bhandarkar and Tilak, of Grassman and Grierson, of Skeat and Whitney, Mathematics was his first love, and his introduction to work as an orientalist was gradual. Perhaps his early training in this exact science, for which at no time of his life he appears to have lost his interest, was responsible for the scientific attitude and rigorous application of scientific method, as well as for the precision and accuracy of expression, which characterise all his writings. From Cambridge, Sukthankar migrated to Edinburgh and Berlin. It was at Berlin that he came under the inspiration and influence of that altmeister, Professor Heinrich Luders to whom the closing words of his Prolegomena bear a sincere and eloquent tribute For the first time Sukthankar's interest in oriental studies, especially in Comparative Grammar, Archaeology and Textual Criticism, appears to have been aroused, and once aroused it never flagged. Although he himself never spoke senously of the small contribution, his doctoral dissertation, connected with a critical edition of Sākatāyana's Grammar (Leipzig 1921), yet reveals how thoroughly he was trained in the severest school of scholarship. On his return to India, Sukthankar joined the Department of Archaeological Survey He contributed a number of interesting papers, mostly on archaeological subjects, but his most outstanding work of this period consists of an elaborate and intensive study of the Bhasa problem in its different aspects, and an English translation of the Suapna-vasavadatia (Oxford 1923) These papers display his talent, as a Sanskrit scholar, for mastery of details and sobriety of judgment, while as a translator he happily combines exactness with elegance and ease of diction. But all this was preparation for that great work to which he was now called and to which he made, through long and fruitful years, so monumental a contribution.

When in 1925 the Bhandarkar Onental Revarch Institute invited Sukthankar to undertake the responsibilities of the Critical Edition of the Mahābhārata, it cannot be said that the circumstances were entirely favourable. For six years since its inception, the Institute had been valiantly grappling with the elusive and complicated problem. The tentative edition of the Virāţa-parvan which it had published was indeed a conscientious effort, but neither the constituted text nor the groping method could in any sense be regarded as definitive. No doubt, Sukthankar profited by the experiment and experience of Utgikar, but he had to wipe the whole thing out and begin afresh. In doing this, it became necessary to overcome long-rooted prejudice, on the one hand, and to evolve, on the other, a method and technique which, for its exactness and simplicity, would be fully appropriate and readily acceptable. The preliminaries had to be settled, a great deal of spade-work had to be done, the department had to be reorganised, the system of collation had to be carefully thought out, critical principles had to be clearly realised after a minute study of texteal details, and the work had to be remodelled on a strictly scientific and solid basis. It is a tribute to Sukthankar's boundless enthusiasm and brilliant scholarship that, within two years of his taking charge, the first fascicule of the Adi-parvan was published in 1927. Although, for various seasons, the Parvan was not completed till 1933, the first fascisule itself would show that the text-critical principles, which Sukthankar enunciated in his Prolegomena eight years later and the method and technique which he evolved for dealing with the tangled complexities of the epic text-tradition, had already taken a clear and logical shape in his mind to be consistently applied throughout. At first there were sceptics and scoffers, and the soundness of his judgment was inevitably called in question, but in a series of Epic Studies Sukthankar answered, in detail and with precision, some of the fundametal criticisms, and having cleared all misundetanding he was able to make them accepted by the scholarly world. It is not necessary here to go into the history of this great scholarly endeavour, nor consider the textual principles so brilliantly established, it will suffice to say that Sukthankar devoted himself to the laborious and exacting task for seventeen years with unflagging zeal and unswerving singleness of purpose, and succeeded in setting up a high standard of sound workmanship which makes the work, so far accomplished, a marvel of gigantic toil and solid learning. It is most unfortunate that he lived to see only a fraction of the work completed, but he had the satisfaction of finding that the foundations were truly and firmly laid. In spite of the magnitude and complexity of the undertaking and its manifold difficulty, which makes efficient progress necessarily slow, the able and alert guidance of Sukthankar made it possible to deal with the first six Parvans of the Mahābhārata, namely, the Adı (Sukthankar), Sabhā (Edgerton), Āranyaka (Sukthankar), Vīrāta (Ragu Vīra), Udyoga (De) and Bhīsma (Belvalkar). All this comprises nearly one-fourth of the entire text, but in bulk ir is four times as great as the Iliad and Odyssey put together, and about one and a half times as great as the Rāmāyana; while un the difficulty of eliting the text from the confusing diversity of versions and recensions, it perhaps yields to none of the great epics mentioned. The undertaking in its entirety is stupendous indeed and in his last published work Sukthankar himself speaks of "the yet distant Utopia of a complete crinical edition of the Mahābhārata," but if today the arduous task seems no longer an impossibility nor the Utopia an unattainable vision, it is because the task has been initiated and the way has been cleared by the intrepid skill and inspiring scholarship of Sukthankar himself.

There can be no doubt that Sukhthankar's great talents found their most congenial field in the Mahābhārata work. For more than ten years, he worked single-handed, but even when the work was divided, his task was thereby rendered no less arduous. Although he himself edited only two Parvans, his collaborators in the other Parvans, among whom the present writer had the honour of counting himself as one, are well aware that Sukthankar's work as the General Editor was never nominal He elaborated a system of organised team-work which considerably lightened the exacting task of individual editors, but, more than that, his great enthusiasm and willingness to help, his ripe experience and alert scholarship, his strenuous application and patient devotedness were always at their disposal unreservedly. What other people attained laboriously came to him naturally as an unerring instinct, and yet he had the capacity of taking infinite pains and would not allow a single line or word to pass until he was himself fully satisfied. From the beginning to the final stage of proof-reading, his vigilant scrutiny was always there, and it went a long way towards giving the edition of each Parvan its final shape. In this connexion the words of Professor Edgerton, another colleague of his, are illuminating "I am appalled at the thought that it will now be necessary to entrust the Mahābhārata edition to others Few persons now living are as well gifted by nature as he was with the peculiar combination of intellectual qualities needed for this work. And literally not one has had the experience which he had and which is second in importance only to that native ability. He had arrived at a point where so many things had become almost automatic to him, like second nature; things which even those of us who have helped in this edition cannot control as he did, though we may have painfully struggled towards an approximation of a few of them. Now, just when he could have exploited to the full this unique combination of knowledge and experience grif effequent he is cut off in the midst of it." No attempt can yet be made to assess the permanent value of Sukthankar's work, but a generous tribute like this from a worthy colleague is indeed a high appreciation. It should only be added that, as a scholar. Sukthankar had endless energy and enthusiasm for his work, indomitable optimism and a firmness of spuit which, held undeviating from the path he had chosen, triumphed over every difficulty of circumstance. His scholarly integrity was also unimpeachable. He had immense courage of conviction, but he was quick and versatile in mind and had a large fund of good sense and good humour to appreciate other points of view. He was never in a haste to publish and never wrote in have. He believed in facts more than in theories in painstaking accumulation and weighing of evidence more than in sweeping generalisations, what he wrote, therefore, had always an enduring documentary value.

Above all, he was convinced of the importance of the great undertaking to which he had dedicated his life. In the closing words of his Introduction to his last work, the Aranyaka-parvan, this faith it breathed forth in warm, palpitating words, as an anticipation perhaps of the last farewell which he rook:

If Maharsı Kısına Dvatpāyana Vyāsa tells us that he has cried himself hoarse, urging people to follow the Path of Duty

> ऊर्ध्वबाहुर्निरीम्बेष न च कश्चिच्छुगोति माम् । धर्मादर्थेच कामच स किमर्चे न सेव्यते ॥

his shouting with uplifted arms has not been entirely vain. He has not failed in his mission. Across the reverberating corridors of Time, we his descendants can still hear dumly his clarion call to Duty. It is in response to that call and in a spirit of reverent homage to that sage of unfathomable wisdom—that embodied Voice of the Collective Unconscious of the Indian people—we offer this work, pledged to broadcast to mankind at this hour of its need and its peril, the luminous message of the Mahars:

न जातु कामात्र भयात्र लोभाइमै त्यजेबीवितस्यापि हेतोः ।

धर्मो नित्यः सुखदःसे त्वनित्ये जीवो नित्यो हेत्रस्थाप्यनित्यः ॥

This is also Sukthankar's own message, as he realised it in his own life. We can only add that Sukthankar's appeal will not go unheeded, while his warm personality will remain as an abiding memory for all time to come.

Mrs. C. A. F. Rhys Davids

(Born 27th Sept., 1857-Died 26th June, 1942)

The cruel hand of death has snatched away from the muds of Indologius of the world Mrs. Rhys Davids and cut off the great career of a unique personality. Once a pupil of the late lamented Professor T. W. Rhys Davids, she was privileged to be his wrife, hife-long companion, and coworker. As wife, she served him with great devotion, as pupil she not only glorified his name, but in some respects surpassed him, and as co-worker she not only rendered ablest assistance but did all things possible to carry on and complete the great task left unfinished by the founder President of the Pali Text Society. Such a happy union of heart, intellect, talent and soul is very rate indeed in history.

There is no wonder, then, that while she lived, she passed as a most wonderful woman in England, who had time to attend to multifatious duties of life, whether to her household, or to the neighbours, friends, scholars, printers, publishers and workers of the Society. All the letters she wrote in her own hand, without any assistant, all through her life. Besides her most intimate acquaintance with Pali Literature and critical works on Indology in different European languages, she was a master of Psychology and a close reader of Western Philosophy and Literature, ancient, mediaeval and modern Every work she wrote beats the stamp of her individuality and personal conviction, and went to show a perfect mastery over the language she handled To her the world owes the introduction of the critical study of the most technical and at the same time important branch of the Pali literature called Abhidhamma. Her translation of the Dhammasanganis under the title of A Buddhist Manual of Psychological Ethics was a notable performance on her part. It was the forerunner of the subsequent translations and interpretation of such Abhidhamma works, as the Abhidhammattha Sangaba, the Kathavatthu, the Visuddhimagga, and the Atthasalini, more or less under her guidance or at her request. Her book called Buddhist Psychology passes as a distinct contribution to modern literature on Psychology.

She could make time also to transcribe and edit as many as five Palitexts of the size and volume of the Vibbanga and the rest. But this is not all. In the midst of her onerous duty as the general editor of all the publications of the Pali Text Society she successfully translated the Thoras-Theringatha in English verse called it "Pialims of the Early Buddhist Brothers and

Sisters." These are likely to find no mean place in English literature next to Edwin Arnold's Light of Asia.

Her little book on Buddhism in the Home University Series, which she revised, will ever be read as an authoritative statement on the philosophical position of early Buddhism. At a later stage she began to see the Buddha from a new angle, and published a number of works presenting her views.

Whether posterity accepts her standpoint or not, these late publications of hers will be judged as thought-provoking and as outcome of her deep personal conviction and profound scholarship "The WayJarers' Words" in three Volumes is a posthumous publication and a magnum opus which crowns the labours of her intellectual and thinking life. I need not mention other works which are well-known and have special ment of their own.

She lived a ripe old age of eightythree, full of eeaseless and fruntful coverious till she passed away in her peaceful cottage on the Surrey Hill overlooking the Railway Station. In health of her body, mind and intellect, and in the possession of a heart, which was a well of sympathy, she was surpassed by none. She was sent down to perform a herculean task. Hers was an enviable human career, enlivened with vision and unperturbed by the vicisitudes of private and national life

Her death is still more enviable, especially that she passed away at a time when the world was still in the grip of titanic global war which cast deep shadows over her mental horizon but could not shake her deepest faith in the ultimate victory of truth and righteourness

May her soul rest in peace and her memory continue to inspire us all for ever.

B. M. BARUA

() Marine ()

Nakiya), Sunan PANC Vol. I Edin with critical pages axxivi+2 5 BOD the first time	or Petespati Denny 800, ph. wh. 4- jiff. Pol ANGALA-VILASPH (Commensury or VILLAV, Fase, 1. super Royal 800, Po CAVENSATISATIASPHA PANIKAPA of the first tune from original a notes introduction and assume Do	in the second of
University Lib		EARLY
BUDDHISM	बोर सेवा मन्दिर	R 2/-
7 🗗	पुस्तकाले <i>य</i>	my ave.
pp viii+/	काल क	Dust has
done a	_ Law Navendra Noth	enther a
variety		bendaous
volume		
8		DC 15 127-
ucally		Sanskest
manusc		H die
Mahara		instantina.
, 9		eternal edi-
(part 1		Suction in
Fnglis		#+ 254.
10		honory the
Crusea		Arrest Ar
critical		L'etalistic
Peges		Bed by the
esistemas esistemas		1
Short File		